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ABSTRACT: OBJECTIVES: We aimed to evaluate the preemptive analgesic properties of pregabalin, an anticonvulsant drug used in clinical practice for the treatment of neuropathic pain. METHODS: This study was performed on 40 patients from ASA I-II risk group aged 18-60 years which underwent lower abdominal surgeries. Group I received 300 mg pregabalin and Group II was given a placebo in oral capsule form. Visual analog scale (VAS) scores, morphine consumption and side effects of all patients were recorded at 1, 4, 8, 12 and 24 hours postoperatively. When Aldrette recovery score reached 9, morphine 0.75mcg/kg was given as rescue analgesia. RESULTS: There was no difference observed in the first analgesic requirement time values between the two groups (p>0.05). A statistically significant decrease was observed in the VAS scores of the pregabalin group at 1, 4, 12 and 24 hours after surgery (p<0.005). Total morphine consumption in the pregabalin group was statistically significantly lower than in the control group at 8, 12 and 24 hours after surgery (p<0.005). No significant difference was observed between the two groups regarding side effects during the first postoperative 24 hours (p>0.05). CONCLUSION: Our study demonstrated that a 300 mg pregabalin administered preoperatively is an efficient and safe agent for preemptive analgesia. Premedication with pregabalin reduces postoperative pain scores and total analgesic consumption without increasing sedation or other side effects in the postoperative period. KEYWORDS: preemptive, pregabalin analgesia; morphine.

INTRODUCTION: In postoperative period proper analgesia management increases patient’s postoperative well-being and reduces development of complications that can result in an extended hospital stay and increased costs.¹

Acute pain after surgery has been as predictor of persistent pain after surgery and about 5-50% of patients experience persistent post-operative pain in different varieties of surgical operations.² Recent advances in the pathophysiology of pain have provided the possibility of prevention or reduction of excessive excitability of the central nervous system (CNS) associated with post-operative intensified pain.³

Multimodal pain management modalities include the use of opioid analgesics, non-opioid analgesics and drugs from local anesthetics group applied using regional techniques³ Advanced in the molecular mechanisms has led to the development of multidimensional analgesia and using new pharmaceutical products on post-operative pain control.⁴

It has been shown that nociceptive stimuli via afferent C fibers cause hyperexcitation in dorsal neurons of the spinal cord. The aim of the preemptive analgesia is to block postoperative pain by inhibiting this sensitization. Many different drugs such as paracetamol, lornoxicam, ketamine or gabapentin as well as regional blocks have been used for the purpose of preemptive analgesia.⁵⁻⁸
Pregabalin is a lipophilic gamma-amino-butyric acid (GABA) analog with anticonvulsant, anxiolytic and sleep-modulating properties. Pregabalin was shown to be effective in several models of neuropathic pain\(^9-11\) incisional injury\(^12\) and inflammatory injury\(^13,14\) Gabapentin has been found to reduce pain intensity, opioid consumption, and opioid-related adverse effects after surgery\(^15,16\). However, compared to gabapentin, pregabalin is more rapidly absorbed (1 h vs. 3–4 h) and has higher bioavailability (90% vs. 33–66\%).\(^17\)

The mechanism of action of pregabalin is similar to gabapentin. It binds to the α2-δ subunit of presynaptic, voltage-dependent calcium channels that are widely distributed throughout the central and peripheral nervous system.\(^18-21\) Pregabalin binds to the α2-δ subunit six times more potently than gabapentin.\(^22\)

In this study we aimed to evaluate the preemptive analgesic activity of pregabalin in combination with paracetamol patients undergoing elective abdominal surgeries, considering abdominal surgery is a painful procedure with potential to generate central sensitization and peripheral hypersensitivity.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS:** After obtaining clearance from institutional ethics committee, forty patients were enrolled in this prospective, randomized, double-blind study from May 2012 to December 2013. Written informed consent from the forty patients aged 18-60 years, from ASA I-II group scheduled for lower abdominal surgeries under general anesthesia were included in the study. Patients with known renal, hepatic, pulmonary or cardiovascular system’s problems, drug or alcohol addiction, history of allergy and obese patients were excluded from the study. Non-premedicated patients were kept fasting for 8 hours prior to the surgery and randomized into two groups using the sealed envelope method. Group I (n=20) received 300 mg of oral pregabalin and Group II (n=20) oral placebo capsules, both given 60 minutes prior to the surgery. Minimal mandatory monitoring was attached and patients admitted to the operating hall were monitored for electrocardiography (ECG), systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), mean blood pressure (MBP), heart rate (HR) and peripheral oxygen saturation (SpO2). These parameters were recorded pre-induction, post-induction, 1, 5, 30 and 60 minutes after intubation and after extubation. Anesthesia for both groups was induced with propofol 2-3 mg/kg and atracurium 0.5 mg/kg, isoflurane 1-2%, and a mixture of 50% N2O in O2 were used for the maintenance of anesthesia for intubated patients. Analgesia was provided by 0.1mg/kg of morphine. At the conclusion of the surgery, a neuromuscular block was antagonized with neostigmine 0.04 mg/kg and 0.2 mg/kg atropine. The duration of anesthesia and duration of surgeries were recorded. All patients were transferred to the postoperative care unit. All patients’ SBP, DBP, MBP, HR, SpO2, Visual analog scale (VAS) scores, Ramsay Sedation Scale values (RSS), total morphine consumption and side effects (nausea, vomiting, increase in oral secretion, nightmares, double vision, hallucination, agitation) were recorded at 1, 4, 8, 12 and 24 hours after the point when the Alderette Recovery Score reached 9, morphine 75 mcg/kg dose was given. Patients with complaints of severe nausea and vomiting were treated with 0.1 mg /kg ondansetron. The results obtained in the study were evaluated using the SPSS (Statistic Package for Social Sciences) program, version 9.0. While the p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant, the p-value < 0.005 was accepted as highly significant.
RESULTS: The two groups were compared statistically and no statistically significant difference was found between the groups regarding patients' demographic data, duration of the surgeries and the anesthesia (p>0.05, table 1). Patients were monitored in the recovery room for the first hour after the surgery and then shifted to ward. A comparison of patients' first analgesic requirement times showed that patients from the pregabalin group had a delayed analgesic requirement; however, this difference was not statistically significant (p=0.2, respectively, 32.10 ± 6.52 min, 29.4.0 ± 8.68 min). There was no statistical difference between the two groups regarding hemodynamic parameters recorded intraoperative and postoperative in given time intervals (p>0.05). Postoperative VAS values recorded after 1 hour were comparable and statistically insignificant in both groups of patients (p>0.05). However, a decrease of the VAS values recorded after 4, 8, 12 and 24 hours in the pregabalin group compared with the placebo group was found to be highly significant statistically (p<0.005, table 2). Postoperative morphine administered and total morphine consumption at 8, 12, and 24 hours was significantly lower in the pregabalin group compared with the placebo group (p<0.005, table 2). All patients were asked about side effects 24 hours after the surgery. Two patients from Group I (10%) and 4 patients from group II (20%) reported nausea. Additionally, pruritus was seen in one patient from Group I. No statistically significant difference was observed between the groups in the postoperative period regarding the Ramsay Sedation Scale score (p>0.05).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>46.23±12.16</td>
<td>45.83±11.28</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>64.62 ± 7.8</td>
<td>62.69 ± 7.4</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anesthesia time (min)</td>
<td>114.74 ± 34.64</td>
<td>123.61 ± 42.19</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical time (min)</td>
<td>106.44 ± 31.26</td>
<td>117.36 ± 39.24</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Demographic data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 hour</td>
<td>1.70 ± 0.81</td>
<td>1.88 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 hour</td>
<td>6.7 ± 2.3</td>
<td>8.7 ± 1.2</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 hour</td>
<td>9.8 ± 3.1</td>
<td>16.3 ± 1.3</td>
<td>0.0001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 hour</td>
<td>12.9 ± 4.2</td>
<td>23 ± 2.1</td>
<td>0.0001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 hour</td>
<td>19.4 ± 6.1</td>
<td>30.1 ± 4.9</td>
<td>0.0001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Comparison of postoperative morphine consumption between the two groups

*: p>0.05 not statistically significant.

*: p<0.005 Statistically Significant.
DISCUSSION: The multimodal analgesic technique is commonly used for acute postoperative pain and it is based on judicious use of opioids, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), paracetamol, small dose ketamine, and regional blocks with local anesthetics. The quest for an ideal drug is ongoing and a drug which has opioid-sparing analgesic effect, an anxiolytic property without the adverse effects of traditional analgesics mentioned, can be an attractive adjuvant for acute perioperative analgesia. (16)

The present study showed that premedication with pregabalin reduces postoperative pain scores and analgesic consumption after abdominal hysterectomy without increasing sedation or other side effects. According to recent evidence, along with the use of gabapentin and pregabalin as effective analgesics in patients with neuropathic pain or chronic pain syndrome, preemptive administration of these drugs provides effective postoperative analgesia. (23,24)

By reducing the hyperexcitability of dorsal horn neurons induced by tissue damage, pregabalin may have a role in postoperative pain management. (25,26,27) The anxiolytic effect of pregabalin and its ability to prevent opioid tolerance may be beneficial. (28,29)

In this study, we aimed to evaluate the preemptive analgesic activity of pregabalin in patients undergoing elective abdominal hysterectomy, considering abdominal surgery to be a painful procedure with potential to generate central sensitization and peripheral hypersensitivity. A preoperative 300 mg of pregabalin and a loading dose of morphine administered 15 minutes before the end of the surgery were given to the patients. When morphine consumption between the two groups was it was seen that morphine consumption at 4, 8, 12 and 24 hours was lower in the pregabalin group compared with the placebo group. Comparison of the results of the two groups showed a significant fact that VAS values and the morphine consumption decreased simultaneously. Although the first analgesic requirement times of the patients given pregabalin were longer then in the placebo group, this difference was not found to be significant statistically. The first analgesic requirement time values obtained in this study were found to be similar to those in two different studies on preoperative pregabalin effects by Jokela et al. (30,31) The analgesic requirements in our study raised statistically significantly for all subsequently observed.
In a study by Hill 2001\(^{(32)}\) statistically significant differences were observed in pain relief, pain intensity difference, and pain relief intensity difference between the 300-mg pregabalin group and placebo. The results were comparable to our study.

In another study Itticaichkulthol, 2009\(^{(33)}\) patients undergoing Abdominal hysterectomy comparing 2 groups 300 mg pregabalin vs. lorazepam 0.5 mg 1 h before surgery. Compared to the lorazepam group, patients in the pregabalin group had lower pain scores on the verbal numerical rating scale (P<0.01) and lower morphine Consumption in the first 24 h. The incidence of somnolence, dizziness, nausea, and vomiting were equal among both the groups the results in accordance to our study.

The Cochrane database reviewed the double-blind RCT reporting on the analgesic effect of pregabalin, with subjective pain assessment by the patient as either the primary or a secondary outcome. A total of 19 studies involving 7003 patients were reviewed. It was found that pregabalin doses ≥300 mg/day were effective analgesics in post herpetic neuralgia, diabetic neuropathy, central neuropathic pain, and fibromyalgia whereas doses ≤150 mg/day were generally ineffective.

Various studies on laparoscopic procedures have showed varied results with some not showing any significant reduction in postoperative opioid use\(^{(34,35)}\) whereas others have\(^{(36,37)}\) reported significant differences in postoperative VAS values and opioid consumption. The reason these results are different than results we obtained could be the fact that while they used the laparoscopic method with different postoperative pain mechanisms, patients in our study underwent laparotomy.

Our study clearly shows an improvement in VAS scores in the postoperative period for abdominal surgeries.

The reported side effects of pregabalin are somnolence, dizziness, confusion, headache, ataxia and weight gain\(^{(38)}\). Whereas most of these side effects were reported for chronic use, the most common side effects for postoperative patients were dizziness, somnolence and sedation. In our study 2 patients reported with nausea and 1 had pruritus.
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