FUNCTIONAL OUTCOME OF CONTOURED ANATOMICAL PLATE FIXATION FOR ACUTE DISPLACED MIDSHAFT FRACTURE CLAVICLE

Basavaraj S. Kyavater¹, Siddhartha Gupta²

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE:

Basavaraj S. Kyavater, Siddhartha Gupta. "Functional Outcome of Contoured Anatomical Plate Fixation for Acute Displaced Midshaft Fracture Clavicle". Journal of Evolution of Medical and Dental Sciences 2015; Vol. 4, Issue 52, June 29; Page: 9082-9087, DOI: 10.14260/jemds/2015/1316

ABSTRACT: BACKGROUND: This prospective study was done to evaluate the effectiveness of implants i.e., anatomical pre contoured dynamic compression plate in treatment of displaced mid shaft clavicular fractures. **MATERIALS AND METHODS:** fifty patients between 18 and 65 years of age were included in this study. They were treated by fixation with anatomically pre contoured dynamic plate and functional outcome was assessed. Clinical and radiological assessments were performed at regular intervals. Outcomes and complications of over 2 years of follow-up time were compared. **RESULTS:** Range of motion was well maintained in all the patients. Constant score was excellent in 44 patients (88%) good in 4 patients (8%) and fair in two patients (4%). No patients had a poor result on constant scoring. The mean time to union was 6.8 months. **CONCLUSION:** In this prospective cohort study, primary open reduction and internal plate fixation of acute displaced mid shaft clavicular fractures resulted in improved outcomes and a decreased rate of nonunion and symptomatic malunion.

KEYWORDS: Displaced midshaft clavicle fractures/Anatomical precontoured plating.

INTRODUCTION: Clavicle fractures are common injuries accounting for 5–10% of all fractures. Around 80% of clavicle fractures involve the midshaft and over half of these fractures are displaced.¹ The average age of patients sustaining a midshaft clavicular fracture is 33 years; 70% of the patients are male.² Traditionally, acute midclavicular fractures have been treated non operatively with either sling or figure-of-eight bandage, with a reported less than 1% rate of fracture nonunion.³ However, more recent studies have reported nonunion rates of 4-29% and malunion rates of 14-36% with displaced clavicle fractures.⁴ Several fixation methods have been reported including plate fixation,⁵ intramedullary pin fixation⁶ and placement of intramedullary threaded k-wires⁷ and elastic intramedullary nails.⁸ Earlier indications for surgery include the need for earlier functional mobilization in the patient with an isolated injury, in addition to open fractures, floating shoulders and patients with polytrauma.⁹ In recent studies, the trend has moved towards surgical stabilization of selected clavicle fractures, with operative indications including significant shortening or distraction (>1.5 centimeters), displacement greater than 100%, and the presence of a zed fragment.¹⁰ Plate fixation can provide immediate rigid fixation, helping to facilitate early mobilization.¹¹A biomechanical study shows that plate fixation provides a more rigid stabilization compared to intramedullary fixation and may provide a stronger construction for early rehabilitation protocols.¹² In this study we evaluated the functional outcome of fixation of clavicle with anatomically contoured plates.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: We conducted a prospective study to compare outcomes and complications of closed displaced midshaft clavicular fractures treated with precontoured dynamic compression plates. Between July 2007 and June 2013, a total of 50 patients with closed displaced

J of Evolution of Med and Dent Sci/ eISSN- 2278-4802, pISSN- 2278-4748/ Vol. 4/ Issue 52/ June 29, 2015 Page 9082

midshaft clavicular fractures were admitted in our hospital. Patients who were included in the study were younger (Between 16 and 65 years of age), Shortening of over 15 mm and axial mal alignment of over 30 with no cortical bone contact.¹³ A fracture in the middle third of the clavicle (A fracture amenable to plate fixation with a minimum of three screws in each proximal and distal fragment), and informed consent (With these indications patients were counseled regarding operative management and those who were willing for surgery were included in the study). Patients were excluded from the study if they had an age of less than sixteen years or greater than sixty years, a fracture in the proximal or distal third of the clavicle, a pathological fracture, an open fracture, a fracture seen more than twenty-eight days after the injury, an associated neurovascular injury with objective neurological findings on physical examination, a medical contraindication to surgery and/or anesthesia (Such as heart disease, renal failure, or active chemotherapy), and a lack of consent.

SURGICAL PROCEDURE: Internal fixation was done according to AO principles. After general anesthesia, the patient was positioned in the beach-chair position with a folded sheet under the affected shoulder. A transverse incision was made over the fracture site and dissection was carried out down to the fracture site, followed by careful subperiosteal dissection. The fracture was reduced and held temporarily with bone clamps, and the plate was positioned on the anterior superior surface of the clavicle. Lots of different plates are being used nowadays in clavicle fracture fixation. In this study, we used a precontoured 3.5-mm clavicular dynamic compression plate (Synthes). Additional interfragmentary lag screws were used in cases of oblique fracture. Arm sling support was given to all the patients for 2 weeks postoperatively. Early mobilization was started if pain permitted. Patients were encouraged to resume their normal daily activities after a 4-week postoperative period.

The patients were seen at six weeks and at three, six, and twelve months. Assessment included standardized clinical evaluation and completion of the Constant shoulder score. Both an anteroposterior and a 20° cephalad radiograph was made for each patient. Radiographic union was defined as complete cortical bridging between proximal and distal fragments on both radiographs as determined by the treating surgeon.



Pre-op X-ray of a 32yr old male sustained injury due to RTA



Immediate post op X-ray



Pre op x-ray in a 19yr old male sustained during sports



Post op x-ray immediate

RESULTS: Range of motion was well maintained in all the patients, no patient lost >10° of motion in any plane. Constant score was excellent in 44 patients (<11) good in 4 patients (11-20) and fair in two patients (21-30). No patients had a poor result (>30) on constant scoring system. The mean time to union was 6.8 months (Table 1). No patient developed non-union or mal union. Two cases developed superficial infection (p = 0.62) but infection was controlled by oral antibiotics in all the cases. There was no deep infection. No implant failure occurred in the plate group. Hypertrophic scar formation was observed in four cases in the plating group. In the plate group 38 patients (total of 50 patients) underwent implant removal. Plates were removed at an average time of 15.4±2.2 months (range 11–20 months). No re fracture were observed in the plate group after removal of the implant

Outcome	Plating
Surgery time(min)	59.0 (58 -62)
Length of incision(cm)	10.5(9.8-11.2)
Average blood loss(ml)	128.7(110-150)
Hospital stay(days)	7.6 (3 -10)
Union	100% (50 cases)
Time to union(months)	6.8(4-11)
Table 1	

DISCUSSION: Traditionally, clavicular fractures have been treated non operatively. In the 1960s, Neer reported on the non-operative treatment of clavicular fractures. Neer reported nonunion in only three of 2235 patients with middle third fractures treated by closed methods¹⁴however recent studies have demonstrated that non operative management with figure of eight bandage and sling can cause non-union and other complications viz malunion and restriction of shoulder movements. In a study by hill and mcguire eight of the 52 fractures (15%) had developed nonunion, and 16 patients (31%) reported unsatisfactory results.¹⁵ Robinson et al. described a consecutive series of 868 patients with clavicular fractures, 581 of whom had a midshaft diaphyseal fracture. They found a significantly higher nonunion rate (21%) for the displaced, comminuted midshaft fractures (p<0.05)¹⁶.recent studies have also shown that early primary plate fixation of completely displaced midshaft clavicular fractures results in improved patient-oriented outcomes.

Plate fixation can provide immediate rigid fixation, helping to facilitate early mobilization¹⁷ although it has been associated with complications. A study by Bostman et al reported that complication and reoperation rates may be as high as 43% and 14%, respectively, if hardware removal is considered. Other reported complications include infection, hardware failure, and hypertrophic scarring.¹⁸ The recent introduction of anatomically contoured clavicle plates may reduce the need for hardware removal.¹⁹ In our study primary fixation of clavicle was done by anatomically contoured LCP plates. Functional outcome was excellent in 88% of cases. No incidences of non-union or malunion were reported. Hypertrophic scar formation was seen in four cases which was a problem only cosmetically. No incidence of hardware failure was reported. Our results are comparable with that of another study conducted by Canadian orthopaedic society they reported early union {28.4 weeks in the non-operative group compared with 16.4 weeks in the operative group (p=0.001)} and more malunion in patients treated by non-operative means (9 out of 65 patients)²⁰

J of Evolution of Med and Dent Sci/eISSN-2278-4802, pISSN-2278-4748/Vol. 4/Issue 52/June 29, 2015 Page 9085

CONCLUSION: In conclusion, our study shows that early primary plate fixation of completely displaced midshaft clavicular fractures results in improved patient-oriented outcomes, improved surgeon- oriented outcomes, earlier return to function, and decreased rates of nonunion and malunion.

REFERENCES:

- 1. Postacchini, Franco, et al. "Epidemiology of clavicle fractures." Journal of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery 11.5 (2002): 452-456.
- 2. Khan, LA Kashif, et al. "Fractures of the clavicle." The Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery 91.2 (2009): 447-460.
- 3. Andersen, Kjeld, Per Østergaard Jensen, and Jorgen Lauritzen. "Treatment of clavicular fractures: Figure-of-elght bandage versus a slmple sling." Acta Orthopaedica 58.1 (1987): 71-74.
- 4. Brinker, Mark R., T. Bradley Edwards, and Daniel P. O'Connor. "Estimating the risk of nonunion following non-operative treatment of a clavicular fracture." The Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery 87.3 (2005): 676-677.
- 5. Canadian, Orthopaedic Trauma Society. "Non-operative treatment compared with plate fixation of displaced midshaft clavicular fractures. A multicenter, randomized clinical trial." The Journal of bone and joint surgery. American volume 89.1 (2007): 1.
- Chu, Cheng-Mien, Shyu-Jye Wang, and Leou-Chyr Lin. "Fixation of mid-third clavicular fractures with Knowles pins: 78 patients followed for 2-7 years." Acta Orthopaedica 73.2 (2002): 134-139.
- 7. Grassi, Federico A., Maria Serena Tajana, and Fabio D'Angelo. "Management of midclavicular fractures: comparison between nonoperative treatment and open intramedullary fixation in 80 patients." Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery 50.6 (2001): 1096-1100.
- 8. Mueller, Marcus, et al. "Minimally invasive intramedullary nailing of midshaft clavicular fractures using titanium elastic nails." Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery 64.6 (2008): 1528-1534.
- 9. Assobhi, Jamal EH. "Reconstruction plate versus minimal invasive retrograde titanium elastic nail fixation for displaced midclavicular fractures." Journal of Orthopaedics and Traumatology 12.4 (2011): 185-192.
- 10. Zlowodzki, Michael, et al. "Treatment of acute midshaft clavicle fractures: systematic review of 2144 fractures: on behalf of the Evidence-Based Orthopaedic Trauma Working Group." Journal of orthopaedic trauma 19.7 (2005): 504-507.
- 11. Hill, JAMES M., MICHAEL H. McGuire, and LYNN A. Crosby. "Closed treatment of displaced middle-third fractures of the clavicle gives poor results." Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery, British Volume 79.4 (1997): 537-538.
- 12. Golish, S. Raymond, et al. "Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery and Research." Journal of orthopaedic surgery and research 3 (2008): 28.
- 13. Van der Meijden, Olivier A., Trevor R. Gaskill, and Peter J. Millett. "Treatment of clavicle fractures: current concepts review." Journal of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery 21.3 (2012): 423-429.
- 14. Neer, Charles S. "Nonunion of the clavicle." Journal of the American Medical Association 172.10 (1960): 1006-1011.

J of Evolution of Med and Dent Sci/eISSN-2278-4802, pISSN-2278-4748/Vol. 4/Issue 52/June 29, 2015 Page 9086

- 15. Hill, JAMES M., MICHAEL H. McGuire, and LYNN A. Crosby. "Closed treatment of displaced middle-third fractures of the clavicle gives poor results. "Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery, British Volume 79.4 (1997): 537-538.
- Robinson, C. Michael, Margaret M. McQueen, and Alison E. Wakefield. "Estimating the risk of nonunion following non-operative treatment of a clavicular fracture." The Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery 86.7 (2004): 1359-1365.
- 17. Mullaji, A. B., and J. B. Jupiter. "Low-contact dynamic compression plating of the clavicle." Injury 25.1 (1994): 41-45.
- 18. Böstman, Ole M., et al. "Clinical biocompatibility and degradation of polylevolactide screws in the ankle." Clinical orthopaedics and related research 320 (1995): 101-109.
- 19. Huang J.L., Toogood P., Chen M.R., Wilber J.H., Cooperman D.R. Clavicular anatomy and the applicability of precontoured plates. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2007;89: 2260–2265
- 20. Canadian, Orthopaedic Trauma Society. "Non-operative treatment compared with plate fixation of displaced midshaft clavicular fractures. A multicenter, randomized clinical trial." The Journal of bone and joint surgery. American volume 89.1 (2007): 1.

AUTHORS:

- 1. Basavaraj S. Kyavater
- 2. Siddhartha Gupta

PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

- 1. Assistant Professor, Department of Orthopaedics, Sanjay Gandhi Institute of Trauma & Orthopaedics, Byrasandra, Bangalore.
- 2. Post Graduate Student, Department of Orthopaedics, Sanjay Gandhi Institute of Trauma & Orthopaedics, Byrasandra, Bangalore.

FINANCIAL OR OTHER COMPETING INTERESTS: None

NAME ADDRESS EMAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Dr. Siddhartha Gupta, Post Graduate Student, Department of Orthopaedics, Sanjay Gandhi Institute of Trauma & Orthopaedics, Byrasandra, Bangalore. E-mail: ssidgupta@gmail.com

> Date of Submission: 06/06/2015. Date of Peer Review: 08/06/2015. Date of Acceptance: 23/06/2015. Date of Publishing: 26/06/2015.