DOI: 10.14260/jemds/2015/1040

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

CLINICAL PROFILE OF CHILDREN IN THE AGE GROUP 6 MONTHS TO 60 MONTHS WITH LOWER RESPIRATORY TRACT INFECTION

Bharath Kumar T[1], Arulkumaran A[2]

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE:
Bharath Kumar T. Arulkumaran A. "Clinical Profile of Children in the Age Group 6 Months to 60 Months with Lower Respiratory Tract Infection". Journal of Evolution of Medical and Dental Sciences 2015; Vol. 4, Issue 41, May 21; Page: 7154-7172, DOI: 10. 14260/jemds/2015/1040

ABSTRACT: CONTEXT: Infections of the respiratory tract are perhaps the most common human ailments. Acute Respiratory Infections (ARI) has quite a high morbidity and mortality in children in developing countries[1] ARI is responsible for about 30-50 percent of visits to health facilities and for about 20-40 percent of hospital admissions. Pneumonia is a leading cause of mortality in children worldwide. Because mortality due to pneumonia in developing countries is attributable mainly to bacterial etiology, IMNCI strategy recommends the use of antibiotics when a child presented with tachypnea as defined previously. **AIMS:** To re-define or refine tachypnea as a specific indicator of bacterial pneumonia. To identify other clinical predictors for identifying bacterial pneumonia. **DESIGNS:** The study was designed to be done in two phases**.** In the first phase it is to be carried out as a descriptive study of children presenting with fever and respiratory distress in the OPD to identify the specific markers for bacterial pneumonia. In the second phase presenting clinical features in children with radiological pneumonia will be analysed to validate the findings from Phase I. **MATERIALS AND METHODS:** This was a hospital based study and was conducted in Sri Manakula Vinayagar Medical College and Hospital, Puducherry. The study included 100 Children in the age group 6 months to 5 years presenting in the out patients department with fever and respiratory distress Children attending the out-patient department on a fixed day of the week (Monday) and who come under this study population during the study period were admitted and recruited in the study and informed verbal consent for participation was taken from the parents. Their clinical profiles were recorded as in phase I. All children coming under this study population were given antibiotics and supportive treatment. The cases were monitored for any worsening or improvement every 6th hourly on day 1 and vital parameters were monitored. Phase II: 50 Children of both sexes in the age group 6 months to 60 months with radiologically diagnosed pneumonitis and pneumonia. **STATISTICAL ANALYSIS:** Results were tabulated and percentage proportions for epidemiological and clinical symptomatology were arrived. For both groups comparative student's 't' test was used to compare the data between the pneumonia group and no pneumonia group. P-value<0.05 was considered significant. Receiver- operator characteristic (ROC) curve done for temperature and respiratory rate was done to define cut-off point to predict pneumonia using SPSS software. **RESULTS:** For the children 6-11 months, respiratory rate of 53.5 and above was found to predict pneumonia with a sensitivity of 83% and specificity 68.9% (95% C.I=0.72, 0.93) (p-value:0.00). For children 12-60 month's cut-off rate of 43.5 with a sensitivity of 80.8% and specificity of 45.5% (95% C.I. = 0.56, 0.81) (p-value: 0.01). For children 6-11 months, temperature 37.6⊆c and above was found to best predictor of pneumonia with sensitivity of 88% and specificity of 73.3% (95% C.I.=0.79, 0.90) (p-value: 0.00). **CONCLUSION:** It can be concluded from the study that hyper-reactive airway disease can be differentiated from pneumonia, to a reasonable extent on the basis of clinical features like fever, RR. This may help in rational management with antibiotics, bronchodilators and steroids in

DOI: 10.14260/jemds/2015/1040

these children. This study offers possibility of redefining the current algorithm by incorporating simple predictors that have potential application to the Para-medical personnel.

KEYWORDS: Pneumonia, radiological investigation, clinical signs of pneumonia.

INTRODUCTION: Infections of the respiratory tract are perhaps the most common human ailments. Acute Respiratory Infections (ARI) has quite a high morbidity and mortality in children in developing countries.[1] On an average, children below 5 years of age suffer about 5 episodes of ARIs annually regardless of, where they live, or what their economic situation is, (Kamath and others, 1969, Monto and Ullman 1974) thus accounting for about 228 million attacks, although most of the attacks are mild and self-limiting episode. ARI is responsible for about 30-50 percent of visits to health facilities and for about 20-40 percent of hospital admissions. Pneumonia is a leading cause of mortality in children worldwide. Estimates of the mortality burden are problematic.

The World Health Organization (WHO) propose 2-6 million childhood deaths annually attributed to acute lower respiratory tract illnesses (ALRI).[2] Although the delivery of current and new vaccines is obviously a key issue in the control of ALRI, case management has been the mainstay of international attempts to reduce this burden of disease. During last 20 years, many countries have implemented the case management algorithms developed by the WHO. There is good evidence that such programs have reduced mortality from this condition in developing countries.[3] In the last few years, these and other disease- specific algorithms have been incorporated into the integrated management of childhood illness approach that has been developed by the WHO.

The primary purpose of such an algorithm is to prevent mortality due to bacterial pneumonia. However an unknown proportion of children managed in this fashion will have a viral related wheezing illness or asthma, rather than pneumonia. Although it is unlikely that wheezing syndromes are a significant cause of mortality for children in developing countries, these algorithms are likely to result in unnecessary administration of antibiotics as well as inadequate treatment of recurrent wheezing illness. If these issues are to be tackled, we need to carefully review the existing evidence about wheezing illness in early life.

There are only a small number of population- based studies on natural history that assess symptoms and lung function in the first six years of life. All these studies are from developed countries. The most important of these is the Tuscan Children Respira - studies commenced in the early 1980s in Arizona, USA.[4] This group prospectively enrolled 1246 newborn children in 1980. Follow-up data was available at both 3 and 6 years of age for 826 children. This study demonstrated that almost 60% of children who were in the first few years of life have ceased wheezing by age 6. Most children with wheeze in the first three years of life have a transient syndrome that may be related to pre-existing reduced airway function at birth and this condition is not associated with features of atopy or future development of asthma.

Non-asthmatic wheeze in early childhood is associated with inter current viral illness. The risk factors for this syndrome appear to be:

- Reduced lung function that may reflect impaired lung growth in the intrauterine period.
- Exposure to tobacco smoke either during the prenatal or early childhood period.[4,5] In both developed and developing countries, respiratory.

Syncytial virus is the predominant etiological agent often responsive for bronchiolitis and wheezing illness in the first 2 years of life.[6,7] Moreover data from several studies demonstrate that

RSV infection and the bronchiolitis syndrome are a major component of the total ALRI in children living in developing countries. The relevant literature on therapy includes studies in which children were labelled as bronchiolitis and others in which children were classified as wheezing illness.

Unfortunately we do not have clear evidence about whether antibiotics can be withheld in some categories of children with tachypnea. It is clear that tachypnea occurs in bacterial infection and in addition, co-infection with virus and bacteria has been well demonstrated in several studies on pneumonia etiology in children. Although some studies have found that children with more severe disease or who are blood culture positive are more likely to be febrile at the time of presentation, these signs are not sufficiently sensitive or specific to determine whether antibiotics should be administered.[8,9]

There is substantial evidence that the prevalence of hyper-reactive airway disease is increasing worldwide both in developed and developing countries. A study conducted by a paediatric pulmonologist in metropolitan cities showed steady rise of prevalence from 9% to 29.5%.[10,11] This steady rise in prevalence correlated with demographic changes in this city like increase in industries, increased density of population from migration of rural population in search of jobs and increased number of automobiles to commute resulting in air pollution.

The study concluded that allergic respiratory disorders in particular asthma are increasing in the developing countries and pose a serious global health problem in children and economic burden.[11] WHO developed the programme for the control of respiratory infections in 1980s which was included as a component of the integrated management of childhood illness strategy in the mid-1990s.[12] This strategy includes utilization of simple clinical signs and symptoms with high sensitivity and specificity to be adopted at first level health facilities by paramedical personnel.[12] Using this algorithm, pneumonia is diagnosed by the presence of tachypnea defined as respiratory rate >60 breaths / minute among children aged <2 months, >50 breaths/minute among children aged 2-11 months and >40 breaths / minute among the children aged 12-59 months.

Because mortality due to pneumonia in developing countries is attributable mainly to bacterial etiology, IMNCI strategy recommends the use of antibiotics when a child presented with tachypnea as defined previously.[13] Despite the proven benefit of this programme, there has been some concern about specificity of the WHO pneumonia algorithm and IMNCI leading to unnecessary use of antibiotics in regions with high prevalence of wheezing illness.[14]

In this context, it is also important to consider that asthma and other wheezing illness do occur and they can be diagnosed in children who present with cough and difficult breathing and they can be treated with only bronchodilators without the need for antibiotics.[15] The study was designed to evaluate the potential use of fever, chest in drawing and the effect of bronchodilator response in children with cough and tachypnea as tools to exclude the diagnosis of pneumonia and thus to refine the use of antibiotics.

PNEUMONIA: Both bacteria and viruses can cause pneumonia. Bacterial pneumonia is often caused by Streptococcus pneumoniae (pneumococcus) or Haemophilus influenza mostly type b (Hib) and occasionally by Staphylococcus aureus or other Streptococcus. Just 8 to 12 of the many types of Pneumococcous cause most cases of bacterial pneumonia although specific types may vary between adults and children and between geographic locations. Other pathogens such as mycoplasma pneumonae and chlamydia pneumonae cause atypical pneumonias.

DOI: 10.14260/jemds/2015/1040

Upper respiratory tract colonization with potentially pathogenic organisms and aspiration of the contaminated secretions has been implicated in the pathogenesis of bacterial pneumonia in young children. Infection of the upper respiratory tract with influenza virus or RSVs has been shown to increase the binding of both H. influenza (Jiang and others, 1999)[16] and streptococcus pneumoniae (Hament and others 2004; McCuller and Bartmess)[17] to lining cells in the nasopharynx.

This finding may explain the increased rates of pneumococcal pneumonia parallel to influenza and RSV epidemics. Viruses are responsible for 40 to 50 percent of infection in infants and children hospitalized for pneumonia in developing countries. Measles viruses, RSV, Para-influenza viruses, Iinfluenza type A virus and adenoviruses are the most important cause of viral pneumonia. Differentiating between viral and bacterial pneumonias radiologically is difficult, partly because the lesions look similar and partly because bacterial super-infection occurs with influenza, measles, and RSV infections (Ghafoer and Others, 1990).[18]

PNEUMONIA DIAGNOSIS BASED ON RAPID BREATHING: The initial guidelines for detecting pneumonia based on rapid breathing were developed in Papua New Guinea during the 1970s. WHO recommends a respiratory rate cut-off of 50 breaths per minute for infants aged 2 months to 11 months and 40 breaths per minute for children age 12 months to 5 years.

Rapid breathing as defined by WHO, defects about 85 percent of children with pneumonia, and more than 80 percent of children with potentially fatal pneumonia are probably successfully identified and treated using the WHO diagnostic criteria. Antibiotic treatment of children with rapid breathing has been shown to reduce mortality. The problem of the low specificity of the rapid breathing criterion is that some 70 to 80 percent of children who may not need antibiotics will receive them.

PNEUMONIA DIAGNOSIS BASED ON CHEST WALL IN DRAWING: Children are admitted to hospital with severe pneumonia when health workers believe that oxygen or parenteral antibiotics are needed or when they lack confidence in the mother's ability to cope. The rationale of parenteral antibiotics is to achieve a higher level of antibiotics and to overcome concerns about the absorption of oral drugs in ill children.

The Papua New Guinea study (Shann, Harl and Thomas 1984)[19] used chest wall in drawing as the main indicator of severity, but studies from different parts of the world show larger differences in the rates of in drawing because of variable definitions. Restriction of the term to lower chest wall in drawing, defined as inward movement of the bony structures of chest wall with inspiration has provided a better indicator of the severity of pneumonia and one that can be taught to health workers. It is more specific than intercostal in drawing which frequently occurs in bronchiolitis.

Studies in the Philippines and Swaziland (E. Mulholand and other, 1992)[20] found that lower chest wall in drawing was more specific than intercostal in drawing for a diagnosis of severe pneumonia requiring hospital admission. In the Vellore study (Cherian and Other, 1988)[21] lower chest wall in drawing correctly predicts 79 percent of children with LRI who were hospitalised by a paediatrician.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE:

1. **Simple Predictors to Differentiate Acute Asthma from Ari Children**: Sachdev HP et al conducted a study to evaluate simple predictors to differentiate these two conditions to refine the recommended case management. In a case-control comparison, children between 6 months

DOI: 10.14260/jemds/2015/1040

to 60 months age who presented with cough and rapid breathing due to asthma (n=100), ARI (n=100) were evaluated. Only 34% of asthmatics had an audible wheeze. Significant independent predictors on multiple logistic regression analysis were, number of earlier attacks and fever (or temperature). The best predictor for asthma was two or more earlier similar episodes (sensitivity 84%, specificity 84%) followed by temperature <37.6 degree C (Sensitivity 73%, specificity 84%). It is concluded that simple clinical predictors can differentiate acute asthma and ARI.[15]

2. **Respiratory Rate and Signs in Roentgen Graphically Confirmed Pneumonia among Children in China:** Dai Y et al studied clinical signs in the diagnosis of radiologically confirmed pneumonia among 54 children under 5 years of age. The mean respiratory rate among children with cough and fever was 50 breaths/min for infants, 40 breaths/min for children aged 1-5, compared with 40/min and 30/min respectively for no pneumonia children. The researchers deemed these rates to be the cut-off criterion for rapid breathing. Nasal flaring, chest in drawing and cyanosis had high specificities.[22]

3. **Improving Antibiotic and Bronchodilator Prescription in Children Presenting with Difficult Breathing- Experience from an Urban Referral Hospital:** Sachdev Hp et al conducted a prospective observational study in urban tertiary care centre. Two hundred children aged between 6 months and 5 years presenting with difficult breathing (As defined by WHO algorithm) were prospectively evaluated for the diagnosis and the need for bronchodilator and antibiotic therapy.

   On the basis of reliable predictors (sensitivity > 70% and specificity > 70%) of antibiotic and bronchodilator prescription, irrespective of the exact diagnostic category, two viable modifications of WHO case management algorithm emerged - (i) previous similar episode of cough and difficult breathing, and (ii) fever. Acute asthma was the predominant condition (46% or 54%), pneumonia alone was rare (10%), and co-existence of pneumonia with bronchospasm was more frequent (22% or 15%). The study concluded that it is feasible to amalgamate these simple clinical features in the WHO case management algorithm to significantly refine the antibiotic (95% CI range 7% to 33%) and bronchodilator (35%; 95% CI 27% to 43%) prescription.[15]

4. **Additional Markers to Refine the World Health Organization Algorithm for Diagnosis of Pneumonia**: Castrol AV et al conducted a prospective study in urban tertiary care hospital to examine the value of history of previous respiratory distress, chest in drawing and fever and response to bronchodilator to refine these guidelines. Children aged between 6 months and 59 months presenting with cough and tachypnea (182 children) were enrolled. Each child had a chest x-ray done that was read by two blinded, independent, radiologists. Discordance between two radiologists led to excluding 17 patients. The remaining 165 children were examined for fever and/or chest in drawing and if they had a history of previous respiratory distress, challenged with bronchodilator.

   The association of persistent tachypnea after bronchodilator and presence of pulmonary infiltrate was recorded. Pneumonia was radiologically diagnosed in 26/165 (15.8 percent). 2/40 (5%) of children without history of previous respiratory distress had

DOI: 10.14260/jemds/2015/1040

pneumonia. Of the 125 children with history of previous respiratory distress, pneumonia was identified in 24 (19.2%). Persistence of tachypnea after bronchodilator was associated with pulmonary infiltrate in 14/24 (58.3 percent) whereas tachypnea persisted in 32/101 (37%) children without pulmonary infiltrates (p = 0.02). The negative predictive value of resolution of tachypnea was 87%. Bronchodilator non-response was most useful in children without fever and or with chest in drawing to indicate pneumonia as the cause of tachypnea.

This study indicates that by adding the simple procedures of a history of previous respiratory distress, recording of fever and chest in drawing, and observing the response to bronchodilators, pneumonia can be reliably identified in children presenting with tachypnea and cough. It is probable that this approach to management of children with cough and tachypnea could reduce unnecessary use of antibiotics.[23]

STUDY JUSTIFICATION: Most of the fevers with respiratory illness are virus associated and self-limiting. Routine blood investigation and radiological imaging are not required and increases the cost of the treatment.

This study is expected to describe the distribution of disease in fever with respiratory distress in tertiary care hospital, to find additional markers to identify and predict pneumonia in children with tachypnoea.

AIM OF THE STUDY:

1. To re-define or refine tachypnea as a specific indicator of bacterial pneumonia.
2. To identify other clinical predictors for identifying bacterial pneumonia.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS: The study was designed to be done in two phases. In the first phase it is to be carried out as a descriptive study of children presenting with fever and respiratory distress in the OPD to identify the specific markers for bacterial pneumonia. In the second phase presenting clinical features in children with radiological pneumonia will be analysed to validate the findings from Phase I.

Study Period: Nov. 2012 to Oct. 2014.
Study Place: Sri Manakulavinayagar Medical College & Hospital, Puducherry.

Phase I
Sample Size: 100 children.
Study Population: Children presenting in the out patients department with fever and respiratory distress.

Inclusion Criteria: Children in the age group 6 months to 5 years with pneumonia as defined by the WHO i.e. children with the following symptoms.

- Fever <5 days and,
- Cough & cold <1 week,
- Age specific tachypnea with or without lung signs (Wheeze or crepts) i.e., u50 breaths/min in 2 months to 11 months. U 40 breaths/min in 12-60 months.
- In drawing of chest.

DOI: 10.14260/jemds/2015/1040

Exclusion Criteria: Child with severe illness as defined by WHO like not able to take oral feed, stridor in a calm child, severe malnutrition, convulsions, abnormal sleepy.

Children with an established diagnosis of bronchial asthma Children who had similar illness in the last 2 weeks.

Antibiotics used in the last 2 weeks.

Children with established diagnosis of other chronic illness like congenital heart disease, tuberculosis.

Immuno-deficient children, or on steroid therapy.

Children with respiratory failure.

Children who were intubated and ventilated.

Children requiring inotropic support.

Manoeuvre: Children in the age group of 6 months to 5 years satisfying the above criteria were enrolled in this phase of the study. A lower age limit of 6 months was used because the diagnosis of hyper-reactive airway disease below this age is uncommon and the likelihood of pneumonia is more in younger infants. Children attending the out-patient department on a fixed day of the week (Monday) and who come under the study population during the study period were admitted and recruited in the study and informed verbal consent for participation was taken from the parents.

Clinical And Investigative Evaluation: The detailed clinical evaluation of these subjects, done by a single observer was recorded on the proforma. Special emphasis was given to symptoms like cough, fever, nasal discharge, tachypnea, chest in drawing, and refusal of feeds.

On Physical Examination:

The following were specifically looked for: Toxic look investigator's impression.

Temperature: Axillary temperature (In degree Celsius) was recorded for 3 minutes.

Pulse rate: Counted by palpating radial pulse for 60 seconds.

Respiratory rate: Counted by observing the movement of chest and abdomen for 60 seconds in an awake but quite child.

Chest in drawing by observing the inward movement of the bony structure of the lower chest wall during inspiration.

Nasal discharge if present was classified into watery, muco-purulent or purulent.

Examine chest to look especially for breath sounds and added sounds like crepitation's and wheeze. For wheeze, it was also ascertained prior to auscultation, whether the sound was audible without the stethoscope:

1. Abdomen- Liver and spleen were noted.
2. Other systemic examination was also conducted.

Investigations performed in all subjects include chest roentgenogram and total count and peripheral smear.

Treatment: All cases admitted were given supportive treatment, which include oxygen, if not maintaining saturation antipyretics, if febrile intravenous fluids, if not able to take orally,
Bronchodilators (Aerosolized beta-2-agonist), or steroids if wheeze is present.

Normal saline nasal drops if nasal symptoms are present.

The cases were monitored for any worsening or improvement every 6th hourly on day 1 and vital parameters were monitored. When the clinical condition is not improving or x-ray chest suggests pneumonia, antibiotics were started.

Radiological Evaluation: Evaluation of the chest was done by a radiologist who was unaware of the clinical diagnosis.

Phase - II
Sample Size: 50 children
Study Population: Children with radiologically diagnosed pneumonitis and pneumonia.

Inclusion Criteria: Children of both sexes in the age group 6 months to 60 months with radiologically diagnosed pneumonitis and pneumonia.

Exclusion Criteria: Child with severe illness as defined by WHO like not able to take oral feed, stridor in a calm child, severe malnutrition, convulsions, abnormal sleepy Children with an established diagnosis of bronchial asthma Children who had similar illness in the last 2 weeks.

Antibiotics used in the last 2 weeks.

Children with established diagnosis of other chronic illness like congenital heart disease, tuberculosis.

Immunodeficient children, or on steroid therapy.

Children with respiratory failure.

Children who were intubated and ventilated.

Children requiring inotropic support.

Manoeuvre: Children attending the out-patient department on a fixed day of the week (Monday) and who come under this study population during the study period were admitted and recruited in the study and informed verbal consent for participation was taken from the parents. Their clinical profiles were recorded as in phase I. All children coming under this study population were given antibiotics and supportive treatment. The cases were monitored for any worsening or improvement every 6th hourly on day 1 and vital parameters were monitored.

Diagnostic Definitions: No universally acceptable criteria are available to objectively define pneumonia.[24] Pneumonia is known to occur without cough, respiratory distress or obvious radiological abnormalities. Conversely, infiltrative changes in the x-ray are possible even in bronchiolitis or asthma.[24] Since the WHO guidelines are intended to rationalize case management in a simplified manner for paramedical personnel, the diagnostic capabilities of trained paediatricians with access to investigations could reasonably be considered as the 'gold standard' for this purpose. In this context, the following operational definitions of pneumonia, asthma and bronchiolitis were reported.

Pneumonia: Fever of more than 1000F with cough along with respiratory distress, crepts and/or wheeze and Chest X-ray evidence of pneumonia.

DOI: 10.14260/jemds/2015/1040

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS: Results were tabulated and percentage proportions for epidemiological and clinical symptomatology were arrived.

For both groups comparative student's 't' test was used to compare the data between the pneumonia group and no pneumonia group. P-value <0.05 was considered significant.

Receiver- operator characteristic (ROC) curve done for temperature and respiratory rate was done to define cut-off point to predict pneumonia using SPSS software.

RESULTS:

1.1 Epidemological Data:

Phase –I: Children recruited with WHO defined pneumonia –100.S

Age in Months	No. of children	Parentage
6 –11	39	39%
12 –60	61	61%

Table 1



2.2 Phase –II: Children identified to have x-ray evidence of pneumonia 50.

Age in Months	No. of Children	Parentage
6 –11	23	46%
12 –60	27	54%

Table 2



DOI: 10.14260/jemds/2015/1040

In WHO defined phenumonia group, 39 children (39%) were between 6 months to 11 months and 61 children (61%) were between 12-60 months.

In radiologically defined pneumonia group, 23 children (46%) were in the age group 6 to 11 months and 27 children (54%) were in the age group 12 months to 60 months.

2. Sex Distribution:

Phase	Male		Female	
	No. of Children	Percentage	No. of Children	Percentage
Phase –I	56	56%	44	44%
Phase –II	26	52%	24	48%

Table 3



There was equal distribution of the study population between both the sexes. No sexual preference was noted in ARIs. In child with WHO defined pneumonia, there were 56 males (56%) and females 44(44%). In radiologically defined pneumonia group, there were 26 male children (52%) and 24 female children (48%).

The male female ratio was 56:44 (1.27:1) in the phase I study, and 52:48 (1.08:1) in the phase II study. This difference is not statistically significant.

3. Clinical Examination:

Symptoms and signs	Phase –I n=100		Phase –II n=50	
	No. of children	Percentage	No. of children	Percentage
Cough	100	100%	50	100%
Tachypnea	100	100%	50	100%
(Age specific) Fever	63	63%	43	86%
SCR/ICR	68	68%	38	76%
Crepitations	88	88%	50	100%
Wheeze	82	82%	11	22%
Nose block / Discharge	76	76%	14	28%
Stridor	2	2%	0	0%

Table 4

DOI: 10.14260/jemds/2015/1040



In Phase –I study group, tachypnea and cough were present in all children. Fever was present in 63% and subcostal and intercostal retractions were identified in 68%. Wheeze and creptitations are seen in 82% and 88% respectively. Majority of the children had nasal block or nasal discharge (76%). The above-said symptoms were significantly higher in the phase –I study than the phase –II study.

In phase –II study, cough, tachypnea and crepitations were seen in all children.

Fever was the predominant symptom in 86% and retractions in 76% of the study population.

2.2.1X-ray findings in Phase –I:

X-ray Findings	No. of children	Percentage
Bronchiolitis	34	34%
BHI	61	61%
Bronchopneumonia	5	5%

Table 5



DOI: 10.14260/jemds/2015/1040

Comparison of Children with no Pneumonia and Pneumonia: In view of the fact that majority of the X-rays were normal and we have a group where there is a x-ray evidence of pneumonia, an attempt was made to define those who are likely to have bacterial pneumonia with the three parameters namely, respiratory rate, fever and leucocytosis.

Comparison of parameters in the 6-11 months age Group: Mean respiratory rate for 6-11 months were 55±2 in no pneumonia group and 56±2 in no pneumonia group. Mean temperature for 6 to11 months were 37±0.7 in no pneumonia group and 38.2±0.5 in pneumonia group.

Parameter	Mean + SD		p-value
	No Pneumonia	Pneumonia	
RR	55±2	56±2	0.00
Temperature	37.1±0.7	38.2±0.5	0.00

Table 6

Comparison of parameters in 6-11 months age group:



Comparison of parameters in the 12-60 months age group:

Parameter	Mean + SD		p-value
	No Pneumonia	Pneumonia	
RR	44±2	46±2	0.04
Temperature	37.2±0.8	38.2±0.4	0.00

Table 7

DOI: 10.14260/jemds/2015/1040

Comparison of parameters in 12-60 months age group:



Mean respiratory rate for 12-60 months were 44+2 in no pneumonia group and 46+2 in pneumonia group. Mean temperature for 12-60 months were 37.2+0.8 in no pneumonia group and 38.2+0.4 in pneumonia group.

Developments: The ROC waory rate, temperature



Area under the curve=0.83 (95% C.I. = 0.720.93) p-value= 0.00): The best cut off lies at 53.5 with a sensitivity of 83% and specificity of 68.9%. An area of 0.83, for example, means that a randomly selected child with pneumonia has a RR larger than that for a randomly chosen child without pneumonia 83% of the time.

DOI: 10.14260/jemds/2015/1040



Area under the curve = 0.69 (95% C.I. = 0.56, 0.81) (p value=0.01): The best cut off lies at 43.5 with a sensitivity of 80.8% and specificity of 45.5%.

An area of 0.69, for example, means that a randomly selected child with pneumonia has RR larger than that for a randomly chosen child without pneumonia 69% of the time.

Development of OC curve for temperature between 6 months –11 months:



Area under the curve =0.88 (95% C.I =0.79, 0.96) (p-value=0.00): The best cut off lies at 37.6°C with a sensitivity of 88% and specificity of 73.3%.

DOI: 10.14260/jemds/2015/1040

Development of ROC curve for temperature between 12 months –60 months:



Area under the curve = 0.82 (95% C.I. = 0.74, 0.91) (p-value=0.00): The best cut off lies at 37.6 with a sensitivity of 92% and specificity of 67.3%

Accuracy is measured by the area under the ROC curve. An area of 1represents a perfect test; an area of 5 represents a worthless test. A rough guide for classifying the accuracy of a diagnostic test is the traditional academic point system.

.90-1 = excellent (A).
0.80-90 = good (B).
.70-80 = fair (C).
.60-70 = poor (D).
.50-60 = fail (F).

Outcome: Children with no pneumonia treated only with supportive care showed symptomatic improvement in wheeze and respiratory distress in 10-14 hrs with quick response to bronchodilators and in bronchiolitis within 24-48 hrs and in bronchopneumonia within 2-4 days. No deaths were observed in both the study groups.

DISCUSSION: Pneumonia has been a major cause of mortality in under five age group, accounting for 14.3% deaths in infancy and 15.9% during 1-5 years. Pneumonia was mainly diagnosed by clinicians based on clinical findings and x-ray evidence. Majority of the children in developing countries do not have access to either a skilled person or to radiological investigations. By the time they reach either of these, it is too late for the child with many fold increase in risk of mortality.

It was such a scenario that various clinical parameters were assessed by various group of researchers, age specific tachypnea was identified as the single most sensitive indicator of pneumonia. Various community based study proved (The Papua New Guinea study, (Shann, Hard and Thomas, 1984)[25] and Cherian and others, 1988 in India and (Mulholland and others, 1992).[25] On the basis of these and other data (Cambell, Byass and others 1989), Kolstad and others, 1997, Perkins and others, 1997,[16] Redd 1994, Simoes and other 1997,[24] Weber and others, 1997). WHO recommends respiratory rate cut-off 50 breaths per minutes for infants'age group 11 months and 40 breaths per minute age 12 months to 60 months).

DOI: 10.14260/jemds/2015/1040

The skill of simple counting of respiratory rate can be easily acquired by health care provider at primary health centre level. WHO developed algorithm for ARI management based on tachypnea, chest in drawing and danger signs. This WHO based protocol has been very effective in reducing mortality due to pneumonia.

However tachypnea was not a specific indicator and could be due to other conditions like viral pneumonias and bronchiolitis and allergic airway disease etc.

In India, especially in southern states, the last decade has witnessed rapid phase of industrialization, change in life style and upward mobility of the populations. Industrial houses have been setting up factories in rural areas thereby increasing the changes of pollution and hyper-reactive airway disease. In such a scenario, it is but natural for more cases of hyper-reactive airway disease and viral pneumonia to occur.

It is against this background that WHO defined pneumonia was revisited by this study to refine the parameters in order to filter out allergic airway disease and viral pneumonia. Over-use of antibiotics and non-usage of supportive measures like nebulization could result in higher cost and morbidity and mortality.

Pneumonia and other airway disease affect the infants much more than older children. This has been proved in study conducted by V. P. Reddaiah and S. K. Kapoor[19] in AIIMS in India. 47.7% of pneumonia occurs in infants. 87.7% occurred in children below 3 years. Males had a relatively higher incidence of pneumonia (0.32 Vs 0.27).

And it has been confirmed by this study. In our study, the infants constituted 46% of the total pneumonias and the 12 to 60 month age group constituted 54%. There is no sex prediction for the affliction with airway illness. Clinical trial of fever, breathlessness, and cough is common to both the groups as proved by our study. However among the No pneumonia group, fever, wheeze, and nasal discharge seem to be the commonest presentation. N. Shimojoet al,[26] study found that the association of wheezing illness with allergic rhinitis is about 35 to 48%.

Three clinical parameters respiratory rate, fever found to be significantly different between pneumonia and no pneumonia group especially after nebulisation. Thus if tachypnea, which is a simple clinical parameter which can be easily practised in primary care settings can be redefined and temperature, again a simple clinical parameter which can be easily practised to identify bacterial pneumonias, it could cause a significant reduction in cost of management and over-use of antibiotics and better utilization of supportive therapy.

Receiver-operator characteristic curve was developed for the parameters of tachypnea, fever.

For the children 6-11 months, respiratory rate of 53.5 and above was found to predict pneumonia with a sensitivity of 83% and specificity 68.9% (95% C.I = 0.72, 0.93) (p-value:0.00).

For children 12-60 month's cut-off rate of 43.5 with a sensitivity of 80.8% and specificity of 45.5% (95% C.I. = 0.56, 0.81) (p-value: 0.01).

For children 6-11 months, temperature 37.6⊆c and above was found to best predictor of pneumonia with sensitivity of 88% and specificity of 73.3% (95% C.I. = 0.79, 0.90) (p-value: 0.00) or children 12-60 months, the best cut-off value of temperature at 36.6⊆c and above with sensitivity of 92% and specificity of 67.3% (95% C.I. =0.74, 0.91) (p-value: 0.00).

The similar study done by Naresh Kumar et al[27] in a tertiary care hospital found that 88% infants having bronchopneumonia had fever >100⊆F and only 12% infants had temperature <100⊆F. Presence of fever >100⊆F had a sensitivity of 88% and specificity of 76% in the diagnosis of bronchopneumonia in a child having respiratory distress and wheeze.

DOI: 10.14260/jemds/2015/1040

Hence it is concluded by the study that any child <1 year presenting with respiratory rate u54 /minute and having temperature of 37.6⊆c and above more likely suffer from pneumonia especially if rate is counted after nebulisation. Similarly any infant presenting with running nose, audible wheeze, respiratory rate <54, temperature <37.6°C is likely to present with viral or allergic airway disease. Especially the rate is recorded after nebulisation and x-ray if the situation warrants.

Likewise in children in the age group 12-60 months, respiratory rate u44 and temperature u37.6°C are more likely to have pneumonia especially if the rate is counted after nebulisation.

The study recommends that nebulisation services be made available in primary health centres and redefined tachypnea as an indicator of pneumonia if respiratory rate is >54 in infants and >44 in children between 12-60 months even after nebulisation.

LIMITATION OF THE STUDY: This study needs to be validated in community settings by the primary health care provider.

Different geographical represents and presence or absence of pollution may alter the predictive ability of the tachypnea.

CONCLUSION: It can be concluded from the study that hyper-reactive airway disease can be differentiated from pneumonia, to a reasonable extent on the basis of clinical features like fever, RR. This may help in rational management with antibiotics, bronchodilators and steroids in these children. This study offers possibility of redefining the current algorithm by incorporating simple predictors that have potential application to the Para-medical personnel. Our study indicates the need for initiating multi-centric trials in diverse settings to confirm or refute the findings.

A confirmation has practical implication for refining the current case management of a child presenting with difficult breathing. In this context, the feasibility of simplified delivery of aerosolized bronchodilator therapy through a metered dose inhaler and nebulizer merits exploration. Prevention of over-use of antibiotics and the obvious economic advantage are the major advantages of the refined / re-defined algorithm used.

BIBLIOGRAPHY:

1. World health organisation. Conquering suffering. Enriching Humanity Geneva, WHO, 1997.
2. Muholland EK, Magnitude of the Problem of Childhood Pneumonia in developing countries. Lancet 1999; 354; 590-592.
3. SazwalS.Black R. Meta-analysis of intervention trials of case management of pneumonia in community settings lancet 1992; 340: 528-533. Mortinez et al. Asthma and Whazing in the first six year of life. N. Eng.Med. 1993; 332: 133-138.
4. Stein RT et al. Influence of Parental smoking on respiratory symptoms during first decade of life, the Tuscon Children's Respiratory study. Am. Epidermiol 1999; 1149: 1030-1037.
5. Selwyn BJ, The epidemiology of acute respiratory tract infection in young children. Comparison of findings from several developing countries, co-ordinated Data group of BOSTID researchers, Rev.Inf. Dis. 1998; 51:1225-1232.
6. Glazen P. Denny, FW epidemiology of acute lower respiratory disease in children. N Engl. J. Med. 1973; 498-505.
7. EI Redhi et al. Association of fever and severe clinical course in bronchiolitis. Arch. Dis. Child 1999; 81: 231-234.

8. Weber MW, et al. The clinical; spectrum of respiratory syncytial virus disease in the Gambia. Pediatr Infect Dis. 1998; 17: 224-230.
9. Paramesh, H, L.Subramanyam, Somu Bronchial asthma. I.A.P.Text book of Paediatrics 2nd edition 2002; 399-407.
10. Paramesh H. Effect of urbanisation, air pollution on health. 2ndinternational conference on Environmental and Health. Bangalore.
11. Paramesh H. Epidemiology of Asthma India.Indian J. Paediatric. 2002; 19(4): 309-312.
12. World health organisation Technical basis for WHO recommendations on the management of Pneumonia in children at first level health facilities Geneva, WHO Document WHO/ARI/90-120, 1991.
13. Nascimento-carvalho CM. Control of Respiratory Infection. In. Guptes. Recent advances in Pediatrics-13. New Delhi Jaypee Brothers 2003.P.159-174.
14. Torzillo, PJ, Wheezing and the management algorithms for Pneumonia in developing Countries. Indian Paediatric.2001; 38: 321-826.
15. Sachdev et al. A improving antibiotic and bronchodilator prescription in children presenting with difficult treating experience from urban hospital in India. Indian Pedietr.2001; 38: 827-838.
16. Byce et al. WHO child health Epidemiology reference group. 2005 with estimates of the causes of death in children. Lancet 365: 1147-52.
17. Bermans 1995. Otitis Media in children New England Journal of Medicine 332(23): 1560-65.
18. Williams et al. Estimates of "World Wide Distribution of child deaths from acute respiratory infections". Lancet Infections Disease 2: 25-32.
19. Bronchodilators and other medication for the treatment of wheeze associated illness in young children. Programme for control of Acute Respiratory infections, Geneva, World Health organisation. Document WHO/ARI/93. 25, 1993.
20. Cherian et al. Evaluation of simple clinical signs for the Diagnosis of Acute Lower Respiratory Tract Infection". Lancet 2: 125-28.
21. Dai Y et al. Respiratory rate and signs in roentgen graphically confirmed pneumonia among children in China. Paediatric Infect. Dis. J. 1995 Jan; 14(1): 48-50.
22. Hament et al. "Enhanced Adherence of Streptococcus Pneumonia to Human epithelial cells infected with Respiratory Syncytial Virus". Paediatric Research 55(6): 972-78.
23. Sachdev HP et al. Simple Predictors to differentiate acute asthma. From ARI in children. Implications for refining care management in the AR control Programme. Indian Pediatr. 1994 Oct. 31(10): 1251-9.
24. Shikh Qamaruddin et al. (Pak) J. Med. Sci. Oct-Dec 2005 Vol. 21 No. 4417-421 Chest-X-ray in diagnosis of lower respiratory tract infections in children less than five years of age in Community.
25. V.P.Reddaiah and S.K.Kapoor "Epidemiology of Pneumonia in rural under-fives" Indian Journal of Paediatrics Vol. 57 Nos. Sep. 1990.
26. Simues, E.A. 1999. "Respiratory syncytial virus infection" Lancet 354. 918: 847-52.
27. Naresh Kumar, et al. "Clinical evaluation of Acute respiratory Distress and Chest wheezing in infants". Indian Paediatrics 2002, 39: 478-483.

DOI: 10.14260/jemds/2015/1040

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

PROFORMA:

Name: **IP No:** **Age:** **Sex:** **Date of Admission:**					
Clinical Findings	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3	Day 4	Day 5
Fever					
RR					
Cough					
Nose Block					
or discharge					
SCR/ ICR					
Wheeze					
Crepts					
Others					
Investigations: TC: DC: PS: X-Ray Chest Report: Diagnosis: Treatment: Date of Discharge:					

AUTHORS:

1. Bharath Kumar T.
2. Arulkumaran A.

PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

1. M.D, Department of Paediatrics, Sri Manakulavinayagar Medical College & Hospital, Puducherry.
2. M.D, Department of Paediatrics, Sri Manakulavinayagar Medical College & Hospital, Puducherry.

FINANCIAL OR OTHER COMPETING INTERESTS: None

NAME ADDRESS EMAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:
Dr. Bharath Kumar T,
B-3, Latetia Enclave, 5th Cross,
Thanthai Periyar Nagar,
Puducherry.
Email: scooby_25575@yahoo.com

Date of Submission: 19/03/2015.
Date of Peer Review: 20/03/2015.
Date of Acceptance: 09/05/2015.
Date of Publishing: 20/05/2015.