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ABSTRACT: INTRODUCTION: Bacterial vaginosis is a condition in which the normal lactobacillus (predominant vaginal flora) is replaced with anaerobic bacteria, gardnerella vaginalis and mycoplasma hominis. Our study was designed to find out the effects of bacterial vaginosis on fetomaternal outcome in pregnant women. MATERIAL & METHODS: A prospective study was conducted in MGMCH, Jaipur from September’12 to February ’13. 100 women attending the antenatal clinic were recruited during their antenatal visit after 20 weeks of gestation and observed for presence of bacterial vaginosis and followed till pregnancy outcome. Prevalence of bacterial vaginosis was determined by Nugent and Amsel criteria. Maternal and neonatal morbidity were studied accordingly. RESULT: Prevalence of bacterial vaginosis by Nugent criteria was 19%. There was a significant association between the period of gestation at which the patient delivers and Nugent scoring of her gram stain picture (p=0.01). Relationship between nursery admissions of baby and bacterial vaginosis was found to be highly significant (p=0.1). Out of the 100 babies delivered, 20% had low birth weight, 2% had birth asphyxia & Apgar score < 5, 7% delivered prematurely & 14% babies had to be transferred to neonatal care units for various causes. CONCLUSION: Bacterial vaginosis was found to be significantly associated with adverse pregnancy outcome in the form of increased risk of preterm delivery, low birth weight, birth asphyxia in neonate. It was also concluded that there was a definite role of treatment because it can prevent a considerable number of preterm deliveries. KEYWORDS: Bacterial vaginosis, Preterm birth, Antibiotics, Low birth weight, Pregnancy outcome

BACKGROUND: Bacterial vaginosis is a condition in which the normal lactobacillus (predominant vaginal flora) is replaced with anaerobic bacteria, gardnerella vaginalis and mycoplasma hominis.¹ Current studies have found the prevalence of bacterial vaginosis among non-pregnant women to range from 15 percent to 30 percent; upto 50 percent of pregnant women have been found to have bacterial vaginosis.²³

Previously considered a benign condition, bacterial vaginosis has been related to many complications of pregnancy including chorioamnionitis, preterm delivery, preterm labor, premature rupture of membranes and possibly spontaneous abortion and many gynecological conditions including pelvic inflammatory disease, post hysterectomy vaginal cuff cellulitis and endometritis.

Among the complications of bacterial vaginosis, the most significant and well documented association is that between bacterial colonization of vagina and preterm labor. The present study aims at determining the correlation between vaginal pH alteration, vaginal infections and likelihood of preterm labor and whether treatment causes a significant alteration in the pregnancy outcome in women with a documented high vaginal pH and vaginal infection.
AIMS:
   1. To estimate the prevalence of bacterial vaginosis during pregnancy.
   2. To study the fetal outcome in pregnancy with vaginal infection.

SETTINGS & DESIGN: This study was conducted in the department of Obstetrics & Gynecology and department of Microbiology, Mahatma Gandhi Hospital, Sitapura, Jaipur. Study design – Prospective study.

MATERIAL & METHODS: 200 subjects were selected from the patients attending antenatal clinic from April 2012 – April 2013 with gestational age more than 20 weeks of pregnancy.

Inclusion criteria:
   1. A singleton pregnancy.
   2. Period of gestation 20 wk. onwards as calculated by last menstrual period or first obstetrical ultrasonography if the woman was not sure of her dates

Exclusion criteria:
   1. Women with a history of previous preterm labor, threatened or established preterm labor.
   2. The women with a known obstetrical complication such as antepartum hemorrhage, multiple gestation, chronic documented urinary tract infection, structural and functional anomalies of uterus.
   3. Cases where preterm labor was induced for any medical or obstetrical indication.

A thorough general physical and obstetric examination was performed and all routine investigations sent. An obstetrical USG with information regarding gestational age, placental localization, any gross congenital malformation and general fetal well-being was carried out.

Examination of pH: An unlubricated sterile Sim’s speculum is introduced and posterior vaginal fornix is exposed. The vaginal secretions are collected on Sim’s speculum. A pH indicator strip is dipped in vaginal secretions and color is matched to the color interpretation chart.

Collection of specimen for bacteriological examination:

   Two cotton tipped swabs are to be used to take specimen from posterior vaginal fornix. Simultaneously, character of vaginal discharge is to be noted:
   
   One of the cotton tipped swab is to be rolled onto a glass slide and the smear is air dried. This is used for gram staining.

   The other swab is placed into a sterile vial containing small amount of saline and a suspension of vaginal bacteria and cells made.

   A drop of this solution is put on a glass slide and one drop of 10% KOH is added to it and then sniffed for characteristic fishy odor (whiff test).

Reading and interpretation of results:

   Is done according to the following two criteria:
A. AMSEL ET AL (1983)
   • The diagnosis of bacterial vaginosis is made if three of the following four signs are present.
     1. Characteristic homogenous white, adherent discharge.
     2. Vaginal pH > 4.5.
     3. Release of fishy amine odor from vaginal fluid when mixed with 10% KOH.
     4. Presence of clue cells (usually representing at least 20% of vaginal epithelial cells).

B. NUGENT ET AL (1990)
   • Each gram stained smear is evaluated for the following morphotypes under oil immersion lens.
     1. Large gram positive rods – lactobacillus morphotype.
     2. Small gram negative rods – gardnerella morphotype.

   Each morphotype is quantitated from 0+ to 4+ with regard to the number of morphotypes per oil immersion field.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morphotype</th>
<th>Points assigned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactobacillus</td>
<td>4+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaerobic rods</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4+  = >30 morphotypes/1000 * field
3+  = 6-30 morphotypes/1000 * field
2+  = 1-5 morphotypes/1000 * field
1+  = <1 morphotypes/1000 * field

Score:
0 – 3 - normal
4 – 6 - intermediate
7 – 10 - bacterial vaginosis

All women with pH >4.5 and a documented infection on high vaginal swab received appropriate antibiotics. A repeat vaginal pH and high vaginal swab were taken after completion of antibiotic treatment.

The feto-maternal outcome was studied in terms of:
   • Period of gestation at which the patient delivered.
   • Birth weight and APGAR scores of the baby and admission to neonatal care units.
RESULTS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Character of discharge</th>
<th>Non-bacterial vaginosis</th>
<th>Intermediate</th>
<th>Bacterial vaginosis</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Small amount of white discharge or mucoid (Normal)</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Moderate amount white discharge</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Large copious thin grey adherent discharge</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Character of Vaginal Discharge

The above table depicts the character of vaginal discharge. Out of the 18/200 patients having large copious thin grey adherent discharge, 14 were having Bacterial vaginosis whereas, in 108/200 patients having moderate amount of white discharge, only 16 had Bacterial vaginosis. Large copious thin grey adherent discharge was characteristic of Bacterial vaginosis. P=0.0001 (very highly significant).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH of vaginal discharge</th>
<th>Frequency n = 200</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≤ 4</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 6</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: pH of vaginal Discharge

Above table depicts the pH of vaginal discharge. The pH value suggestive of bacterial vaginosis (> 4.5) was found in 40 out of 200 patients studied.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amine odor test of vaginal discharge</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Amine odor Test of Vaginal Discharge

Out of 34/200 patients i.e. 17% of the patients, had positive whiff test consistent with diagnosis of bacterial vaginosis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clue cells in wet mount</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Clue Cells in Wet Mount Preparation

Out of 34/200 patients i.e 17% of the patients, had clue cells in wet mount preparation consistent with diagnosis of bacterial vaginosis.
Vaginal microflora (NUGENT SCORING) | Frequency | Percentage
--- | --- | ---
Not bacterial vaginosis | 142 | 71%
Intermediate | 20 | 10%
Bacterial Vaginosis | 38 | 19%

Table 5: Vaginal Microflora as evaluated by vaginal Gram Stain Smear (Nugents Scoring)

According to interpretation of vaginal gram stain by Nugent’s criteria, 19% of the pregnant women were diagnosed as Bacterial vaginosis, 10% of women were diagnosed as having intermediate flora and 71% of women had picture consistent with Non bacterial vaginosis.

| 1st visit | Repeat after diagnosis | No. of patients N=38 | Percentage |
--- | --- | --- | ---
Bacterial vaginosis | Non bacterial vaginosis | 24 | 63.15%
Bacterial vaginosis | Intermediate | 8 | 21.05%
Bacterial vaginosis | Bacterial vaginosis | 2 | 5.26%
Bacterial vaginosis | Could not be followed | 4 | 10.52%

Table 6: Repeat swabs of patients after antibiotic treatment with Bacterial vaginosis in 1st screening

Out of the 38/200 patients diagnosed as bacterial vaginosis, 4 patients could not be followed (10.52%). Of the 34 patients who were given antibiotic treatment and were followed by a repeat HVS, 32 (84.1%) patients had some kind of improvement in the Gram stain picture (either changing to normal or to intermediate form) whereas 2 patients continued to show Bacterial vaginosis, i.e 5.26%.

| Outcome | Number | Percentage |
--- | --- | ---
1. Low birth weight < 2500g | 40 | 20%
2. Birth Asphyxia Apgar ≤ 5 | 2 | 1%
3. Prematurity ≤ 37 weeks | 14 | 7%
4. Gross Congenital Anomaly | 0 | 0%
5. Admission to Nursery or Neonatal care unit | 28 | 14%
6. Normal | 116 | 58%

Table 7: Fetal Outcome

Out of the 200 babies delivered, 40 had low birth weight, 2 had birth asphyxia and Apgar scores ≤ 5, 14 delivered prematurely and 28 babies had to be transferred to neonatal care units for various causes. Rest 116 babies were delivered healthy.

DISCUSSION: Infection as a possible cause of preterm labor has caused much interest recently (Minkoff et al)⁴ and most of the evidence relating to preterm labor is based on two observations. First, all types of infections relating to ascending bacterial invasions including chorioamnionitis,
neonatal sepsis and maternal endometritis are more commonly found in preterm births. Second, certain organisms in the vaginal flora are more often found in women with preterm labor or premature rupture of membranes. Govender et al (1996) showed that 46% of the women studied had poor pregnancy outcome as measured by obstetrical complication, pregnancy loss and/or neonatal morbidity.

There was a significant difference in the outcome in women with bacterial vaginosis (55 of 88) compared to those infections other than bacterial vaginosis (13 of 31) or no infection (5 of 9). This difference was for obstetrical complications of preterm delivery, PROM and intrauterine infection but not for pregnancy losses and neonatal morbidity. Neonatal infections occur more often in prematures (Daikoku et al, 1981). The bacteria causing neonatal infections are generally the same as those causing amnionitis and are frequent isolates of the vagina (Naeye et al, 1971).

In our study: 200 women attending the antenatal clinic of Mahatma Gandhi Hospital were recruited during their antenatal visit at 20 weeks onwards and observed for presence of vaginal infections including bacterial vaginosis (particularly associated with preterm labor) and were followed till their pregnancy outcome. Those women, in which documented vaginal infection was present, were given antibiotics (metronidazole 400mg BDx7 days). A repeat high vaginal swab was taken after completion of antibiotic treatment to see the response to treatment. The diagnosis of bacterial vaginosis was made by three different criteria that is the clinical criteria by Amsel (1983) and gram stain scoring system by Nugent (1991). By using Amsel's criteria, 17% of the patients were diagnosed as bacterial vaginosis. By using Nugent's criteria, 142 out of 200 patients (71%) had Gram stain picture consistent with non-bacterial vaginosis i.e. Nugent's score 0-3, 10% patients had Nugent's scores consistent with intermediate and 19% patients were diagnosed as bacterial vaginosis (Nug. Scores 7-10). There was improvement in the gram stain picture of patients initially diagnosed as bacterial vaginosis in 84.21% [i.e. 32 out of 38] patients after treatment with oral metronidazole. Preterm delivery occurred in 14 out of 200 women studied. The rest 93% delivered at term. Out of the 14 patients delivered preterm, 8 had bacterial vaginosis. At the same time, out of the total 38 patients which had bacterial vaginosis by Nugents criteria, 30 patients delivered after 37 weeks. All the patients who had bacterial vaginosis had received oral metronidazole treatment. So it was concluded that though treatment of bacterial vaginosis in pregnancy cannot assure the patient going into preterm labor, it has been shown that there is definite role of treatment because it can prevent a considerable number of preterm deliveries. There has been a significant association between the POG at which the patient delivers and Nugent scoring of her gram stain picture (P=0.015). Two babies had poor Apgar score (≤5) out of which, one belonged to bacterial vaginosis group & the other one belonged to nonbacterial vaginosis group. Total 28 babies had to be transferred to nursery out of which 8 babies were from non-bacterial vaginosis group, 18 were from bacterial vaginosis group & 2 were from intermediate group. The relationship between nursery admission of baby and bacterial vaginosis was found to be very highly significant (p=0.001). Also a very highly significant correlation was found between baby weight and gram stain picture of the women (P=0.0007). 20 out of 38 patients (52.5%) having bacterial vaginosis had birth weight of babies <2.5 kg whereas only 20 out of 142 patients of nonbacterial vaginosis group had birth weight of <2.5 kg showing the effect of bacterial vaginosis on low birth weight of babies.
CONCLUSION: Bacterial Vaginosis was found to be significantly associated with adverse pregnancy outcome in the form of Increased risk of preterm delivery, Low birth weight, Birth asphyxia in neonate of bacterial vaginosis cases. It was also concluded that there was a definite role of treatment because it can prevent a considerable number of preterm deliveries.
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