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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

Abdominal obesity may influence the lung function through a mechanism that restricts descent of diaphragm and limits lung expansion compared to overall adiposity, which may compress the chest wall. Multiple measures of adiposity showed significant inverse relationship with both spirometry and static lung volumes. However, only a few studies have considered the associations between body fat distribution, body composition and lung function in young adults.

The aim of the study was to find out whether there was any correlation between the Body Mass Index, Body Fat Percentage and FVC, FEV₁ and FEF₂⁵₋₇⁵ in underweight, normal weight and overweight adolescents.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

158 students consisting of 90 boys and 68 girls in the age group of 18 to 19 years were included in the study. They were classified into underweight, normal weight and overweight groups according to WHO guidelines. The body fat percentage was measured by using Harpenden skinfold caliper and FVC, FEV₁ and FEF₂⁵₋₇⁵ were assessed by using RMS Helios 702 electronic spirometer.

RESULTS

The FEF₂⁵₋₇⁵ values were low in the overweight than in the normal weight subjects. FVC, FEV₁ and FEF₂⁵₋₇⁵ had a negative correlation with BMI and the body fat percentage in males. The underweight males had a positive significant correlation between BMI, body fat percentage and FVC, FEV₁ and FEF₂⁵₋₇⁵. In females, only FEF₂⁵₋₇⁵ had a significant correlation with BMI and the body fat percentage.

CONCLUSION

There was a significant difference in the FVC, FEV₁ and the FEF₂⁵₋₇⁵ values between the underweight, normal weight and the overweight subjects. Body fat percentage had a stronger correlation than BMI.
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BACKGROUND

There is an increased prevalence of obesity around the world.¹ Indo-Asian countries are now facing the unique challenge of a rapid rise in childhood obesity despite a constant burden of undernutrition.² Obesity has been associated with many health hazards because of its strong connection with several metabolic complications like dyslipidaemia, diabetes mellitus, hypertension and cardiovascular accidents. Obesity has a clear potential to have a direct effect on respiratory well-being¹ as well. Several previous studies have examined the relations between obesity and lung function and they used Body Mass Index (BMI) as a measure of overall adiposity.³,⁴ However, BMI does not give indication of fat distribution and body fat composition.⁵,⁶ Abdominal obesity, also known as central obesity, occurs when excessive abdominal fat around the stomach and abdomen has built up that leads to a mass load of adipose tissue around the rib cage and abdomen and in the visceral cavity.³ It may influence the lung function through a mechanism that restricts descent of diaphragm and limit lung expansion compared to overall adiposity which may compress the chest wall.

There are many abdominal adiposity markers like Waist-Hip Ratio (WHR), Waist Circumference (WC), Waist-to-Height Ratio (WHR). Among them WHtR has the greatest accuracy in prediction of central adiposity.⁷ Multiple measures of adiposity showed a significant inverse relationship with both spirometry and static lung volumes.⁹ However, only a few studies have considered the associations between body fat distribution, body composition and lung function in young adults.

The aim of the present study was to analyse the relation between BMI, body fat distribution (WC, WHtR) and body composition (percentage of body fat) and the lung function (FVC, FEV₁ and FEF₂⁵₋₇⁵) in young adults in our population.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This cross-sectional study was performed on 158 healthy, otherwise asymptomatic young individuals (90 boys and 68 girls) among age group of 18 - 19 years selected from medical students. Individuals doing regular exercise, having
respiratory infections or any other respiratory diseases, smokers, hypertensive or having any musculoskeletal deformities of chest/ vertebral column were excluded from the study.

The subjects to be enrolled for the study were informed about the study and procedure details and an informed consent was obtained. The subjects were all healthy, asymptomatic. Students between 18 - 19 years with a BMI <30 and with no h/o smoking and alcoholism were included.

All participants provided information on age, family history, personal habits (alcohol intake, tobacco consumption, type and level of physical exercise, drug ingestion, known pathological conditions). A detailed physical examination was conducted to exclude cardiac or pulmonary diseases. The study was approved by Institutional Ethical Committee.

All the records i.e. anthropometric measurements, skin fold measurements and recording of pulmonary function tests were conducted in one sitting on the same day. Anthropometric variables like height and weight were obtained. Height was measured to the nearest of 0.1 cm and weight was measured to the nearest of 0.1 kg with minimum of clothes and no shoes. Body mass index was calculated by Quetelet’s Index. The waist circumference (cm) was measured at a point midway between the lower rib and iliac crest in a horizontal plane. The hip circumference (cm) was measured at the widest girth of the hip. The measurements were recorded to the nearest 0.1 cm. Skin fold thickness was measured at four standard anatomical sites with the help by measuring skin fold thickness at four sites (4 SFT-biceps, triceps, subscapular and suprailiac) with the help of Harpenden’s caliper. To calculate percentage of body fat linear regression equations of Durnin and Womersley was used. Lung function was assessed by using RMS Helios 702 electronic spirometer. The essential parameters obtained were FVC, FEV1 and FEF25-75. The forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) and the forced expiratory flow between 25% and 75% of vital capacity (FEF25-75) that reflects small airway patency are objective measures of airway obstruction. These tests were recorded at noon before lunch, as expiratory flow rates are highest at noon. For each volunteer three satisfactory efforts were recorded according to the norms given by American Thoracic Society.

158 subjects (90 boys and 68 girls) were classified into normal weight and overweight groups according to WHO guidelines. Unpaired t-test was performed to see the differences in lung function test parameters among these two groups, both in boys and girls. Statistical significance was indicated by ‘P’ value < 0.05.

Correlation of ventilatory lung function tests with BMI, body fat percentage, WC and WtHR were noted by using Pearson’s correlation coefficient test. The non-zero values of ‘r’ between -1 to 0 indicate negative correlation.

RESULTS

Table 1 shows comparison of Mean ± SD values of anthropometric parameters of control and obese group.

Table 2 shows there is significant differences in FVC, FEV1 and FEF25-75 values in obese and control groups both for boys and girls.

Table 4 shows significant negative correlation of BMI with FEF25-75 in boys. Although, FVC and FEV1 are also negatively correlated with BMI that correlation is not significant. Body fat percent in boys has significant exponential relationship with FVC and FEF25-75. The measure of central obesity, i.e. WC and WtHR both have significant negative correlation with all three lung function parameters FVC, FEV1 and FEF25-75 in case of boys.

Table 5 shows in case of girls BMI, BF%, WC and WtHR all have significant negative relationship with FVC, FEV1 and FEF25-75.
DISCUSSION

The present population based cross-sectional study showed significant differences in FVC, FEV₁ and FEF₂₅-₇₅ values in overweight, obese and control groups both for boys and girls. A significant inverse association of BMI - FEF₂₅-₇₅, BF% - FVC and FEF₂₅-₇₅, WHR and waist circumference with all pulmonary function was found in boys. In case of girls BMI, BF%, WC and WHR all have significant negative relationship with FVC, FEV₁ and FEF₂₅-₇₅. The abdominal adiposity markers (WHR and waist circumference) have strong negative association with FEV₁, FVC and FEF₂₅-₇₅ and have better explanatory power than total body adiposity measures such as BMI according to the p-value significance and the coefficient values.

The results are consistent with finding of Scottish cross-sectional survey of men and women aged 25 - 64 yrs., by Chen et al where WC was inversely associated with FVC and FEV₁ in both men and women.

In contrast to our finding, Lazarus et al found no inverse associations of waist circumference and waist/hip ratio with FVC in women. Canoy et al showed WC had an inverse association that remained significant after adjustment for BMI only in females. Harik-Khan et al investigated the association of fat distribution and pulmonary function using waist/hip ratio and reported an inverse association of FEV₁ and waist/hip ratio in men only. In our study, all adiposity markers have significant negative associations with pulmonary functions in females, whereas in males the measure of central obesity i.e. WC and WHR both have significant negative correlation with all lung function parameters FVC, FEV₁ and FEF₂₅-₇₅ in case of boys. Although, FVC and FEV₁ are also negatively correlated with BMI in boys that correlation is not significant.

Koziel et al in their study on 40 - 50 years of volunteers found no association of WHR (Waist-Hip Ratio) with FVC and FEV₁ in females; however, in males FVC was negatively associated with WHR and positively with BMI and FEV₁ was positively associated with BMI and WHR. Sorani et al demonstrated no significant effect of BMI on FEV₁/FVC ratio and FEF₂₅-₇₅. They suggested that major effect of obesity is on lung volumes with no direct effect on airway obstruction.

But in our study FVC, FEV₁, FEF₂₅-₇₅ all are negatively associated with adiposity markers in females. This intriguing sex difference in our finding can be explained by the difference in the proportion of fat and lean mass and the difference in the fat mass distribution between men and women. Although, the total body adiposity measures like BMI may have different effect on pulmonary functions in different gender or age group of people, the central adiposity markers show consistent exponential relationship with lung volumes and airway obstruction. In our study also central or abdominal adiposity markers (WHR

### Table 3. FVC, FEV₁ and FEF₂₅-₇₅ values in Overweight, Obese and Control Groups in Girls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI Category</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Levene's Test for Equality of Variances</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FVC (L)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal BMI</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2.4618±0.275</td>
<td>.142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over Weight-Obese</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2.104±0.306</td>
<td>.162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁ (L)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal BMI</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2.1634±0.297</td>
<td>.142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over Weight-Obese</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.9212±0.295</td>
<td>.162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEF₂₅-₇₅ (L/S)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal BMI</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2.8048±0.568</td>
<td>.142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over Weight-Obese</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2.4246±0.493</td>
<td>.162</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4. Correlation between BMI, Body Fat %, WC, WHR with FVC, FEV₁, FEF₂₅-₇₅ in Boys

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>FVC (L)</th>
<th>FEV₁ (L)</th>
<th>FEF₂₅-₇₅ (L/S)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>.236*</td>
<td>.223*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-Tailed)</td>
<td>.025</td>
<td>.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Fat Percentage</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>.217*</td>
<td>.198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-Tailed)</td>
<td>.040</td>
<td>.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC cm</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>.289*</td>
<td>.287*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-Tailed)</td>
<td>.040</td>
<td>.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHR</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>.376**</td>
<td>.368**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-Tailed)</td>
<td>.007</td>
<td>.008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5. Correlation between BMI, Body Fat %, WC, WHR with FVC, FEV₁, FEF₂₅-₇₅ in Girls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>FVC (L)</th>
<th>FEV₁ (L)</th>
<th>FEF₂₅-₇₅ (L/S)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>.348**</td>
<td>.373**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-Tailed)</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Fat Percentage</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>.354**</td>
<td>.380**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-Tailed)</td>
<td>.003</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC cm</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>.378*</td>
<td>.353*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-Tailed)</td>
<td>.019</td>
<td>.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHR</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>.400*</td>
<td>.336*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-Tailed)</td>
<td>.013</td>
<td>.039</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and waist circumference) showed significant negative association with pulmonary function in both the sexes.

The major strength of our study lies as we used WTHR (Waist-to-Height ratio) as the central adiposity marker, as recent reports\(^1\) suggest WTHR is the better predictor for abdominal fat distribution. WTHR shows strong negative association with FEV\(_1\), FVC and FEF\(_{25-75}\) according to the p-value significance and the coefficient values in our study.

The possible mechanism for the association of abdominal adiposity and pulmonary function is a mechanical limitation of chest expansion during the FVC manoeuvre. Increased abdominal mass may impede the descent of the diaphragm and increase thoracic pressure.\(^2\) Abdominal adiposity is likely to reduce expiratory reserve volume via compressing the lungs and diaphragm\(^23,24\) that will result in lower FVC measurements.

The reason of reduced FEV\(_1\), FEF\(_{25-75}\) or airway obstruction in central obesity may be due to the fact that visceral adipose tissue influences circulating concentrations of interleukin\(^25\) and cytokines that may act via systemic inflammation to negatively affect pulmonary function.\(^26,27\) Other investigators have reported an inverse association of serum leptin concentration with FEV\(_1\) as well as with higher levels of C-reactive protein, leukocytes and fibrinogens, which are other markers of systemic inflammation.\(^28\)

In our study, no participant was a diagnosed asthmatic. FEF\(_{25-75}\) is an indicator of the mid expiratory flow rate and so it was taken as a marker for obstructive lung diseases like asthma and COPD. We found a significant difference across the BMI ranges with the lowest mean values in overweight males and females thus suggesting that they were prone to develop obstructive lung disease. This is in agreement with the study done by El Helaly et al. They further recommended that weight reduction would lead to a better asthma control.

The limitation of the present study was in its design. This was a cross-sectional study, which was carried out in a small group in a single institute. A longitudinal multicentric study in a larger population is needed.

CONCLUSION

The present study showed significant differences in FVC, FEV\(_1\) and FEF\(_{25-75}\) values in overweight, obese and control groups both for boys and girls. The measure of central obesity, i.e. WC and WTHR both have significant negative correlation with all three lung function parameters FVC, FEV\(_1\) and FEF\(_{25-75}\) in boys and girls. Our findings suggest that there is significant impairment of the pulmonary functions in the overweight young adult populations and that the possibility of small airway disease is higher in the overweight group.
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