A STUDY ON INTRAOPERATIVE AWARENESS AND RECALL DURING GENERAL ANAESTHESIA- A PROSPECTIVE OBSERVATIONAL STUDY
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

Intraoperative awareness occurs when a patient becomes conscious during a surgical procedure performed under general anaesthesia and subsequently has recall of these events. The experience can be quite disturbing and traumatic, and some patients may even need counselling after their surgery to help lessen feelings of confusion, stress, or trauma associated with the experience. For this reason, anaesthesia professionals are seriously committed to minimising the risk of intraoperative awareness under general anaesthesia.

Objectives- The purpose of this study was to evaluate the incidence of awareness with recall after surgery, which is an infrequent occurrence but of significant concern with significant adverse psychological sequelae and medicolegal implications.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

300 patients were enrolled for three different subsets of surgical patients undergoing general anaesthesia. An acknowledged and well-established method of detecting awareness involved the use of a structured Brice et al interview, 1970 and correlation with intraoperative Entropy monitoring.

RESULTS

Our study found that among these 300 patients, 2 patients reported remembering something between going to sleep and waking up from anaesthesia, thus 2 cases of awareness were identified. 7 patients reported dreaming and 4 cases of possible awareness were identified.

CONCLUSION

Awareness occurs despite the usual clinical monitoring of anaesthetic depth like BP, HR and even with the use of entropy. There is currently no evidence that awareness and recall could be prevented by monitoring consciousness with sophisticated methods such as BIS or entropy. If a patient has suffered from awareness and recalls this postoperatively, psychiatric consultation and followup is recommended.
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BACKGROUND

Awareness with recall after surgery under general anaesthesia is an infrequent but well-described adverse outcome,¹ despite its relatively infrequent occurrence awareness is of significant concern to the patients² and is often associated with significant adverse psychological sequelae including Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder.³⁴⁵ The occurrence of awareness is often the consequence of light anaesthetic technique or smaller anaesthetic doses.⁶⁷ The first case report of traumatic awareness and recall during general anaesthesia was described in 1950 by Winterbottom. Studies on the incidence of awareness began in 1960s.

Awareness during anaesthesia falls in the domain of anaesthetist, so anaesthetist is held responsible in such cases. Very large compensations have been awarded for awareness during anaesthesia in Great Britain (Payne 1994). An analysis of ASA closed claim project showed that 1.9% of claims were for awareness and blamed substandard care.⁸

Classification of Awareness

Awareness during general anaesthesia includes consciousness and memory about intraoperative events. Memory about intraoperative events can be divided into.
Explicit
Which is controlled consciousness and patient can recall spontaneously or in a structured post-operative interview.

Implicit
Which is automatic and unconscious component not recalled.

Implicit memory refers to changes in behaviour or performance but without any conscious recollection of previous experiences.

The term awareness is usually used to describe explicit memory, in fact explicit recall of intraoperative events during general anaesthesia.[1,9]

Incidence and Prevalence
The prevalence of awareness among non-cardiac and non-obstetric cases is 0.1% to 0.2%.[1,3] In a study from Australia, Myles et al reported a frequency of awareness of 0.1%. The prevalence in cardiac cases ranges from 1.1 to 1.5%, in obstetric cases 0.4% and in major trauma cases 11-43%.[2,6,9,10,11]

Sadin et al[3] performed one of the largest study on this topic which included 11785 patients, they identified 18 cases of awareness and reported an overall incidence of 0.16%. Prevalence of awareness was 0.18% in cases in which NMB agents were used and 0.1% in absence of NMB agents.

A multicentre US study on incidence of awareness during anaesthesia interviewed 19,575 patients postoperatively and found 25 cases of awareness (0.13% incidence), a rate of 1-2 cases per thousand patients.

Since the 1960s when first studies were published the incidence of this complication has declined from 1.2% to 0.1%. Several studies have shown that females are at increased risk of awareness (Renta et al 1997, Ghoniem et al 2009). Large trials have demonstrated that around 1-2 per thousand patients experience some form of awareness.

Awareness is more frequent with major traumas that are followed by hypovolaemia and hypotension, cardiac surgeries, Caesarean-sections, interventions that are carried out at night.[9,12,13] Now a days intubation is often achieved with long acting relaxants which are effective for longer time than the induction agents. What may therefore happen when short acting induction agents are combined with long acting muscle relaxants is that the induction agents ceases to be effective before the maintenance agents have caused sufficient depth of anaesthesia. The result is that the patient is awake but this is difficult to establish because of complete curarisation.[14,15]

Not giving premedication could be another important factor because premedication helps reduce anxiety before operation and may also act as sedative, even intensify the effect of anaesthetics, nowadays; however, premedication is no longer given routinely particularly in cases where a rapid recovery is desired. As a consequence of increased anxiety and lower level of sedation the chances of awareness are increased.[16,17,18]

Causes Of Anaesthesia Awareness:[2,2]
- Inadequate anaesthetic dose-emergency, trauma, hypovolemic patients, emergency cesarean-section, cardiac surgery.
- Resistance to anaesthetic-hyperthyroidism, obesity, anxiety, younger age, long time use of certain drugs (Alcohol, opiates or amphetamines).
- Routine administration of muscle relaxants.
- Mechanical malfunction or misuse of anaesthetic machine.
- Anaesthetic technique?

Consequences and Sequelae of Awareness
The spectrum of awareness ranges from simple perception of sensory stimuli to sensation of paralysis, feeling of helplessness, anxiety, panic and impending death. Most cases of awareness describe their experience in terms of anxiety both during the period of perception of incoming stimuli and in the postoperative period. Moreover, they all emphasise on feelings of powerlessness. Both these factors have an emotional impact and long psychological consequences. The main reason for this distress is not because they were awake but because they were not able to let anyone know that they were awake and not able to move, this leads to great anxiety. The intense anxiety has consequences like sleep disturbances, not daring to go to sleep, nightmares and waking up with anxiety are mentioned most frequently. These problems may last from few weeks to few months or longer. Another characteristic feature is an increased fear of anaesthesia. In serious cases, this may manifest as Post-traumatic stress disorder, which appears in 33%-56% of patients who experienced awareness.[19,20,21]

In 1937, Guedel published his classic text describing the stages and planes of ether anaesthesia relating them to clinical signs.[22,23] Traditionally, the judgement of inadequate general anaesthesia has been based on clinical signs such as heart rate, blood pressure, sweating, pupillary dilatation and lacrimation. However, signs of increased autonomic activity have not been associated with all cases of awareness and they may also be absent due to use of opioids, cholinergic and beta adrenergic antagonists, vasodilators and antihypertensive drugs and are therefore inadequate to measure the depth of general anaesthesia.[5,7,12,13,24]

EEG is classically classified as alpha, beta, delta and omega waves depending on their frequency and other parameters. BIS is an example of passively processed EEG. The BIS monitor is the most used and studied hypnotic monitor till now. The BIS value is described using arbitrary units that ranges from 100-awake to zero-isoelectric EEG and the recommended value for surgical anaesthesia is 40-60. Use of BIS has been shown to reduce the incidence of awareness during general anaesthesia in both high risk (Myles 2004) and mixed patient pool. In a prospective cohort trial of 4945 patients, BIS monitoring reduced the incidence of awareness from 0.18% to 0.04%.[22,24,25,26] Entropy was defined already in 1948 in the information theory by Shannan and applied to a power spectrum signal in 1984 by Jonson and Shove. M-entropy is an online depth of anaesthesia monitor based on calculation of spectral entropy. Entropy parameters range from 0 to 100 (full awake).[27,28,29] Other monitoring methods include: Photoplethysmography/pulse oximetry, [30,31] AAI, EMG, Surgical stress index and surgical pleth index. The aim of this study was to observe the incidence of awareness in three subset populations of surgical patients we receive at our hospital using a standardised questionnaire and intraoperative entropy monitor.
Aims of the Study
To find the incidence of awareness and recall in patients who undergo different types of surgeries under general anaesthesia.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
An acknowledged and well-established method of detecting awareness involves the use of a structured Brice et al interview 1970 which asks the following questions:[18]
1. What was the last thing you remember happening before you went to sleep?
2. What was the first thing you remember happening on waking up?
3. Did you dream or have any other experience in between?
4. What is the worst thing you remember about your operation/anaesthesia?

The Present study was conducted at the Associated Hospitals of Government Medical College, Srinagar-
2. Govt. SMHS Hospital: 200 patients from August 1, 2013 to November 15, 2013, which includes 100 patients who underwent different routine general surgeries and 100 patients from Emergency.

After obtaining approval from hospital ethics committee, a written informed consent was taken from the patients for participation in this study.

Patients who were excluded
1. Patients with abnormal mental status.
2. Patients not expected to survive.
3. Patients Transferred directly to ICU.
4. Patients who belong to Extremes of age (<15 and >60).

Pre-analgesic evaluation was done and noted down. In the operating room IV line established, multichannel monitor attached and standard monitoring including baseline pulse, NIBP, SPO2 and ECG connected. Entropy and NM monitoring equipment was attached.

The choice of inducing agent, neuromuscular blocker and maintenance for general anaesthesia was based on the patient and nature of surgical procedure.

Design of the Study and Setting
A prospective observational study for the incidence of awareness with recall during general anaesthesia was conducted. Patients for elective or urgent surgery requiring general anaesthesia were selected.

Patient Interview, Detection and Evaluation
Our definition of awareness is when patient spontaneously or at interview stated or remembered that he or she was awake during operation.

Awareness Classification
- AWR-YES: when patient in response to structured questions is sure of having been awake at any time during the operation.
- AWR-NO: when patient is sure of having been asleep during anaesthesia and operation.

Protocol for Patient Detection and followup of the Intraoperative Awareness with Recall-
- Structured interview in PACU on day of surgery.
- Confirmative structured interview by study coordinator 3 days after surgery.
- Structured telephonic interview 30 days after surgery to explain possible cause and propose psychological treatment or advice.
- Analysis of possible cause of awareness from data of anaesthesia record.[34,35]

Total number of Patients selected and Evaluated for the Study = 300, which includes:
1. General surgical cases: 200 at SMHS.
2. Gynaecological and obstetrical cases: 100 at LDH.

In SMHS, out of 200 patients, (n/\%)
- Awareness cases = 1 (0.5%).
- Possible awareness = 3 (1.5%).
- Dreams = 5 (2.5%).

In LDH out of 100 patients, (n/\%)
- Awareness cases = 1 (1%).
- Possible awareness = 1(1%).
- Dreams = 2 (2%).

Statistical Methodology
The recorded data was compiled and entered in a spreadsheet (Microsoft Excel) and then exported to data editor of SPSS Version 20.0 (SPSS Inc./Chicago, Illinois, USA). Continuous variables were summarised in the form of means and standard deviations and categorical variables were expressed as frequencies and percentages. Graphically the data was presented as bar diagrams. Chi – square test was applied for comparing categorical variables. A P value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All P values were two tailed.

RESULTS

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hospital</td>
<td>Awareness Study Results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Awareness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDH</td>
<td>(n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMHS</td>
<td>(n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>(n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Pearson Chi-square = 0.375, P-value = 0.829 (non-significant)
Figure 1. Awareness Study Results (Bar Chart): Different Hospitals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hospital</th>
<th>Dreams</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDH</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMHS</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Incidence of Dreams

*Pearson Chi-Square = 0.073, p-value = 0.571 (non-significant)

Awareness and Dreams Study Results as per Nature of Surgery

Elective
- Total no. of cases = 145.
- Awareness = 0 (0.0%).
- Possible awareness = 1 (0.7%).

Emergency
- Total no. of cases = 155.
- Awareness = 5 (3.3%).
- Possible awareness = 2 (1.3%).

Figure 2. Awareness Study Results: Elective versus Emergency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nature of Surgery</th>
<th>Elective</th>
<th>Emergency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Incidence of Dream: Elective versus Emergency

*Pearson Chi-Square=1.531 p value=.216 (non-significant)

Figure 3. Bar Chart Representation Incidence of Dreams in Elective versus Emergency Patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Awareness</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Possible</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Awareness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Possible Awareness Results

Pearson Chi-Square = 2.792 p value = 0.248 (non-significant).

Table 5. Awareness and Dreams Study Results as per Gender

*Pearson Chi-Square =1.165, P value = 0.558 (non-significant)
operative visit by the anaesthesiologist to assess the perioperative events and vitals, anaesthetic depth, and wake up from anaesthesia, thus 2 cases of awareness (0.7%) among these 300 patients were identified. These awareness cases were followed retrospectively to determine the correlation of awareness with various predictive factors. We could not find any significant predictor of possible awareness among these 300 patients. The median time between induction and emergence was 45 minutes (range 23-66 minutes). Possible awareness (1.3%) were identified. We used the same structured interview that has been used in prior investigations. Without use of a structured interview is unlikely to elicit awareness (1.3%) were identified. There was no association between increased entropy readings and the incidence of awareness as many patients had entropy above 60 but did not report awareness in our study. Awareness occurs despite the usual clinical monitoring of anaesthetic depth (BP, HR and end tidal CO2) with sicker patients undergoing major surgery, this finding may reflect the use of smaller anaesthetic doses and light anaesthetic technique in sicker patients. Various studies found an increased risk of awareness with sicker patients undergoing major surgery, this finding may reflect the use of smaller anaesthetic doses and light anaesthetic techniques in sicker patients. In many cases, awareness during anaesthesia is a potentially avoidable adverse anaesthetic outcome. In light of followup studies suggesting that such victims of awareness may exhibit significant psychological after effects such as PTSD, attempts to further reduce its incidence are warranted. Because awareness occurred despite the usual clinical monitoring of anaesthetic depth (BP, HR and end tidal anaesthetic monitoring), a monitor of cerebral function and depth of anaesthesia may be of theoretical benefit. The limitation of our study is that it did not assess the long term psychological sequelae of intraoperative awareness and recall among the victims.

CONCLUSION
Awareness is caused by the administration of general anaesthesia that is inadequate to maintain unconsciousness and to prevent recall during surgical stimulation. Awareness occurs despite the usual clinical monitoring of anaesthetic depth like BP, HR and even with the use of entropy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>(n)</th>
<th>(%)</th>
<th>(n)</th>
<th>(%)</th>
<th>(n)</th>
<th>(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>98.0%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Pearson Chi-Square = 0.271, P value = 0.603 (non-significant)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASA</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>(n)</th>
<th>(%)</th>
<th>(n)</th>
<th>(%)</th>
<th>(n)</th>
<th>(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Awareness</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>98.3%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Pearson Chi-Square = 1.064, P value = 0.588 (non-significant).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASA</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>(n)</th>
<th>(%)</th>
<th>(n)</th>
<th>(%)</th>
<th>(n)</th>
<th>(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dreams</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>97.5%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>98.4%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>97.7%</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Pearson Chi-Square = 0.195, P value = 0.659 (non-significant)

These 300 patients were interviewed as per the protocol in PACU on the day of surgery.

Our study found that among these 300 patients, 2 patients reported remembering something between going to sleep and waking up from anaesthesia, thus 2 cases of awareness were identified. 7 patients reported dreaming and 4 cases of possible awareness were identified.

DISCUSSION
The detection of awareness depends on the interview technique, timing of the interview and structure of the interview. A postoperative visit by the anaesthesiologist without use of a structured interview is unlikely to elicit many cases of awareness. We used the same structured interview that has been used in prior investigations. We interviewed the patients in the PACU.

In our study, 2 cases of awareness (0.7%) and 4 cases of possible awareness (1.3%) were identified. Our study is comparable to the previous study done by Sabel et al (The incidence of awareness during anaesthesia: A multicentre United States study) and study done by Seppo Ranta (Awareness with recall during general anaesthesia). Like these mentioned studies our study also shows that awareness and recall under general anaesthesia can occur despite using a proper anaesthetic technique and intraoperative monitoring including entropy.

The description of the awareness cases identified in this study closely resembles those reported previously. A significant proportion of awareness episodes occur either during endotracheal intubation or at surgical incision i.e. times when the level of patients stimulation is highest. Besides the abovementioned reported observations, many patients complained of auditory perceptions and being unable to move or breathe, anxiety, stress, panic, paralysis, pain and sensations of the endotracheal tube were also reported.

Dreaming during anaesthesia was described by 7 patients (2.3%) and this is consistent with the common occurrence of perioperative dreaming reported in several European studies. Dreaming was more frequently reported in the recovery room than later after surgery. The significance of dreaming and its relationship to awareness during anaesthesia is unclear. These awareness cases were followed retrospectively to study the intraoperative events and vitals, anaesthetic technique and preoperative status, including demographic data. We could not find any significant predictor of possible awareness in these cases of awareness. All the cases were monitored intraoperatively by using entropy from induction to emergence at fixed intervals of time and in these 2 cases of awareness entropy was found consistently above 60 but there was no association between increased entropy readings and the incidence of awareness as many patients had entropy above 60 but did not report awareness in our study.

Awareness is caused by the administration of general anaesthesia that is inadequate to maintain unconsciousness and to prevent recall during surgical stimulation. Common causes include large anaesthetic requirements, equipment misuse or failure and smaller doses of anaesthetic drugs. Because awareness occurred despite the usual clinical monitoring of anaesthetic depth (BP, HR and end tidal anaesthetic monitoring), a monitor of cerebral function and depth of anaesthesia may be of theoretical benefit. The limitation of our study is that it did not assess the long term psychological sequelae of intraoperative awareness and recall among the victims.
There is currently no evidence that awareness and recall could be prevented by monitoring consciousness with sophisticated methods such as BIS or entropy. However, any clinical signs are much more unreliable in this respect and certainly it would be wise to use EEG based monitoring if a patient has history of awareness with recall under general anaesthesia.

If a patient has suffered from awareness and recalls this postoperatively, psychiatric consultation and followup is recommended.
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