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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

The term leucorrhoea is restricted to those conditions when normal vaginal secretion is increased in amount without increase of leucocytes. Purulent discharge is due to specific infections such as gonorrhoea, trichomoniasis, moniliasis and ulcerated growths of cervix and vagina. The white discharge may be physiological or pathological. This physiological white discharge occurs at puberty, ovulation, premenstrual period and pregnancy. During puberty and pregnancy, the increase in secretion is due to increase in vascularity and it is of temporary duration, does not need treatment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This descriptive comparative study was done at Government Maternity Hospital, Sultan Bazar, Department of OBG, Osmania Medical College, Hyderabad, Telangana, from January 2014 to December 2015. Women with a complaint of white discharge were selected and their husband's glycaemic status was evaluated by taking detailed history and screening for diabetes by OGTT. Those men who were known diabetics already on treatment and those who were diagnosed in the screening were included in the study group of women with diabetic spouses. Women with white discharge and whose husbands were not diabetic were included in the control group. Each group consisted of 50 patients. Variables like age, socioeconomic status, symptoms, nature of discharge, response to treatment, Pap smear and recurrence rate were compared between the two groups.

RESULTS

Women whose spouses had diabetes had specific vaginitis at a later age and belonged to higher socioeconomic status when compared with control group. In Pap smear also, the degree of inflammation is more in women with diabetic husbands. There is a statistically significant delay in response to treatment and increased recurrence rate in the study group.

CONCLUSION

Diagnosis of diabetes in the spouses of women with white discharge is important to know the nature of symptoms, to administer effective treatment and to prevent recurrence.
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BACKGROUND

The white discharge can cause itching, vaginitis, dysuria, dyspareunia and foul-smelling discharge. The ascent of infection from vagina to upper genital tract may lead to hydrosalpinx, pyosalpinx and tubo-ovarian mass. Pelvic Inflammatory Disease (PID) can cause adhesions leading to infertility and ectopic pregnancies. So early identification and treatment of white discharge can prevent these complications. A detailed history regarding predisposing factors like steroid and antibiotic intake, HIV, diabetes mellitus in the patient is useful for early diagnosis and prevention of complications. Diabetes in males predisposes to candida, gonococcal infection, HIV and HPV. These infections can cause balanoposthitis and they can be transmitted to their spouses. These infections in females can cause metaplasia of cervical epithelium. Persistence of metaplasia can cause CIN (cervical intraepithelial neoplasia). Screening of women whose husbands are diabetics with Pap smear is helpful in early identification of CIN.

So in the present study, we studied the association of severity of white discharge and abnormal Pap smears with spousal diabetes by comparing women whose husbands are with and without diabetes mellitus.
MATERIALS AND METHODS
This descriptive comparative study was done at Government Maternity Hospital, Sultan Bazar, Department of OBG, Osmania Medical College, Hyderabad, Telangana, from January 2014 to December 2015. After informed consent, a consecutive sample of fifty women with complaints of vulvovaginal complaints, whose husbands are suffering from Diabetes Mellitus are selected after confirmation of diabetes with Oral Glucose Tolerance Test (OGTT) and compared with fifty women with similar complaints, but whose husbands are not diabetic. The spouses of women with white discharge are evaluated with OGTT. Those with two values being abnormal were considered as diabetic and included in the Diabetic spouses group and those with normal OGTT values were considered as non-diabetic and included in the control group. All women are subjected to thorough history taking, general examination, vital data, per speculum and bimanual examination. Baseline tests were done- Hb%, Complete Blood Picture (CBP), Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate (ESR), Random Blood Sugar (RBS), Thyroxine Stimulating Hormone (TSH), Complete Urine Examination (CUE) and Urine Culture/ Sensitivity (C/S). Papancicoulo (Pap) smear was taken from all of them. Ultrasound scanning for pelvic organs was done for all of them. Treatment was by syndromic approach and consisted of oral Metronidazole, fluoroquinolones, aminoglycosides and supportive treatment. Wherever Urine C/S was positive, appropriate and suitable antibiotic was selected. Monitoring of treatment was done meticulously with strict one-week and four-week followup in the clinic or hospital with physical examination. Later both the groups were followed up for 2 years telephonically or advised physical exam if having any complaints. Women with any recurrence of symptoms in both groups were advised to report immediately. A second antibiotic was added wherever necessary during followup, either for persistence of symptoms or based on C/S reports. Spouse evaluation and status of diabetes mellitus was noted. Thorough couple counselling was done. The variables studied in both groups were age at first visit, nature of complaints, socioeconomic groups, investigations done, time taken for relief of symptoms and recurrence of symptoms during followup.

Exclusion Criteria
All pregnant women, unmarried women, women suffering with Diabetes Mellitus.

Inclusion Criteria
All married, non-pregnant women with relevant complaints after excluding the above criteria.

Statistical Analysis
Proportions were used to describe the categorical variables and to compare them between the study and control groups. Chi-square test was used to test the hypothesis where there was difference in proportions between the two groups. Yates’ continuity correction was used where necessary. The data was entered in Microsoft Excel and was analysed using R version 3.2.3.

RESULTS
More than 2/3rd women with diabetic spouses are in the age group of 26 to 35 years when compared to women in control group in whom the majority age group is 21 to 30 years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Nature of Complaints</th>
<th>Women with Diabetic Spouses Group n (%)</th>
<th>Women in Control Group n (%)</th>
<th>P Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Pelvic Pain</td>
<td>18 (36)</td>
<td>17 (34)</td>
<td>p &gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Copious White Discharge Staining Clothes</td>
<td>13 (26)</td>
<td>6 (12)</td>
<td>p &gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Offensive Odour</td>
<td>16 (32)</td>
<td>11 (22)</td>
<td>p &gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Pruritus</td>
<td>31 (62)</td>
<td>10 (20)</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Micturition Complaints</td>
<td>19 (38)</td>
<td>13 (26)</td>
<td>p &gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Type of White Discharge Curdy/ Purulent</td>
<td>20 (40)</td>
<td>12 (24)</td>
<td>p &gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Chi-Square Test

Table 2. Distribution of Women according to Vulvovaginitis Complaints

More women (6.2%) in spousal diabetes mellitus group belonged to high income group compared to 20% in control group. More women (38%) in control group belonged to low socioeconomic groups compared to 8% in spousal diabetes mellitus group. This difference is statistically highly significant. Lack of health awareness; poor personal, menstrual and perineal hygiene may be one cause. Malnutrition and anaemia may also contribute to disease and debility due to low immunity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Socioeconomic Groups</th>
<th>Women with Diabetic Spouses Group n (%)</th>
<th>Women in Control Group n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Low Socioeconomic Groups</td>
<td>4 (8)</td>
<td>19 (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Middle Income Group</td>
<td>15 (30)</td>
<td>21 (42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>High Income Group</td>
<td>31 (62)</td>
<td>10 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>50 (100)</td>
<td>50 (100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chi-square = 21.54; df= 2; p < 0.001

Table 3. Distribution of Women according to their Socioeconomic Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Pap Smear Report (Bethesda System)</th>
<th>Women with Diabetic Spouses Group n (%)</th>
<th>Women in Control Group n (%)</th>
<th>P value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mild Inflammatory</td>
<td>11 (22)</td>
<td>12 (24)</td>
<td>p &gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Moderate-to-Severely Inflammatory</td>
<td>13 (26)</td>
<td>4 (8)</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Mild Dysplasia</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>p &gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Severe Dysplasia/ Malignant Cells</td>
<td>Nil (0)</td>
<td>Nil (0)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Vulvovaginitis in women has multiple causes. Spousal diabetes is found to be another associated factor, which may be aetiological cause as seen in this study, though the sample size may be small.

Women patients with diabetes mellitus are at increased risk of vulvovaginal candidiasis. Incidence of vaginal infections are more in diabetic women. In the present study, women with diabetic spouse have increased frequency of vulvovaginal infections with prolonged durations and persistence of the disease. Symptomatic relief takes a longer time compared to their counterparts with non-diabetic husbands.

Recurrence rate is 64% in women with diabetic husbands and they have multiple symptoms and complaints compared to the control group.

Pap smear showed more frequent moderate-to-severe inflammatory response in the study group, though there was no malignancy or pre-malignancy in both groups.

To Conclude
Diabetes mellitus in men predisposes their partners to more severe vulvovaginal infections with multiple symptoms, high recurrence rate and prolonged suffering. Spousal Diabetes, therefore can be taken as important aetiological factor for vulvovaginal infections in their partners.

CONCLUSION
Women with spouses suffering from diabetes mellitus have more incidence of moderate-to-severe vulvovaginal infections. Their symptoms are more severe and recurrence rate is higher in comparison to women whose spouses are not diabetic. No cases of cervical malignancy are seen, but cervical smears showed higher incidence of moderate-to-severe inflammatory smears.
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