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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

Polycystic Ovary Syndrome (PCOS) is one of the most common endocrinopathy in premenopausal women.

AIM

The aim of the study was to evaluate the effectiveness of the Poincare plot analysis of Heart Rate Variability (HRV) in PCOS.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

24 PCOS diagnosed by Rotterdam 2003 Diagnostic Criteria and were of lean and ideal weight as per WHO criteria and 24 BMI matched, age matched normally menstruating women served as study participants. People of the study group underwent 5 min of ECG, which was evaluated for HRV. HRV analysed were Geometrical parameters (HRV, TRI, INDEX, TINN), Total Power (TP) and Poincare plot parameters (SD1, SD2, SD1/SD2, S).

RESULTS

The Poincare scatter grams were narrower in patients and wider in control groups showing parasympathetic withdrawal and sympathetic dominance, but were not statistically significant. Area (S), TP and HRV TRI INDEX, TINN showed overall decrease in autonomic activity denoting altered sympathovagal balance favouring sympathetic dominance. There was a significant correlation of TP, SD1, SD2, S, TINN and HRV TRI INDEX with increased Rate Pressure Product (RPP) as well as with one another, but not with BMI. The regression analysis did not lay forward the independent associations of these variables.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

This study indicates the total variability is decreased even in young, lean and ideal weight PCOS patients. Larger studies are needed to evaluating the short- and long-term variability.

KEYWORDS

Polycystic Ovarian Syndrome, Heart Rate Variability, Poincare Plot Analysis, Geometrical Parameters, Cardiac Autonomic Innervations, Sympathovagal Activity.


INTRODUCTION

Polycystic Ovary Syndrome (PCOS) is one of the most common endocrinopathy of premenopausal women. It was first described by Stein Leventhal in 1935 with a prevalence rate of 25% of the Indian population. PCOS is a clinical combination of anovulation and hyperandrogenism; now getting attention as a new face of metabolic syndrome.(1)

The cardiovascular involvement in PCOS has been investigated and many reported some abnormalities. The data regarding the cardiovascular mortality and morbidity are conflicting. (2) PCOS patient has an estimated 4-11 fold increased risk for coronary artery disease, based on the prevalence of risk factors. (3) There was high prevalence of diabetes (40%) and hypertension (60%). (5)

The abnormalities found were decreased cardiac systolic flow velocity, (6) diastolic dysfunction, (7) increased vascular stiffness, (8) endothelial dysfunction, (9) low-grade chronic inflammation, (10) increased homocysteine, (11) impaired fibrinolysis, (12) and increased tissue plasminogen activator antigen. (13)

Obesity has been associated with Cardiovascular (CV) automatic dysfunction in the form of increased sympathetic activity. (14) It was seen that obesity factor was just a factor modifying this syndrome, (15) and metabolic syndrome was prevalent in even lean PCOS. (15)

A heart rate variability measurement from electrocardiographic recording has been shown to be useful to assess cardiac autonomic function. (16) However, in our previous study we found the linear measures of HRV were affected even in non-obese young PCOS with unfavourable lipid profile. (17)

Analysis of the non-linear dynamics of HRV would enable a better physiological interpretation of the HRV and for the assessment of the risk of sudden death. (16) The analysis of Poincare plots or sections of RR intervals is an emerging method of non-linear dynamics applied in HRV analysis. (18)

Poincare plot of RR intervals is an useful visual tool which is capable of summarizing an entire RR time series derived from an Electrocardiogram (ECG) in one picture and a quantitative technique, which gives information on the long-
and short-term HRV.\(^{(19)}\) However, no studies have examined the non-linear component of HRV in PCOS excluding the obesity factor.

Therefore, our aim was to evaluate the effectiveness of the Poincare plot analysis of Heart Rate Variability (HRV) in non-obese young PCOS patient and to compare them with regularly menstruating apparently healthy non-obese controls. We hypothesized that the “PCOS is associated with alterations of short- and long-term variability of Poincare plot parameters.”

**METHODODOLOGY**

This was a case control study conducted in the Department of Physiology, PSG IMS and R. Both study and control groups gave written informed consent. Clearance from the Institute’s Human Ethics Committee was got. The patient study group included PCOS, who were diagnosed with physical findings of hyperandrogenism, oligo/anovulation and ultrasonography, after exclusion of specific ovarian, adrenal and pituitary disorders according to Rotterdam 2003 diagnostic criteria.\(^{(20)}\)

**Sample Size Calculation**

According to the disease prevalence in India, the sample size was calculated.\(^{(1)}\) The required sample size calculated was 18. We analysed 25 patients, of which one was excluded because of presence of ectopic beats.

**SUBJECTS**

a. **Patient Group**

24 non-pregnant ideal and lean weight (Measured by BMI - Body Mass Index) women with PCOS. The patients were grouped as lean and normal as per the WHO criteria.\(^{(21)}\)

b. **Control Group**

24 regularly menstruating (Every 27-32 days) volunteer medical students, doctors, nurses and staff of the hospital and who were matched for age and BMI were included.

Inclusion criteria for both groups included young women aged 16 to 35; they were lean or ideal weight according to BMI and W/H ratio, waist circumference less than <80 cm; they were not on any medications affecting lipid or carbohydrate metabolism at least for past 2 months. Exclusion criteria included women below 16 and above 35, pregnant and lactating women, menopausal women, those who had undergone hysterectomy, women taking lipid lowering drugs, oral hypoglycaemic drugs or insulin sensitizing agents, oral contraceptives and sex steroids for last 2 months and those who were matched for age and BMI were included.

**CARDIOVASCULAR PARAMETERS**

a. **Basal Heart Rate (BHR)**

After 5 min of sitting at rest by palpating the left radial artery at wrist, the pulse rate was counted for complete 1 minute.

b. **Resting Blood Pressure (RBP)**

After 20 minutes of quiet supine rest, blood pressure was recorded. Subjects were in supine position using a manual sphygmomanometer (A Novaphone make). Systolic and diastolic blood pressure was measured. Recorded from right arm to the nearest 2 mmHg. Blood pressure was defined as the points of appearance and disappearance of Korotkoff sounds respectively.

c. **Rate-Pressure Product (RPP)**

RPP, a determinant of myocardial oxygen consumption and workload was calculated using the formula.\(^{(24)}\)

\[
RPP = (BHR \times SBP) \times 10^2
\]

**HRV Analysis**

Task force guidelines on HRV analysis were followed. Morning 2-4 hours after taking breakfast, the tests were done. The 5 min ECG recording was taken from the study group. They were in lying position, quiet in a dark room for 20 minutes with open eyes, not falling asleep and not making any movements. This ECG was used for the calculation of mean heart rate and HRV during rest in the supine position.

Lead II ECG was recorded by placing three disposable adhesion electrodes on the limb. Baseline electrocardiograms were obtained from all subjects. Participants with abnormal baseline ECG (including juvenile pattern) and ectopic beats were excluded.

ECG was obtained by the students Biopac version 1.3. RR intervals were obtained after clearance of noise and baseline fluctuations by digital filters. The data was filtered using a digital notch filters with a sampling rate of 1000 samples/sec. The inbuilt software selected the RR peaks and these RR intervals, which were obtained as time points were then fed into a Microsoft Excel Sheet and RR intervals were copied to a notepad file.

HRV analysis software, version 1.1 from Biomedical Signal Analysis group, Department of Applied Physics, University of Kuopio, Finland was used to do the HRV analysis. To analyse data lengths of 5 minutes, 256 seconds of RR interval data was taken from the tachogram and interpolated at 4 Hz to get 1024 points. Power spectral analysis was done by Fast Fourier
Transformation (FFT) after de-trending and removal of the mean from the data points. Hanning window was applied to prevent spectral leakage (Default). The power spectral density was obtained by Welch’s periodogram, using window width of 512 data points with an overlap of 256 points. Both linear and non-linear dynamics were obtained. Linear dynamics were analysed in our previous study.(17) Here, we focus on geometric methods of linear dynamics as well as non-linear HRV parameters.

Linear Dynamics (Geometric Methods)
This is one of the standard methods for analysing the HRV (1996, task force). This includes,

HRV triangular index, which is the integral of the density distribution (i.e. the number of all NN intervals) divided by the maximum of the density distribution.

Triangular interpolation of NN Interpolation of NN Interval Histogram (TINN), which is the baseline width of the minimum square difference triangular interpolation of the highest peak of the histogram of all NN intervals.\(^{(16)}\)

Linear Dynamics
(Frequency Domain)
Total power (TP = LF + HF power): In short term recordings (Say 5 minutes), this represents the total heart rate variability which includes the variability of both the sympathetic and the parasympathetic components.

Non-linear Dynamics: Using Poincare Plot
Poincare plot is a visual presentation of time series signal to recognize the hidden patterns. It is a two-dimensional graphic representation of the correlation between consecutive RR intervals, in which each interval is plotted against the following interval. It is a qualitative tool. By assessing the shape formed, degree of complexity of RR intervals can be analysed. It is an ellipse and the dispersion along the major and minor axis of the ellipse is measured.\(^{(25)}\)

The standard deviations of the instantaneous and long-term R-R interval variability are calculated. In the scatter diagram of the new axis, the dispersion of the points around the \(x2\) axis is measured by the standard deviation denoted by SD1. This quantity measures the width of the Poincare cloud and therefore indicates the level of short-term HRV. The length of the cloud along the line-of-identity measures the long-term HRV and is measured by SD2, which is the standard deviation around the long axis.\(^{(25)}\) we acquired.

There are Two Standard Descriptors of Poincare Plot
Namely
a. Standard deviation 1 (SD1): It is the Standard Deviation (SD) of the instantaneous (Short-term) beat-to-beat R-R interval variability (minor axis of the ellipse or SD1).
b. Standard deviation 2 (SD2): It is the SD of the long-term R-R interval variability (major axis of the ellipse or SD2).
c. SD1/SD2: Ratio of the standard deviations.
d. Area of the ellipse (S): It is the amount of area covered by the ellipse. Calculated by doing the product of \(\pi, SD1\) and SD2. It represents total HRV.\(^{(27)}\)

Fig. 1: The Poincare Plot SD1 and SD2

Statistical Analysis
The Statistical Package for the Social Science (SPSS 19.0 version for windows) was used for statistical analysis. Independent sample ‘t’ test was used to compare the measured parameters of patients with PCOS and control group. Pearson’s correlation analysis was used to investigate the relationship between BMI, RPP and HRV parameters. Multiple regression analysis was also done to assess the contribution of individual factors to RPP and BMI. P <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS
Values were expressed as Mean±SD.

Basic Clinical Data
The study group are the same subject we included for our previous work.(17) hence their basic parameters were the same. PCOS and control were of same age group (22.96±3.96 vs 24.21±4.69), BMI (22.12±2.56 vs 20.86±2.73) and weight (53.60±8.86 vs 51.09±9.31) with P value 0.324, 0.104 and 0.344 respectively. Resting blood pressure showed SBP 107.67±10.66 vs 106.17±14.30 and DBP (73.58±8.75 vs 71.08±9.14) with P value 0.682 and 0.38 respectively was not significant. RPP (89.035±15.478 vs 78.85±14.52) and BHR (82.65±10.87 vs 74.00±6.78) was statistically significant with p value 0.02 and 0.01 respectively (Refer Table 1; Fig 2).

Poincare plot analysis showed SD1 SD2 SD1/SD2 were not significant with p value 0.322, 0.546 and 0.559 respectively. (Refer Table 1; Fig 2) Total area S was statistically significant (p=0.026) (Refer Table 1; Fig 3). Of the geometrical parameters HRV TRI INDEX, TINN both were statistically significant with p value 0.008 and 0.016 respectively. Linear parameter TP was also statistically significant (p=0.018) (Refer Table 2; Fig 4).

All the HRV parameters (SD2, SD1/SD2, S, TRI INDEX, TINN and TP) analysed had a statistically significant negative correlation with RPP with p value <0.001, <0.001, <0.001, <0.001, <0.001 and 0.004 respectively, except SD1 which had a significant positive correlation (P value <0.001). There was no significant correlation between HRV parameters and BMI. Also BMI and RPP was not correlated. (Refer Table 3). The geometrical parameters correlated significantly with TP and Poincare data S with P value <0.001 (Refer Table 4). Regression analysis showed no significant association between HRV parameters with RPP and BMI. (Refer Table 5).
Table 1: Comparison of RPP and Poincare Plot Analysis of the PCOS and Control Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SD1</td>
<td>24.93±14.37</td>
<td>39.19±16.46</td>
<td>0.322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD2</td>
<td>65.23±19.51</td>
<td>55.14±26.84</td>
<td>0.546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD1/SD2</td>
<td>0.45±0.19</td>
<td>0.59±0.25</td>
<td>0.559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>5290.34±5067.53</td>
<td>8723.58±525.14</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPP</td>
<td>89.035±15.478</td>
<td>78.85±14.52</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2: SD1 - Standard Deviation 1 (short-term variability), SD2 - Standard Deviation 2 (long-term variability), SD1/SD2 - Ratio, RPP - Rate Pressure Product. *-Significant

Table 2: Comparison of Geometrical Parameters of the PCOS and Control Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HRV TRI INDEX</td>
<td>0.0671±0.0275</td>
<td>0.0901±0.0295</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TINN</td>
<td>183.125±82.57</td>
<td>266.66±140.12</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP</td>
<td>575.54±529.71</td>
<td>1019.29±703.25</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3: Comparison of Area S between PCOS and Control group

Fig. 4: HRV TRI INDEX- Density Distribution, TINN- Triangular Interpolation of NN Interpolation of NN Interval Histogram, TP- Total Power. **- Moderately Significant. *- Significant

Table 3: Correlation Analysis between HRV Parameters with RPP and BMI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>SD1</th>
<th>SD2</th>
<th>SD1/SD2</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>TRI Index</th>
<th>TINN</th>
<th>TP</th>
<th>BMI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RPP r value</td>
<td>0.640</td>
<td>-0.496</td>
<td>-0.505</td>
<td>-0.523</td>
<td>-0.628</td>
<td>-0.407</td>
<td>-0.446</td>
<td>0.114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p value</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI r value</td>
<td>-1.60</td>
<td>-1.76</td>
<td>-0.98</td>
<td>-0.162</td>
<td>-0.288</td>
<td>-0.124</td>
<td>-0.193</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p value</td>
<td>0.277</td>
<td>0.232</td>
<td>0.506</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Correlation Analysis between HRV Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>TINN</th>
<th>HRV TRI Index</th>
<th>TP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S r value</td>
<td>0.813</td>
<td>0.814</td>
<td>.895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p value</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP r value</td>
<td>0.681</td>
<td>0.820</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p value</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Regression Analysis between HRV Parameters with RPP and BMI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>SD1</th>
<th>SD2</th>
<th>SD1/SD2</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>TRI Index</th>
<th>TINN</th>
<th>TP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RPP Standardised beta</td>
<td>-1.792</td>
<td>-1.969</td>
<td>-0.58</td>
<td>2.019</td>
<td>-0.922</td>
<td>1.617</td>
<td>1.133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p value</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.954</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.362</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>0.264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI Standardised beta</td>
<td>0.973</td>
<td>-0.189</td>
<td>-0.851</td>
<td>-0.452</td>
<td>-0.753</td>
<td>-0.715</td>
<td>-0.256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p value</td>
<td>0.337</td>
<td>0.915</td>
<td>0.909</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>0.479</td>
<td>0.799</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DISCUSSION

PCOS is a disorder of present day which requires keen observation and treatment as it is with chronic anovulation, hyperandrogenism, hirsutism, obesity, sub-fertility and insulin resistance. They have high incidence of hypertension.(20) and CVD.(29) Studies show that among the PCOS, 50% of women have insulin resistance and no more than 40% are obese.(30)

Obesity is a condition characterised by hyperinsulinaemia and insulin resistance,(31) and is associated with increased incidence of HT,(32) and enhanced risk for cardiovascular morbidity.(33) Obesity has long been known to cause alterations in autonomic functions in the form of increased adrenergic and decreased vagal modulation.(14)

Therefore, we selected our study group population without obesity. They were of lean and ideal BMI and weight category and they were young. Since we excluded the people with obesity, DM and hypertension, influence of these pathology on cardiac autonomic modulation is prevented.

On looking into the cardiovascular parameters though their systolic and diastolic resting blood pressure was normal, RPP was significantly higher among the PCOS than the controls. (Table 1; Fig. 2) RPP denotes increased oxygen demand and increased myocardial load.(24) Therefore, PCOS patients are under increased stress on the myocardium. Also basal heart rate was significantly higher among the PCOS than the control.

HRV is a powerful tool to 'evaluate cardiac autonomic activity. Diminished HRV is associated with increased sympathetic and decreased vagal modulation and these autonomic changes have been reported to be associated with an increase in the malignant ventricular arrhythmias.(6) We looked into 3 categories of HRV parameters to analyse in this study. They are the Geometric methods (RR INDEX, TINN), the non-linear Poincare Plot measures (SD1, SD2, SD1/SD2 and S) and in spectral analysis (TP). The time domain measures and spectral analysis were dealt in detail in our previous study.(17)

In frequency domain analysis, TP which is an index of overall HRV was significantly reduced in the cases (Table 2; Fig. 4). Decreased HRV depicts decreased cardiovagal modulation, which is a potential CV risk.(34) TP showed a significant negative association with RPP and not associated with BMI. The geometric parameters HRV TRI INDEX and TINN, which express overall HRV measured over 24 hours and are more influenced by lower than higher frequency of HRV spectrum(16) were significantly reduced (Table 2; Fig. 4), favouring sympathovagal imbalance. Both significantly correlated with RPP, but not with BMI (Table 3).

The Poincare parameters SD1, SD2, SD1/SD2 was not significantly altered. (Table 1; Fig. 2) It could be said that short- and long-term variability was not observed, but Area S which is the total area of the ellipse denoting total variability was reduced significantly in PCOS than controls. (Table 1; Fig. 3) Negative correlation of RPP non-linear measures, SD1, SD2, SD1/SD2 and S depicts that attenuated total variability could increase the stress on myocardial performance (Table 3).

TP and S which represents total variability has positive correlation with reduced geometric measures as well as TP and S correlate positively. This shows they are interchangeable. The regression analysis did not lay forward the independent associations of these variables (Table 4).

This study indicates the total variability is decreased even in lean and ideal weight PCOS patients supporting our previous study, which analysed time and frequency domain measures alone and found altered HRV parameters.(17) This shows altered cardiac autonomic activity even in lean and ideal weight PCOS, which is a risk factor for cardiovascular disease.

LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

One of the main limitations is the small sample size of study groups. Second is that we were not able to do a direct comparative analysis between obese and lean PCOS and the last is that lean and ideal weight PCOS were categorized based on BMI and not on absence of visceral obesity (According to waist – hip ratio).
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CONCLUSION

The result of the present study shows that cardiac autonomic activity can be affected in PCOS, overall decrease in geometric and total variability of HRV. Therefore, all PCOS irrespective of obesity and age should be screened for cardiac dysfunction and routine investigations should include ECG with HRV.
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