SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS AFFECTING COMPLIANCE TO INTRA DERMAL RABIES VACCINATION AT ANTI RABIES CLINIC IN A GOVERNMENT TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL IN KARNATAKA

Vinay M¹, Mahendra B.J², Nagaraj Goud B³, Asha Bullappa⁴, Ananthachari K.R⁵, Sheethal M.P⁶, Raghini Ranganathan⁷, Anil Kumar⁸

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE:

Vinay M, Mahendra BJ, Nagaraj Goud B, Asha Bullappa, Ananthachari KR, Sheethal MP, Raghini Ranganathan⁷, Anil Kumar. "Socio-demographic characteristics affecting compliance to intra dermal rabies vaccination at anti rabies clinic in a Government tertiary care hospital in Karnataka". Journal of Evolution of Medical and Dental Sciences 2013; Vol2, Issue 37, September 16; Page: 7092-7097.

BACKGROUND: Animal bite victims run the risk of contracting rabies, which is almost always fatal. Post Exposure Prophylaxis (PEP) effectively prevents the occurrence of rabies in the exposed persons. The Anti Rabies Clinic in the government hospital attached to Mandya Institute of Medical Sciences (ARC-MIMS) provides PEP to victims in and around Mandya.

METHODOLOGY:

Objectives:

- 1. To describe the sociodemographic profile of animal bite victims attending ARC-MIMS.
- 2. To determine the compliance to Intra Dermal Rabies Vaccination (IDRV) at ARC-MIMS.
- 3. To determine the socio demographic factors associated with compliance to IDRV

Study setting: Anti Rabies Clinic of Mandya Institute of Medical Sciences, Mandya.

Study period: One year (1-1-2012 to 31-12-12)

Study subjects: Animal bite victims reporting to ARC-MIMS.

Type of study: Descriptive study.

RESULTS: In the present study a total of 4264 animal bite victims were treated at the ARC-MIMS during the study period. There were 1017(23.9%) category II and 3247(76.1%) category III patients. The compliance for the 2nd dose on day 3 was 3413 (84.7%). 2552 (73.2%) of the victims came for the 3rd dose on the 7th day and only 1492 (53.2%) turned up on day 28. The completion of the schedule was higher among females, patients aged more than 45 years, urban residents and those who had a college education. There was no statistically significant difference in compliance between those who had Below Poverty Line (BPL) card and those who did not. Compliance was significantly higher among those having category II exposure and re-exposure cases.

KEY WORDS: Intra-dermal Rabies Vaccine, Compliance, RIG, Sociodemographic factors.

INTRODUCTION: Rabies is a zoonotic disease is caused by Lyssavirus. Once a person develops signs and symptoms of Rabies, it is nearly always fatal. Rabies is a neglected disease of poor and vulnerable populations whose deaths are rarely reported¹. Out of the annual 55,000 rabies deaths that occur globally, 20,000 deaths occur in India. Most of the human rabies deaths occur due to transmission of the virus by infected dogs.

The Indian Government has adopted its 'National Guidelines for Intradermal Vaccination' from World Health Organization guidelines. IDRV requires a smaller quantity

of vaccine to be injected into the skin. It is 60–80% cheaper than vaccination by intramuscular route². IDRV is also expected to increase the compliance due to lesser number of visits and decreased cost of the regimen³.

The Anti-Rabies Clinic at the Mandya Institute of Medical Sciences (ARC-MIMS) has been providing post exposure prophylaxis against rabies since 2007. Adherence to the stipulated dates and completion of the vaccination schedule is vital to prevent the disease post-exposure. Various studies on compliance have shown that the percentage of completion of the regimen varies between 35.3% and 75.5%^{4, 5, 6}. The present study tries to identify the socio-demographic factors which influence the compliance to IDRV.

Objectives: The present study was conducted

- 1. To describe the sociodemographic profile of animal bite victims attending ARC-MIMS.
- 2. To determine the compliance to IDRV at ARC- MIMS.
- 3. To determine the socio demographic factors associated with compliance to IDRV

METHODOLOGY: The present study is a retrospective record based study carried out at the ARC-MIMS where patients were provided IDRV (Updated Thai Cross regimen) for post-exposure prophylaxis. The records maintained at ARC-MIMS from 1st January 2012 to 31st December 2012 were analyzed.

The patients were categorized based on their compliance with respect to completion of one, two, three and four doses. Compliance of patients having different socio-demographic characteristics was analyzed. The socio-economic characteristics that were considered were age, sex, place of residence, education and economic status. Compliance among the patients with different categories of exposure, immunoglobulin acceptors and re-exposure victims was also analyzed.

Compliance rates were expressed in percentage. The association between compliance and different sociodemographic factors were ascertained using chi-square test. Z test for proportions was used to ascertain the difference in compliance between the post-exposure and re-exposure cases.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION: A total of 4401 animal bite victims were treated at ARC-MIMS during the study period. 4264 patients received post-exposure prophylaxis and 137 patients received booster doses in the event of re-exposure. The first dose of IDRV is given on the first day of the visit and they were advised to return on days 3, 7 and 28 to complete the immunization schedule. In case of re-exposure prophylaxis 2 doses are administered on day 0 and day 3

Out of the 4264 patients who received IDRV, 68.1% were males and 31.9% were females. Majority (44.8%) of the patients belonged to the age group of 15-45 years followed by less than 15 years of age (35.5%) and more than 45 years of age (19.7%). A large proportion of the patients were rural residents (78.6%). 38.9% of the patients had BPL card and 61.1% did not possess a BPL card. 35.1% of the patients were illiterates, 27.7% had received primary education, 21.3% had high school education and only 15.7% had college education.

It was observed that 53.2% completed the prescribed four dose schedule. Out of the 4264 patients 852 (20.0%) completed 3 doses and did not receive the fourth dose. 11.5% of the 4264

patients received only two doses. 654 (15.3%) out of the 4264 patients received only the first dose and did not turn up for the follow up visits.

Though majority (68.1%) of the animal bite victims were males, a greater proportion of the females (61.9%) completed the schedule compared to 49.2% of males who completed the schedule. The difference in completion of schedule between males and females was statistically significant (p<0.001). This is similar to the results reported by Mohammedali et al, where males tended to default more often than the females.⁶

Majority of the animal bite victims belonged to the age group 15-45 years of age (44.8%) and the compliance was least (45.0%) in the same age group. The compliance was highest (65.6%) in the patients aged more than 45 years followed by those <15 years of age (56.7%). The compliance was lowest in the age group 15-45 years possibly because they are also the working age group. The difference in compliance between these age groups was statistically significant.

The patients who received IDRV were mostly from rural areas (78.6%). However more urban dwellers (74.4%) completed the schedule when compared to the rural dwellers (47.4%). The difference was statistically significant and is possibly due to proximity of the clinic to the urban dwellers.

There was no statistically significant difference in compliance between the economic groups below poverty line (52.1%) and above the poverty line (53.9%). This is possibly due to the subsidized rates of vaccine for the patients who hold a below the poverty line card.

The patients who had attended college had the highest compliance rates (65.7%), followed by those patients who had completed high school education (57.8%). The compliance among patients who had completed primary education and illiterates were 53.4% and 44.6% respectively. There was a significant difference in compliance between the patients having different educational status. Better the education, higher was the compliance.

The compliance among patients with category II bite (71.6%) was higher than patients with category III bite (47.5%). The difference in completion of the fourth dose was statistically significant. In the study conducted by Mohammedali et al, there was no statistically significant difference in compliance between the patients with category II and category III exposures⁶.

Among the patients who had category III bite, only 14.0% had received Rabies immunoglobulin (RIG). The patients who received RIG had a higher completion rate (59.8%) when compared to those who did not receive RIG (45.5%) and this difference was statistically significant.

The completion rate for re-exposure cases (2 doses) was 70.8% compared to 53.2% among those who received IDRV for the first time (4 doses). The difference was statistically significant.

Limitations: Some patients received the first dose of the vaccine and Rabies immunoglobulin and informed that they would take the rest of the doses at a government facility which offers IDRV close to their residence. These patients were not included in the statistical analysis. Some patients did not turn up for the last visit because the bite was a provoked bite by a vaccinated healthy pet animal. The proportion of patients who did not turn up for these reasons could not be determined.

CONCLUSION: The analysis of the sociodemographic profile of the patients who attended ARC-MIMS in 2012 showed that most of them were males, the proportion of patients aged between 15-45 years was higher, many were rural residents and did not have a BPL card.

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

The completion rate for post exposure prophylaxis was 53.2%. The completion of the schedule was higher among females, patients aged more than 45 years, urban residents and those who had college education. There was no statistically significant difference in completion rates between those who had BPL card and those who didn't.

Compliance was higher among patients who had category II bite, RIG recipients and those who received re-exposure prophylaxis.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: The authors sincerely thank all the faculty of the department, the Interns and the staff of the ARC for their cooperation and support in this study. The authors are grateful to the patients who attended ARC-MIMS and participated in this study.

REFERENCES:

- 1. World Health Organization. Fact sheet on Rabies. Available at "http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs099/en/" Accessed on 6/6/2013 at 5:15 pm.
- 2. World Health Organization. India's ongoing war against rabies. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 2009, Vol 87(12) ; 885-964
- 3. Omesh Kumar Bharti OK, Wim Van Damme WV, Decoster K, Isaakidis P, Appelmans A, Ramachandran V et al. Breaking the Barriers to Access a Low Cost Intra-Dermal Rabies Vaccine through Innovative "Pooling Strategy". World Journal of Vaccines, 2012, 2, 121-124.
- Vinay M, Mahendra BJ. Compliance to Intra Dermal Rabies Vaccination Schedule (Updated Thai Red Cross) at the Anti Rabies Clinic, Mandya Institute of Medical Sciences Hospital, Mandya, Karnataka State. APCRI Journal: Vol 12(1);July 2011; 35-7
- Mahendra BJ, Harish BR, Vinay M. A Study of Factors influencing compliance to IDRV at Anti-Rabies Clinic of Mandya Institute of Medical Sciences, Mandya. APCRI Journal: Vol 11(1) July 2009; 18-20
- Mohammedali JM, Martin J, Bina T, Raphel L, Lailabi, Jayadev .Compliance to IDRV at the Anti Rabies Clinic in a Tertiary Care Hospital in Northern Kerala. APCRI Journal: Vol 12(2); July 2011; 21-4

		1 dose	2 doses	3 doses	4 doses	Total	Chi squared value	P value
Sex	Male	523	333	620	1427	2903	80.93	<0.0001
		(18.0)*	(11.5)	(21.4)	(49.2)	(68.1)		
	Female	129	158	232	842	1361		
		(9.5)	(11.6)	(17.0)	(61.9)	(31.9)		
Age	<15	211	157	287	857	1512	112.7	<0.0001
		(14)	(10.4)	(19.0)	(56.7)	(35.5)		
	15-45	340	271	440	861	1912		
		(17.8)	(14.2)	(23.0)	(45.0)	(44.8)		
	>45	101	63	125	551	840		
		(12)	(7.5)	(14.9)	(65.6)	(19.7)		
Residence	Urban	76	43	115	680	914	213.9	0.000

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

		(8.3)	(4.7)	(12.6)	(74.4)	(21.4)		
	Rural	576	448	737	1589	3350		
	Kulai	(17.2)	(13.4)	(22)	(47.4)	(78.6)		
Economic status	Below poverty	269	199	327	865	1660	2.745	0.4325
	line(BPL)	(16.2)	(11.9)	(19.7)	(52.1)	(38.9)		
	Above poverty	383	292	525	1404	2604	2.745	
	line(APL)	(14.7)	(11.2)	(20.2)	(53.9)	(61.1)		
Education	Illiterate	282	225	322	668	1497	122.3	<0.001
		(18.8)	(15.0)	(21.5)	(44.6)	(35.1)		
	Primary	174	146	230	631	1181		
		(14.7)	(12.4)	(19.5)	(53.4)	(27.7)		
	High school	120	94	169	524	907		
		(13.2)	(10.4)	(18.6)	(57.8)	(21.3)		
	College	76	26	131	446	679		
		(11.2)	(3.8)	(19.3)	(65.7)	(15.9)		
Total		652	491	852	2269	4264		
		(15.3)	(11.5)	(20.0)	(53.2)	4204		
Table no. 1: Association between compliance and age, sex, place of residence, economic status & educational status in patients who received IDRV								

*Figures in parentheses indicate percentages

	1 Dose	2 Doses	3 Doses	4 Doses	Total	P value		
Category II	113(11.1)*	66(6.5)	110(10.8)	728(71.6)	1017	< 0.001		
Category III	539(16.6)	425(13.1)	742(22.9)	1541(47.5)	3247	<0.001		
RIG non recipients	459(16.4)	391(14.0)	673(24.1)	1269(45.5)	2792	< 0.001		
RIG recipients	80(17.6)	34(7.5)	69(15.16)	272(59.8)	455			
Re-exposure cases	40(29.2)	97(70.8)	NA [†]	NA	137	<0.0001		
Table no. 2: Association of IDRV compliance with regard to category of bite, receiving Rabies Immunoglobulin and compliance among re-exposure cases								

*Figures in parentheses indicate percentages + NA – Not applicable

AUTHORS:

- 1. Vinay M.
- 2. Mahendra B.J.
- 3. Nagaraj Goud B.
- 4. Asha Bullappa
- 5. Ananthachari K.R.
- 6. Sheethal M.P.
- 7. Raghini Ranganathan
- 8. Anil Kumar

PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

- 1. Associate Professor, Department of Community Medicine, Mandya Institute of Medical Sciences.
- 2. Professor and Head, Department of Community Medicine, Mandya Institute of Medical Sciences.
- 3. Assistant Professor and Statistician, Department of Community Medicine, Mandya Institute of Medical Sciences.
- 4. Post Graduate Student, Department of Community Medicine, Mandya Institute of Medical Sciences.

- 5. Post Graduate Student, Department of Community Medicine, Mandya Institute of Medical Sciences.
- 6. Post Graduate Student, Department of Community Medicine, Mandya Institute of Medical Sciences.
- 7. Post Graduate Student, Department of Community Medicine, Mandya Institute of Medical Sciences.
- 8. Tutor, Department of Community Medicine, Mandya Institute of Medical Sciences.

NAME ADDRESS EMAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Dr. Raghini Ranganathan, Department of Community Medicine, Mandya Institute of Medical Sciences, Mandya – 571401. Email- raghinister@gmail.com

> Date of Submission: 05/09/2013. Date of Peer Review: 06/09/2013. Date of Acceptance: 10/09/2013. Date of Publishing: 12/09/2013