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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND
Chronic Suppurative Otitis Media is the commonest inflammatory condition of the ear caused by bacteria and commonly seen in children of low socio-economic groups. Isolation of the causative bacteria and finding a suitable antibiotic by culture and sensitivity will control the infection of the middle ear.

OBJECTIVE
The aim of this study is to identify the bacteria responsible for infection in CSOM and to identify the appropriate antibiotic sensitivity.

METHODS
100 patients of CSOM were selected for this study, pus was collected from the infected ears by ear swab by suction with siegel speculum and sent to Microbiology Department for culture and sensitivity.

RESULTS
Aerobic organisms are isolated in majority of cases. Monomicrobial infection is more common than polymicrobial infection. Pseudomonas is the commonest aerobic organism grown in culture.

CONCLUSION
Careful and judicious use of antibiotics following culture and sensitivity test should be a standard practice, so as to limit the emergence of drug resistant bacteria and to provide dry ear.
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INTRODUCTION
Chronic suppurative otitis media is one of the most common diseases of all age groups, especially in childhood. It is prevalent in developing countries and is a disease of low socioeconomic group. The most acceptable definition of CSOM is otorrhoea of at least 6 weeks duration in the presence of tympanic membrane perforation.³ Chronic suppurative can occur with or without cholesteatoma.²

It is a chronic inflammation of the mucoperiosteal layer of the middle ear cleft, which leads to profuse ear discharge and hearing impairment that may have a serious long-term effect on language, auditory and cognitive development and on educational progress in children.³ Two clinicopathological types of CSOM exist: Tubotympanic; Atticoantral.

It tends to be persistent and destructive being able to lead to the production of irreversible sequelae. Its aetiopathogenesis is multifactorial and recurrent upper respiratory infections play an important role.

Bacteria can reach the middle ear from nasopharynx through the Eustachian tube or from external auditory canal via a perforated tympanic membrane. The infectious process is characterized as polymicrobial, generally evolving with association of facultative bacteria, strict aerobic and anaerobic and the latter is present in 30-60% of the cases.⁴ Commonest organisms isolated from discharge are Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Staphylococcus aureus and Proteus species.⁵ These can be cultured by taking a swab from the discharging ear,⁵ and their in-vitro sensitivity to antibiotics is assessed.

Because of strategic location of the middle ear cleft, every inflammatory otitis media due to pathogenic microorganism carries potential complications.

After the introduction of antibiotics, the complications resulting from chronic otitis media significantly reduced. However, if we are to maximize the benefits available to us with such drugs, we need to choose between them with discrimination with an understanding of the microbial population and with their knowledge of their indications and limitations.⁶ This is especially true in chronic discharging ear where the offending organisms can be quite unpredictable, may be difficult to culture and may be resistant to all the available antimicrobials.⁷ The change of the bacterial population in chronic infection with time is a fact confirmed in the literature.

CSOM has received considerable attention, not only because of its high incidence and chronicity but also because of issues such as bacterial resistance and ototoxicity with
topical and systemic antibiotics. The indiscriminate and haphazard use of antibiotics and poor followup of the patients has resulted in the emergence of multiple resistant strains of bacteria and the presence of low-grade infections. Since CSOM is a disease which can cause significant morbidity, a knowledge of prevailing flora and their susceptibility to antimicrobials will guide the clinician so as to describe the antibioticogram of the aerobic isolates.

**OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY**

1. To compare the incidence of aerobic and anaerobic bacteria in CSOM.
2. To identify the bacteria involved in safe and unsafe CSOM.
3. To know the antibiotic sensitivity pattern of aerobic bacteria.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This study was done in the Department of ENT, MGM Hospital, Warangal. The bacteriological study was done in the Department of Microbiology, MGM Hospital, Warangal. A total of 100 patients were selected.

**Inclusion Criteria**

Patients were selected from Outpatient Department, who attended ENT Department, MGM Hospital, Warangal, with history of ear discharge for more than 3 months and actively discharging ear. All the patients selected for the study are above 5 years of age.

**Exclusion Criteria**

1. Those patients who received antibiotics 3 days prior in any form either systemic/topical have been excluded.
2. Children less than 5 years of age.

The patients thus selected were thoroughly examined after a detailed history. The clinical findings were recorded. Then the patients were explained about the procedure.

After taking informed consent, the external auditory canal was cleaned with Betadine, discharge from middle ear was obtained by suction with Siegel's pneumatic speculum. Two thin sterile cotton wool swabs were used to collect the pus for bacteriological study from the deeper part of the canal. One swab was inoculated in Cary-Blair transport medium for anaerobic culture and the swabs were taken to the Department of Microbiology without any delay.

Conservative treatment included a systemic antihistamine, decongestant nasal drugs, broad-spectrum topical antibiotic ear drops. Patient is advised to come after 3 days to collect culture and sensitivity report. Depending on their culture and sensitivity report, a suitable antibiotic was started and prescribed for at least 1 week. Patient is asked to come back in case the discharge recurs.

In cases where there is a gross septic focus or anatomical abnormality, patient is advised surgery. E.g: Tonsillectomy in case of chronic tonsillitis; septoplasty in case of significant DNS; FESS in case of chronic sinusitis. In case if there is a large defect of tympanic membrane, patient is advised to go for reconstructive procedure (Myringoplasty/Tympanoplasty depending on the degree of hearing loss).

In the Department of Microbiology, one swab was used for inoculating into aerobic culture media, viz blood agar, chocolate agar, nutrient agar and MacConkey’s agar and were incubated at 37°C for 24-48 hours. The swab sent in Cary-Blair medium was subcultured onto blood agar and Thioglycollate medium and were incubated at 37°C for 48-72 hours in McIntosh Filde’s jar and Gaspak jar. In cases where the transport medium could not be used, the swab was inoculated directly into Robertson cooked meat medium from which it was subcultured onto blood agar and incubated anaerobically in McIntosh Filde’s jar and Gaspak jar. The organisms were identified by culture characteristics, colony morphology, pigment production, beta haemolysis in blood agar, motility, Gram’s staining and conventional biochemical tests.

All the organisms were subjected to antibiogram by Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method according to the CLSI guidelines. Antibiotic discs used were ampicillin, amoxicillin, cotrimoxazole, ciprofloxacin, ofloxacin, cepodoxime, ceftriaxone, ceftazidime and cefotaxime. Antibiotic was not done for anaerobic organisms because of lack of facilities.

**OBSERVATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>No. of Ears</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;5 years</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>58.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5–10 years</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>32.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10 years</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>119</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1: Duration of Ear Discharge**

Out of 119 ears the duration of discharge was less than 5 years in 70 ears, most of them were seeking periodical medical advice. The duration of ear discharge ranged between 5 to 10 years in 39 ears and it was greater than 10 years in 10 ears.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of CSOM</th>
<th>No. of Ears</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tubotympanic</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>78.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atticoantral</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>21.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>119</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2: Type of CSOM**

Most common type of CSOM is tubotympanic type in about 93 ears (78.15%). Remaining 26 ears (21.85%) showed atticoantral type of CSOM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>No. of Ears</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monomicrobial</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>51.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymicrobial</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>43.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No growth</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>119</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3: Type of Bacterial Culture**

Single isolates (Of one genus) were common. Out of 119 ear swabs, 113 swabs grew bacteria and the remaining 6 (5.04%) swabs were sterile. Of the 113 swabs that grew bacteria, 61 (51.26%) swabs grew monomicrobial isolates and the remaining 52 (43.70%) swabs grew polymicrobial isolates.
DISCUSSION

Chronic suppurative otitis media and its complications are seen by the otologist, paediatrician and the general practitioner. It is a recurring disease with great risk of life-threatening complications. Early bacteriological diagnosis of all cases will provide accurate therapy.

The incidence of chronic suppurative otitis media is subject to large regional variations and its frequency is low in areas with a trained medical group, good hygiene, facility of the population for access to medical treatment. In regions where healthcare is not readily available, it can be difficult to obtain timely treatment, which can lead to complications such as meningitis and brain abscesses.

Out of 168 ears, the most common aerobic bacterium was Staphylococcus aureus (59 out of 168). The next common bacterium observed was Pseudomonas aeruginosa (59 out of 168). The next common bacterium observed was Prevotella (61.54%) (100% of 168) and Peptostreptococcus (53.85%) (75%).

Out of 168 (both aerobic and anaerobic) bacterial isolates, the most common aerobic bacterium was Peptostreptococcus (21 out of 168). The next common bacterium observed was Prevotella (61.54%) (100% of 168). The next in order comes Porphyromonas (21 out of 168). Of the aerobic combinations obtained in some ears, the most common combination was pseudomonas and staphylococcus.

Only aerobic growth is seen in 60 ears (50.42%), only anaerobic growth is seen in 4 ears (3.36%), mixed flora are seen in 49 ears (41.18%) and no growth is seen in 6 ears (5.04%). Of the only aerobic isolates grown, most of them grew only one genus. The mixed flora obtained contained both aerobic and anaerobic bacteria.

### Table 4: Nature of Bacterial Culture

- **Only Aerobic**: 60 (50.42%)
- **Only Anaerobic**: 4 (3.36%)
- **Mixed**: 49 (41.18%)
- **No growth**: 6 (5.04%)
- **Total**: 119 (100%)

### Table 5: Aerobic Organisms Isolated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>No. of Isolates</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>50.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aeruginosa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>24.24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aureus</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>33.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteus spp.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klebsiella</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acinetobacter</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diphtheroids</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 6: Anaerobic Organisms Isolated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>No. of Isolates</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peptostreptococcus</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>40.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevotella</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porphyromonas</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>19.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteroides</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peptococcus</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 7: Antibiotic Sensitivity Pattern

- **Ampicillin**: 17 (28.81%) (45%)
- **Amoxicillin**: 18 (30.50%) (25%)
- **Ciprofloxacin**: 22 (37.28%) (100%)
- **Cotrimoxazole**: 23 (38.98%) (100%)
- **Cefazidime**: 45 (76.27%) (100%)
- **Cefotaxime**: 47 (79.66%) (100%)
- **Ceftixime**: 37 (62.71%) (100%)
- **Oflaxacin**: 26 (44.07%) (100%)

Out of 168 (both aerobic and anaerobic) bacterial isolates, the most common aerobic bacterium was Peptostreptococcus (21 out of 168). The next common bacterium observed was Prevotella (61.54%) (100% of 168). The next in order comes Porphyromonas (21 out of 168). Of the aerobic combinations obtained in some ears, the most common combination was pseudomonas and staphylococcus.

### Table 8: Antibiotic Sensitivity Pattern

- **Ampicillin**: 17 (28.81%) (45%)
- **Amoxicillin**: 18 (30.50%) (25%)
- **Ciprofloxacin**: 22 (37.28%) (100%)
- **Cotrimoxazole**: 23 (38.98%) (100%)
- **Cefazidime**: 45 (76.27%) (100%)
- **Cefotaxime**: 47 (79.66%) (100%)
- **Ceftixime**: 37 (62.71%) (100%)
- **Oflaxacin**: 26 (44.07%) (100%)
where overcrowding with poor environmental hygiene precipitates chronic ear disease.

In this study, patients who presented to our OPD were taken. Patients who have used prior antibiotics for 3 days were excluded.

Majority of patients in the present study had symptoms for less than 10 years. But out of 100 patients (119 ears), 10 ears had discharge for more than 10 years. These results were in accordance with Jose Evandro Andrade. In the study by Kenna et al. (1986), duration of symptoms ranging from less than 3 months to 3 years and more. Rupa et al. 1999 observed a long duration greater than 10 years and said that it may be due to the reason that Indian patients are reluctant to seek medical advice because of their ignorance.

In my study, majority had tubotympanic disease (78.15%) as compared to atticoantral disease (21.85%). This is consistent with the results obtained by VK Poorey et al. (TT 72%, AA 28%).

Out of 100 cases (119 ears) studied, monomicrobial growth was obtained from 61 (51.26%) ears, polymicrobial growth was seen in 52 (43.70%) ears and no growth in 6 (5.04%) ears.

These findings with regard to monomicrobial predominance were in accordance with AHC Loy et al. (63.3%), Srivastava A, A Haider A. (80.7%) and VK Poorey et al. (82%). A combination of the different aerobes and anaerobes varied and there was no consistent pattern of combinations.

In this study, Pseudomonas aeruginosa was the most common organism (50.86%) followed by Staphylococcus aureus (28.45%), Proteus spp. (11.21%), Klebsiella (3.45%), Escherichia coli (3.45%), Acinetobacter (1.72%) and Diphtheroids (0.86%).

This study agrees with the reports of Tahira,11 VK Poorey,8 and Gul,12 who also got Pseudomonas as the common organism. Ahmed,13 and Srivastava,9 showed Staphylococcus as the common organism, whereas Loy had got an equal number of Pseudomonas and Staphylococcus.

Only 4 ears showed pure anaerobic culture (3.36%), out of which 3 were Peptostreptococci and one was Peptococcus. Most of the anaerobic organisms isolated were in combination with aerobes (96.64%). The most common was Peptostreptococcus (40.38%) followed by Prevotella (25%), Porphyromonas (19.23%), Bacteroides (11.54%) and Peptococcus (3.85%). These results were similar to Jonsson et al. who observed that Peptostreptococcus (55%) were common as compared to Bacteroides (33%).

Saini et al.14 showed that Peptostreptococcus was commonest in paediatric CSOM and Prevotella was commonest in adult CSOM. Bacteroides (44%) was predominant according to Sweeny et al. Anaerobes have been isolated in a few studies; 61% of patients in the study by Erken et al. (1994) showed anaerobes. Brook et al.15 (1987) report 86% patients had anaerobic infection. Improved bacteriological methods may be said to be the reason for this high yield. Anaerobes are not a significant pathogen in their study according to Srivastava and Loy.

In the present study, it was noted that Pseudomonas was the commonest organism in both tubotympanic (50%) and atticoantral disease (53.84%). The next most common was Staphylococcus aureus in both tubotympanic (30%) and atticoantral (23.08%). Jose Evandro Andrade.16 showed that Staphylococcus aureus was the commonest in both tubotympanic (21%) and atticoantral (15%) and the next commonest was Pseudomonas, tubotympanic (15%) and atticoantral (7%) respectively. Attallah observed that Staphylococcus was common in ears with cholesteatoma. Among anaerobes, Peptostreptococcus is common in both Tubotympanic disease (32.43%) and atticoantral disease (60%). There was no significant data in the literature describing the commonest anaerobic organisms in both types of CSOM.

In this study, Pseudomonas showed maximum sensitivity (79.66%) to cefotaxime. Next sensitive drugs were ceftazidime (76.27%), ceftriaxone (62.71%) and Ofloxacin (44.07%), cotrimoxazole (38.98), ciprofloxacin (37.28%). Ampicillin (28.81%) and amoxicillin (30.50%) showed least sensitivity. Gul (84.1%), Tahira.11 (89%) and Pajor (98%) showed maximum sensitivity to ceftazidime for Pseudomonas, while Nikakhlagh.17 obtained 81.2% resistance to ceftazidime. Maji et al.18 Haider,10 Saini,14 obtained maximum sensitivity to cefotaxime, whereas Pajor and Nikakhlagh.17 showed resistance as 33.3% and 100% resistance respectively to cefotaxime.

The sensitivity of Pseudomonas to fluoroquinolones had shown a downward trend globally in recent past. Gul,12 and Tahira.11 showed ciprofloxacin sensitivity as 95% and 85% respectively, whereas in South Korea in a study carried out by Jang, ciprofloxacin resistance was noted in 100% of the isolates. Maji et al.18 showed 46.6% sensitivity to ciprofloxacin. Kumar et al. reported 63.2% sensitivity to ofloxacin and 59.6% sensitivity to ciprofloxacin.

This decreased sensitivity of Pseudomonas to quinolone family to which it was highly sensitive until recently, is indicative of the rapid appearance of antibiotic resistant strains which is a matter of great concern. Ahmed et al.13 showed least resistance to ampicillin and cotrimoxazole. The observations with regards to amoxicillin and ampicillin in my study were similar to the data obtained by Indudharan et al.19 Srivastava et al.9 and Ettehad et al.20

In my study the sensitivity of Staphylococcus to ampicillin, amoxicillin, ciprofloxacin, cotrimoxazole, cefazidime, cefotaxime, ceftriaxone and ofloxacin were 24.24%, 24.24%, 33.33%, 33.33%, 75.76%, 81.81%, 54.54% respectively. Sensitivity to ampicillin and cotrimoxazole, ceftriaxone respectively. VK Poorey stated 100% and 87.5% sensitivity to ceftazidime and cefotaxime respectively. Maji et al.18 showed more sensitivity to cefotaxime, which is consistent with the present study. VK Poorey.8 showed that ciprofloxacin was sensitive to Staphylococcus. Irfan and Ahmed.19 showed less sensitivity to cotrimoxazole, i.e. 32% and 2.5% respectively. Sensitivity to ampicillin and amoxicillin to Staphylococcus is significantly less in studies carried out by Ahmed.19 and Maji et al.18 Proteus and Klebsiella showed maximum sensitivity to third generation cephalosporins especially cefotaxime, ceftazidime and ceftriaxone and ofloxacin which is consistent with the results obtained by Srivastava et al.9 and VK Poorey.10 They exhibited highest resistance to ampicillin and cotrimoxazole, which is consistent with the observations of Ahmed.19

Other isolates (i.e. proteus, klebsiella and diphtheroids which were not significant pathogens in this study showed more sensitivity to third generation cephalosporins and
fluoroquinolones, viz. cefotaxime, ceftazidime, ceftriaxone, ciprofloxacin and ofloxacin while displaying high resistance to other drugs used, whereas E. coli has shown maximum sensitivity to Amoxicillin and Azinetobacter has shown maximum sensitivity to ceftriaxone and cotrimoxazole.

The prescription of antibiotics by physicians and practitioners lack specific treatment resulting in microbial resistance. So, it is very much needed that the doctors should have knowledge about the changing pattern of causative agents against drugs and should not prescribe such antibiotics and in addition to that patients also should be educated to avoid misuse of antibiotics.

CONCLUSION
In this study, bacteria from the ear discharge in chronic suppurrative otitis media were grown from the middle ear discharge. The present study showed the wide range of aerobic and anaerobic organisms, which include Pseudomonas followed by Staphylococcus and Peptostreptococci followed by Prevotella. Both Pseudomonas and Staphylococcus and all other aerobic isolates were most sensitive to cefotaxime, ceftazidime and ceftriaxone. They showed high resistance to penicillins and cotrimoxazole. They were sensitive to cefotaxime, ceftazidime and ceftriaxone. They showed high resistance to penicillins and cotrimoxazole. This might have been due to their widespread and injudicious use. Thus careful selection and judicious use of antibiotic with antibiotics sensitivity test help to reduce autological cure and morbidity.
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