BILATERAL ABDUCTOR PARALYSIS VOCAL CORD LATERALISATION VS ENDOSCOPIC ARYTENOIDECTOMY – OUR STUDY

M.K. Rajasekar¹, S. Deepalakshmi²

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE:

MK Rajasekar, S Deepalakshmi. "Bilateral abductor paralysis vocal cord lateralisation vs endoscopic arytenoidectomy – our study". Journal of Evolution of Medical and Dental Sciences 2013; Vol. 2, Issue 47, November 25; Page: 9072-9076.

ABSTRACT: We are presenting a retrospective study in managing b/l abductor palsy in our hospital from 1986. From 1986 to 2006 we did vocal cord lateralisation in 54 patients and from 2006 we are doing endoscopic arytenoidectomy, so far 33 patients. We found endoscopic arytenoidectomy to be successful than vocal cord lateralisation in giving serviceable voice with no aspiration.

KEYWORDS: VOCAL CORD LATERALISATION, ENDOSCOPIC ARYTENOIDECTOMY, BILATERAL ABDUCTOR PALSY.

INTRODUCTION: Before 1922 there was no treatment for B/L abductor palsy and the patient had to live with tracheostomy lifelong. In 1982, Ejnell first did suture vocal cord lateralization. From 1986 we started doing vocal cord lateralisation. But the success rate was poor. From 2006, we started doing endoscopic arytenoidectomy following "Cumming's otorhinolaryngology head and neck surgery"

MATERIALS & METHODS:

	MALES	FEMALES	TOTAL	
Vocal cord lateralisation	28	26	54	
Endoscopic arytenoidectomy191433				
Table 1: TOTAL NUMBER OF CASES				

From 1986 to 2000 we did vocal cord lateralization. Combined external and internal approach used. 1-0/ 2-0 prolene used in all cases. Sutures was not removed at later date. Single layer used therby preventing increased trauma to cord. Decanulation in following week in 2 layers after trial spigotting.

AGE (in years)	NUMBER OF PATIENTS		
	MALES	FEMALES	
15-25	4	2	
25-35	9	14	
35-45	12	8	
45-55	3	2	
Table 2: AGE DISTRIBUTION IN VOCAL CORD LATERALISATION			



From 2006 we started doing endoscopic arytenoidectomy. Incision made on overlying arytenoids cartilage. Arytenoid cartilage is separated and removed. Arytenoid bed cauterized. Vocal fold lateralization by cicatricial contraction. Patient decanulated in the following week after trial spigotting.

	NUMBER		
AGE(in years)	NUMBER OF CASES		
	MALES	FEMALES	
15-25	6	2	
25-35	5	7	
35-45	6	3	
45-55	2	2	
Table 3: AGE DISTRIBUTION IN			
ENDOSCOFIC ARTIENCIDECIONII			



RESULTS: Of 54 cases of vocal cord lateralisation 28 were successful, 26 cases reported with noisy breathing/ aspiration and revision surgery was successful in only 5 patients.

ACE(in years) S		ESSFUL	UNSUCCESSFUL		REVISION SUCCESS	
Add(iii years)	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES	MALES	FEMALES
15-25	2	2	1	0	1	0
25-35	4	7	4	5	1	2
35-45	7	3	5	4	0	1
45-55	3	0	0	2	0	0
TOTAL	16	12	10	11	2	3
Table 4: VOCAL CORD LATERALISATION						





Whereas all 33 endoscopic arytenoidectomy patients went free of aspiration & breathing difficulties.

Journal of Evolution of Medical and Dental Sciences/ Volume 2/ Issue 47/ November 25, 2013 Page 9074

$\Lambda CE(in yoard)$	SUCCESSFUL CASES		
AGE (III years)	MALES	FEMALES	
15-25	6	2	
25-35	5	7	
35-45	6	3	
45-55	2	2	
TOTAL	19	14	
Table 5: endoscopic arytenoidectomy result			



DISCUSSION: The success rate of vocal cord lateralisation is 51% (57% males & 42% females).38% patients went for failure (47% males & 52% females). 9% (40% males & 60% females) patients successful revision surgery.

The success rate of endoscopic arytenoidectomy is 100%. Of which 2 cases were post vocal cord lateralization failure and 3 cases were post thyroidectomy b/l abductor palsy.

Vocal cord lateralization is technically more demanding. Needs practice and time. Failure rate was high. Aspiration is a problem. Incidence of perichondritis is less.

Endoscopic arytenoidectomy is simple technique. No external approach needed. No need for laser/coblator.



VOCAL CORD LATERALISATION



ENDOSCOPIC ARYTENOIDECTOMY

REFERENCES:

1. Cumming's Otorhinolaryngology head & neck surgery.

AUTHORS:

- 1. M.K. Rajasekar
- 2. S. Deepalakshmi

PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

- 1. Professor, Department of ENT, Upgraded Institute of Otorhinolaryngology, Madras Medical College.
- 2. Junior Resident, Upgraded Institute of Otorhinolaryngology, Madras Medical College.

NAME ADDRESS EMAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Dr. M.K. Rajasekar, Professor, Department of ENT, UIORL, Madras Medical College, Chennai. Email – drmkrent@gmail.com

> Date of Submission: 13/10/2013. Date of Peer Review: 15/10/2013. Date of Acceptance: 07/11/2013. Date of Publishing: 19/11/2013