A STUDY OF SUPRACONDYLAN PROCESS OF HUMERUS
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ABSTRACT: BACKGROUND: Supracondylar process, in human, is a rare, anomalous, beak-like bony process on the anteromedial surface of the humerus. It represents the embryologic vestigial remnant of climbing animals and seen in many reptiles, most marsupials, cats, lemurs and American monkeys. Aim is to study the supracondylar process of humerus. MATERIALS AND METHODS: 80 adult dry humeri were collected from Anatomy Department, Gauhati Medical College and were examined. RESULTS: Out of 80 humeri, we found one humerus of left side with a bony projection from anteromedial surface of its distal shaft. The bone was then examined, studied, photographed and its dimensions were recorded. CONCLUSION: Knowledge of this variation may be of great importance to anatomists and anthropologists, because of possible link to the origins and relations of the human races.
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INTRODUCTION: Race estimation from skeletal data has always been a central focus in anthropology¹. Also, knowledge of variations in anatomy which is important to anatomists, radiologists, anesthesiologists and surgeons, has gained more importance due to wide use and reliance on computer imaging in diagnostic medicine². Morphological differences are the tools being used to find the missing links between the different stages of evolution. One of such variations is the "supracondyln" processes.

The spur of the humerus or supracondylar process was first reported by Struthers in 1849. It has been referred to as the "supraepitrochlear", "supracondyloid" "epicondyloid" or “a supratrochlear spur” by various authors³. It is a normal anatomical structure in climbing animals⁴. In human, it is a rare, anomalous, beak-like bony process on the anteromedial surface of the humerus. It represents the embryologic vestigial remnant of climbing animals and seen in many reptiles, most marsupials, cats, lemurs and American monkeys⁵. It is usually found 5 - 7 cm above the medial epicondyle. The process projects anteroinferomedially from the distal third of the humerus and presents in 0.7 to 2.7% of the population⁴. A ligament called Struther's ligament extends from the apex of the process to the medial epicondyle³.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: The study was conducted on 80 humeri which were collected from the 1st M.B.B.S students and from the osteology laboratory, Department of Anatomy, Gauhati Medical College. The bones were examined for any osseous projection from distal part
RESULTS: The bony projection was extending obliquely, medially and downward from the anteromedial surface of the distal humeral shaft approximately 4.4 cm above the medial epicondyle. This spine was reported & referred to as supracondylar process.
Out of 80 humeri, 48 were of left sided and rests were of right sided bone.

DISCUSSION: Gupta RK et al. and Oluyemi KA et al. reported presence of supracondylar process in one humerus among 380 and 40 humeri in their study respectively. Measurements calculated in their study are tabulated below-

The incidence of the supracondylar process of the humerus is very low and the percentage of incidence, as given by different authors, varies. Gruber17 found the incidence of supracondylar process as 2.7 %, while Danforth17 found it as 0.5%, Adachi19 as 0.8%, Hrdlicka20 as 1%, Dellon21 as1.15% and Natsis22 as 1.3% in different races.

There is a high incidence of unilateral supracondylar process of the humerus in 'Cornelia de Lange syndrome', an autosomal recessive trait, occurring in approximately one in every 10,000 live births6.

It is usually clinically silent, but may become symptomatic by presenting as a mass or can be associated with symptoms of median nerve compression and claustration of the brachial artery7. The process ends in a roughened point at which a dense fibrous band (ligament of Struthers) continues to the medial epicondyle5. From embryological point of view, the Struthers ligament lies between the tendon of the latissimus dorsi and the coracobrachialis and corresponds to the lower part of the tendon of the vestigial latissimo-condyloideus, a muscle found in climbing mammals which extends from the tendon of insertion of the latissimus dorsi muscle to the medial epicondyle6. Rarely, this fibrous band may ossify forming a supracondylar foramen, a tunnel which transmits the median nerve and the brachial artery and sometimes a variant ulnar artery9 or the ulnar nerve10. In lower mammals, the osseo-fibrous tunnel formed by the humerus, supracondylar process and the Struthers’ ligament serves to protect the nerves and vessels going to the forearm10. In human, the presence of supracondylar process and the Struthers’ ligament is usually asymptomatic, but also it is an important entrapment site for the median nerve and brachial artery. Entrapment of brachial artery and median nerve by this ligament at the level of supracondylar process is known as the supracondylar process syndrome which can be treated by surgical removal of the process and ligament11. The compression symptoms include severe paresthesia and hyperesthesia of the hand and fingers, ischemic pain of the forearm, embolization of the distal arm arteries and disappearance of the radial or ulnar pulse on full extension and supination of the forearm8,10,16. More rarely, ulnar nerve compression can also occur if the fibromuscular band from the process, instead of being attached to the medial epicondyle, extends downward as a band which blends with the fibrous arch between the two heads of the flexor carpi ulnaris13,14,15. The anterior surfaces of the humerus are also covered by the brachialis muscle. The spine is thus likely to be within the substance of the brachialis muscle. This could probably impair the function of the muscle3. Terry (1925) states that supracondylar process gives rise to the pronator teres, and occasionally affords insertion to a persistent lower part of the coracobrachialis12.

A supracondylar process should be differentiated from osteochondroma. The spur is oriented distally, towards the elbow joint and there is no discontinuity in the cortex of the
humerus. An osteochondroma points away from the joint. X-ray films of the supracondylar process show an intact underlying humeral cortex, whereas in an osteochondroma, the cortex of the tumor is continuous with the humeral cortex. Heterotopic bone such as myositis ossificans may also mimic a supracondylar process. The anteroposterior radiographic view is most important since the lateral view may fail to show the spur on the anteromedial surface of the humerus.

Treatment consists of excision of the supracondylar spur and the associated ligament of Struthers. The spur has been reported to recur, and it is therefore recommended that the spur be removed together with the overlying periosteum.

**CONCLUSION:** The supracondylar process is frequently misjudged as a pathological condition of the bone rather than as a normal anatomical variation. Though the supracondylar process is a very rare vestigial structure in humans, yet it is known to have racial variations. Along with the anatomists and anthropologists, the supracondylar process is equally important for clinicians as it may be overlooked and there may be misdiagnosis.
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Table1. Showing various measurements of the supracondylar process (bony spine)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement Of Spine (supracondylar process)</th>
<th>Value(in cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length of spine</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance of spine from medial epicondyle</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance of spine from nutrient foramen</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breadth at the base of spine</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Showing measurements of supracondylar process as reported by Gupta RK and Oluyemi KA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement Of Spine (supracondylar process)</th>
<th>In Gupta RK study</th>
<th>In Oluyemi KA study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length of spine</td>
<td>0.3 cm</td>
<td>1.6 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance of spine from medial epicondyle</td>
<td>6.5 cm</td>
<td>5.5 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breadth at the base of spine</td>
<td>1.1 cm</td>
<td>_</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance of spine from nutrient foramen</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>5.3 cm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 1: Showing left sided humerus with supracondylar process.
Fig 2: showing only the distal part of the humerus with supracondylar process

Fig 3: Showing the measurement of distance of supracondylar process from nutrient foramen with vernier calliper