# A SYSTEMATIC APPROACH TO THE EVALUATION OF POST GRADUATE PRACTICAL EXAMINATIONS IN MEDICAL MICROBIOLOGY - AN OVERVIEW

A. G. Prathab, C. Lalitha, Shyam Prasad.

- 1. Professor, Department of Microbiology and Member, Medical Education Cell, M. S. Ramaiah Medical College, Bangalore.
- 2. Assistant Professor, Department of Microbiology, M. S. Ramaiah Medical College, Bangalore.
- 3. Professor, Department of Dermatology and Former Director-Medical Education Cell, M. S. Ramaiah Medical College, Bangalore.

#### CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

Dr. A. G. Prathab, Professor, Dept. of Microbiology, MS Ramaiah Medical College, M. S. R. Nagar, MSRH Post, Bangalore - 560054.

E-mail: dragprathab@yahoo.co.in,

Ph: 91 9845195014.

**ABSTRACT: BACKGROUND:** Assessment forms an essential part of any curriculum. There are several reasons why we need to assess student performance, most important of which is that it forms a basis for certifying student-doctors as Health-care professionals who practice in society, It also needs to be realized that assessment drives learning and therefore all the desired outcomes need to be taken into account while assessing .A well formatted blueprint will go a long way in fulfilling these objectives (1, 2).

The entire process of evaluation of post graduate practical examinations in Medical microbiology is done over a period of three days. The students have to perform a number of exercises with the end result of the tasks performed on a particular day yielding results on the next or the last day. Therefore it is not easy to maintain objectivity in assessment unless we have a concrete system of evaluation in place.

Hence, there was a felt need to prepare and try out a blueprint for examining post graduates in practical examinations which would bring about the desired outcomes in terms of maximum objectivity and testing of each individual component of the desired skill tested for. The scheme when adopted for use in exams was found to yield satisfactory results in comparison to conventional methods of practice in evaluation.

This may also be used as a guide to plan and prepare similar documents according to the Syllabi and Guidelines followed by different universities in the subject specialty mentioned here or even for other subjects or courses.

**KEYWORDS:** Evaluation, Post Graduate, Practical Examinations, Medical Microbiology.

**METHOD:** The present system of practical examinations in microbiology involves the candidates performing various assigned tasks over a period of three days .Some of these exercises are carried out over a period of 2-3 days.<sup>(3,5)</sup>

The curricula of different universities in some instances do not specify in particular the distribution of marks for the different exercises in the practical examinations nor is there a uniform structured format which can be used for evaluation across universities.

The usual practice therefore is to award marks to the candidates after completion of exercises on the 3rd day based on the final reporting and presentation and comparing the

performance among the students. Some examiners follow a system of grading the performance of each individual exercise and finally tend to translate the grading to marks.

These methods are subjective and fail to assess the candidates based on the various aspects of the practical skills that need to be taken into cognizance during assessment.

The proposed scheme was prepared with an intention of overcoming the deficiencies Mentioned and making the entire process more objective.

The different exercises to be tested during the process of examinations were listed down and the tasks to be performed in each of them were broken down into individual components. The relevance and importance of each step was analysed and discussed by the panel of examiners and marks were accordingly allotted. Scope for adequate scrutiny of Knowledge, Skill and Attitude was taken into consideration while preparing the checklists.<sup>4</sup>

A set of three examiners were asked to assess the candidates as per the usual practice and one of the examiners used the checklist for assessment of the various exercises.

## STRUCTURED SCHEME OF MARKING OF PRACTICAL EXERCISES FOR MD – Microbiology $^{\cdot(3,5)}$ TOTAL MARKS ALLOTED – 300 MARKS

- 1. Bacteriology Short case (Pure Culture) 30 Marks
- 2. Bacteriology Long Case (Mixed Culture)- 50 Marks
- 3. Mycobacteriology: Ziehl-Neelsen Stain 10 marks
- 4. Virology ELISA HIV/HBsAg/HCV 30 marks
- 5. Immunology 30 marks
- 6. Mycology 30 marks
- 7. Parasitology 30 marks
- 8. Serology 30 marks
- 9. Slides and discussion 30 marks
- 10. Microteaching Session / Pedagogy 30 marks

DAY 1	DAY 2	DAY 3
Bacteriology-Pure culture	Report - Pure	Report - Mixture
Bacteriology - Mixture	Serology	Mycology- Slide culture
Mycology	Immunology	Slide discussion
Virology	Mycobacteriology	Pedagogy
Animal experiments	Parasitology	
	Slides	

#### 1. Bacteriology: Short Case: 30 Marks<sup>(6)</sup>

- 1. Day 1- Preliminaries 06 marks 20%
- 2. Day 2- Isolation on plates 03 marks -10%
- 3. Biochemical reactions -03 marks -10%
- 4. Antibiotic sensitivity testing 03 marks -10%
- 5. Interpretation & Reporting 03 marks 10%
- 6. Presentation 03 marks -10%
- 7. Discussion 06 marks 20%
- 8. Time Management 03 marks 10

#### 2. Bacteriology - Long Case: 50 Marks (6)

- 1. Day 1- Preliminaries 06 marks 12%
- 2. Day 2- Isolation on plates 10marks -20%
- 3. Day 2 Discussion 06 marks 12%
- 4. Day 3 Biochemical reactions 06 marks 12%
- 5. Antibiotic sensitivity testing 04 marks -08%
- 6. Interpretation & Reporting 06 marks -12%
- 7. Presentation 03 marks -06%
- 8. Discussion 06 marks 12%
- 9. Time Management 03 marks 06%

#### 3. Mycobacteriology: Ziehl-Neelsen Stain: 10 marks(6)

- 1. Staining 03 marks 30%
- 2. Diagram 02 marks 20%
- 3. Reporting & Presentation 20%
- 4. Discussion 30%

#### 4. Virology - 30 marks - ELISA - HIV/HBsAg/HCV(8)

- 1. Test Results -09marks 30%
- 2. Interpretation 06 marks 20%
- 3. Presentation 06 marks 20%
- 4. Discussion 09 marks -30%

#### 5. Immunology-30 marks-ASO/CRP/RA (8) and Animal Experiments- 15 marks each (6)

- 1. Reporting & Interpretation 09 marks -30%
- 2. Discussion 06 marks 20%
- 3. Handling of Test Animal 06 marks 20% (Rabbit/ Mice/Guinea pig)
- 4. Discussion 09 marks 30%

#### 6. Mycology: 30 marks<sup>(7)</sup>

Day 1 - Interpretation & Reporting - 4.5 marks

Diagrams – 1.5 marks

Presentation – 3 marks

Discussion - 6 marks

Day 3 – Slide Culture – 06 marks

Diagram - 03 marks

Discussion - 06 marks

#### 7. Parasitology: 30 marks<sup>(7)</sup>

- 1. Focusing of Ova/Cysts 6 marks 20%
- 2. Concentration technique 3 marks 10%
- 3. Egg Counting technique 3 marks 10%
- 4. Focusing of the Malarial / Blood Parasite 6 marks 20%
- 5. Diagrams & Report 3 marks 10%
- 6. Discussion 9 marks (4.5 + 4.5) marks 30%

#### 8. Serology: 30 marks<sup>(8)</sup>

Widal/VDRL/TPHA/RPR/Brucella Agglutination etc;

- 1. Technique 6 marks 20%
- 2. Test results 7.5 marks 25%
- 3. Interpretation & Reporting 3 marks 10%
- 4. Presentation 3 marks 10%
- 5. Discussion 10.5 marks 35%

#### 9. Slides and discussion: 30 marks-10 slides-3 marks each

Pattern:

Bacteriology - 2 slides

Mycology - 2 slides

Parasitology - 3 slides

Virology - 2 slides

Immunology - 1 slide

- 1. Identification  $1.5 \times 10 = 15 \text{ marks} 50\%$
- 2. Description  $0.5 \times 10 = 5 \text{ marks} 16.6 \%$
- 3. Diagram  $-0.5 \times 10 = 5 \text{ marks} 16.6\%$
- 4. Discussion  $0.5 \times 10 = 5 \text{ marks} 16.6\%$

#### 10. Microteaching Session / Pedagogy - 30 marks<sup>(4)</sup>

- 1. Introduces the subject 3 marks
- 2. Presents topic in a logical sequence 3 marks
- 3. Emphasises on important points 3 marks
- 4. Appropriate use of A-V aids 6 marks
- 5. Shifting emphasis 3 marks
- 6. Solicits questions / doubts 3 marks
- 7. Interactive session 3 marks
- 8. Use of Innovation Models /specimens etc; 3 marks
- 9. Summarises effectively 3 marks

**DISCUSSION:** As medical educators, we have an ethical obligation towards the society which Expects us to produce and send into the society quality and competent Health- Care professionals on whom they can depend upon in times of need to provide efficient health-care delivery.

This is linked to having a well defined, organized and systematic scheme of evaluation During conduct of examinations especially when systems adopt a summative evaluation process To determine the basic minimum requirements as well as the order of merit which is the norm in most of our universities.

The process of evaluation should include whether the predetermined educational objectives towards a said course have been achieved. For this to be complete, there needs to be a set of objectives and the appropriate measuring instrument which will facilitate in deciding whether the expected outcomes were achieved to the desired extent.

As teachers certifying outcomes of post graduate examinations, we need to have a blueprint of the process of evaluation which is reliable, valid, objective and feasible which can be subjected to improvement with constant review and constructive feedback from senior academicians, fellow colleagues, Peer group members and even the student community.¹ºIt also eliminates bias and inter-observer/ examiner bias during examinations and ensures that a quality system is maintained during the entire process of evaluation.

The entire process of evaluation of post graduates in Medical microbiology is done over a period of three days, having a large set of exercises with the end result of the tasks performed on a particular day yielding results on the next or the last day where it is not easy to maintain objectivity unless we have a system of evaluation in place.

Presently there is no uniform structured format which can be used for evaluation of practical examinations in Microbiology which comprehensively assesses all the different component skills expected of the student. The usual practice therefore is to award marks to the candidates after completion of exercises on the 3rd day based on the final reporting and presentation and comparing the performance among the students. Some examiners follow a system of grading the performance of each individual exercise and finally tend to translate the grading to marks.

These methods are subjective and fail to assess the candidates based on the various aspects of the practical skills that need to be taken into cognizance during assessment.

The present draft has been prepared with an intention of overcoming the deficiencies mentioned and making the entire process more objective. This format of evaluation if adopted for assessment during examinations will be worthwhile as it deals with an individual's achievement relative to himself than to others. It tries to test competence in that it not only checks the desired skills but goes onto assess the extent to which the skill is perfect. The assessment pattern attempts to look for the best rather than the typical performance. Time management has been given due consideration as a part of the evaluation process which is not accounted for routinely as it is equally important to not only possess the required skills but also carry out the desired assignment within a specified framework of time. The draft helps all the examiners to look for specific criteria during the process of assessment which makes the process more valid. The presence of a well structured assessment tool as a part of the curriculum will also be a motivating factor for the students undergoing the training programme to concentrate on essential skills for overall development.

The blueprint prepared may be used as a guide to plan and prepare similar documents according to the Syllabi and Guidelines followed by different universities in the subject specialty mentioned here or even for other subjects or courses.

#### **REFERENCES:**

- 1. Gipps CV: Beyond Testing: Towards a Theory. London, Faber Press 1994
- 2. Cohen-Schotanus J: Student assessment and examination rules. Med Teacher 1999, 21:318-321.
- 3. Rajiv Gandhi University Of Health Sciences, Karnataka Regulations and curricula of Post-graduate Medical Degrre And Diploma Courses in Pre-Clinical And Para-Clinical Subjects Volume- I & Volume- II-2006, Vol. I & II: 93-104
- 4. AnanthaKrishnan.N,Sethuraman.K.R.,Santhosh Kumar- Text book of Medical Education-Principles and Practice,2000,2<sup>nd</sup> edn,Pages-99-106,145-152.
- 5. MD- Curriculum &Scheme of examination <a href="http://www.Kims">http://www.Kims</a> University.in/pdf/MD-Microbiology
- J.G.Collee, J.P.Duguid, A.G.Fraser, B.P.Marmion. Mackie & McCartney Practical Medical Microbiology, 13<sup>th</sup> edn Churchill Living stone, London, UK) 1989: 141-181
- 7. Fran Fisher, Norma B.Cook, Fundamentals Of Diagnostic Mycology (W.B.Saunders Company) 1998.1-254
- 8. James G. Cappuccino, Natalie Sherman. Microbiology, A Laboratory manual, 7 th edn (Pearson education) 2007:467-502
- 9. Gillespie.S.H,Hawkey.P.M,Medical Parasitology-A Practical Approach(Oxford University Press,Newyork)1995:253-264.
- 10. Southgate L,Grant J.Principles for an assessment system for post graduate medical Training (Internet).London:Post graduateMedical Education and training abroad:2004Sep14.Availablefrom<a href="http://www.p.metb.org.uk/fileadmin/user/QA/Assessment/Principles foran\_assessment\_system\_v3">http://www.p.metb.org.uk/fileadmin/user/QA/Assessment/Principles foran\_assessment\_system\_v3</a> pdf