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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

Inguinal hernia repair, a commonly performed surgery in geriatric population is conducted under either general or regional anaesthesia. Post-operative pain in addition to poor cardiovascular and respiratory reserve in geriatric patients increases morbidity and length of hospital stay. Intravenous analgesics for postoperative pain produces various side effects. The unilateral spinal anaesthesia, which restricted the undesired sympathetic block is compared with ultrasound-guided (USG) transversus abdominis plane (TAP) block.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Forty adult male geriatric patients of more than 60 years of age of ASA Grade I and II were divided into two groups of 20 each. They were given either USG TAP block (Group T) or unilateral spinal anaesthesia (Group S) for inguinal hernia repair surgery. Time to perform block, peak of block, quality of motor block, rescue analgesia for postoperative pain and side effects were noted. Statistical analysis was done by paired and unpaired “t” test using SPSS version 16.0.

RESULTS

The time needed to perform block and time to reach maximum level of sensory block was significantly greater in Group T. The time taken for first rescue analgesia was significantly greater in Group T. The quality of block was better in Group S. No side effects were observed in Group T, while one patient had bradycardia and two patients had hypotension in Group S.

CONCLUSION

Unilateral spinal block provides better intra-operative block, while TAP block provides better postoperative analgesia with less haemodynamic disturbances and other side effects and may be used as sole anaesthetic technique in geriatric patients.
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BACKGROUND

Inguinal hernia repair surgery is one of the commonest day care performed surgery in male geriatric patients with the incidence rising from 11 per 10,000 person-years aged 16-24 years to 200 per 10,000 person-years aged 75 years or above.(1) These procedures can be done under General Anaesthesia (GA), neuraxial anaesthesia [spinal or epidural] or peripheral nerve blocks and TAP block. Geriatric patients have poor cardiovascular and respiratory reserves, hence general anaesthesia may not be a good option.

Neuraxial anaesthesia in geriatric patients causes hypotension and other haemodynamic changes. These autonomic nervous system response is diminished with aging and sympathetic block with epidural anaesthesia cannot be controlled. Hypotension is the most frequent side effect of spinal anaesthesia occurring in more than 30% of patients.(2,3) In conventional spinal anaesthesia, it is not possible to limit the accompanied sympathetic block that normally exceeds the sensory block by 2 - 6 segments.(3,4) Ward et al.(5) reported a decrease in mean arterial blood pressure of 21.3% of the baseline following spinal anaesthesia. The unilateral spinal anaesthesia has been claimed by many as an alternative technique to restrict the undesired sympathetic block(6,7) and is useful in geriatric patients.

The Transversus Abdominis Plane Block (TAPB) is a relatively new regional anaesthesia technique that provides analgesia to the parietal peritoneum as well as the skin and muscles of the anterior abdominal wall. (7) It has a high margin of safety and is technically simple to perform, especially under ultrasound guidance. TAPB can preserve bladder and lower
limb motor function, thereby assisting early mobilisation after surgery. First described just a decade ago, it has undergone several modifications which have highlighted its potential utility for an increasing array of surgical procedures. Despite a relatively low risk of complications and a high success rate using modern techniques, TAP blocks remain underutilised.

There is no study comparing the potential of TAP block with unilateral spinal anaesthesia. Hence, this study was undertaken to compare the safety and efficacy of TAP block and unilateral spinal anaesthesia for inguinal hernia repair surgery in geriatric patients.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

After the study protocol was approved by Institutional Ethics Committee, the retrospective observational comparative study was conducted in the Department of Anaesthesiology, BRD Medical College, Gorakhpur, U.P., India. Our study had 40 adult male patients of more than 60 years of age and of American Society of Anaesthesiologists (ASA) Grade I and II who had undergone unilateral fully reducible indirect inguinal hernia repair surgery with mesh repair. The patients included in the study were divided into two groups: patients who received Ultrasound-guided (USG) Transversus Abdominis Plane Block (TAPB) (Group T) (n = 20) and patients who received a Unilateral Spinal Anaesthesia (USA) (Group S) (n = 20).

Group - T patients received USG-guided TAPB with 25 mL of 0.5% Isobaric Bupivacaine on the side of hernia repair and Group - S patients received USA with 10 mg (2 mL) of 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine. The same anaesthesiologist performed all procedures in both groups. The demographic data concerning the patient’s (age, height, weight), ASA grading were noted. Heart rate, non-invasive systolic, diastolic, mean blood pressure were recorded at 5 minutes intervals initially for 20 minutes, 30 minutes, 45 minutes, 60 minutes and post-surgery. The time needed to perform the block, time needed for maximum level of sensory block, maximum motor block, duration of surgery were also recorded. The Visual Analogue Scale (VAS), which was postoperatively requested from the patients and recorded 4 hourly intervals up to first 24 hours. The VAS scale is a numerical scale in which having no pain is coded as 0 and having the most extreme unbearable pain is coded as 10. The time taken for first rescue analgesia postoperatively and total analgesic consumption in first 24 hours, quality of block and incidence of side effects (eg: bradycardia, hypotension, nausea, vomiting, headache, bowel perforation, bladder catheterisation, etc.) were noted. Hypotension (defined as decrease in systolic blood pressure greater than 20% from baseline) was treated with mephentermine 6 mg IV bolus and was repeated if required. Bradycardia (Heart rate less than 60 beats per minute) was treated with 0.3 – 0.6 mg of atropine IV bolus.

All patients were premedicated with intravenous midazolam 1 mg and fentanyl 50 μg in the operating room before commencing with the procedure. In Group - T, patients were placed in supine position on OT table. Under all aseptic precautions, the ultrasound-guided (SonoSite, Micromaxx) TAPB was given using the following technique: A linear ultrasound probe (Micromaxx L 38e/10-5 MHz) was placed transversely on the abdomen between costal margin and iliac crest in the midaxillary line on the side to be blocked. The probe was then slid anteriorly or posteriorly and tilted as necessary in a cephalocaudal direction until a clear optimised image of the three lateral abdominal muscles (namely external oblique, internal oblique and transversus abdominis from outside inwards) and the transversus abdominis plane were visualised. An 18-G Tuohy needle was introduced from an anteromedial position to a posterior and lateral direction using in-plane technique with entry point in the skin being 2 cm away from the probe in order to improve needle visibility in the long axis after skin infiltration with 1 mL xylocaine 1%. A small test dose was used to confirm the transversus abdominis plane by observing the separation of fascia between internal oblique and transversus abdominis muscle. After confirming the transversus abdominis plane, a total of 25 mL of 0.5% Isobaric Bupivacaine was injected in real time. The block was assessed by pin prick on the side of surgery every 5 minutes till 30 minutes. A successful block meant a sensory block of unilateral T10 to L1 dermatomes by 30 minutes, after which it was considered as a failure and patient was given GA. In Group S the unilateral spinal block was applied as follows: First, the extremity to be operated on was placed in the lateral decubitus position. After taking aseptic precautions, the subarachnoid space was entered in midline with a 25-gauge Quincke’s needle from the L3 - L4 intervertebral space. After dural puncture, bevel of the needle was turned towards the dependent side and 2 mL (10 mg) of 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine was injected. Lateral position was maintained for 10 minutes and then patients were turned to supine position. The pin prick method was used to evaluate sensory block. Time of onset and time taken to achieve highest dermatomal level of sensory block was recorded. Motor blockade was assessed by using modified Bromage scale at the end of surgery. Patients with inadequate block in Group S were also converted to GA.

The Quality of Block was Assessed according to the following Scale

**Numeric Scale for Quality of Block.**

- **Grade IV** - (Excellent) No complaint from patient.
- **Grade III** - (Good) Minor complaint with no need for the supplemental analgesics.
- **Grade II** - (Moderate) Complaint that required supplemental analgesia.
- **Grade I** - (Unsuccessful) Patient given general anaesthesia. Motor blockade was assessed by using modified Bromage scale.

**Grade 0** - No block.
- **Grade 1** - Inability to raise the extended legs.
- **Grade 2** - Inability to flex knee.
- **Grade 3** - Inability to flex ankle and foot.

Intermittent bolus of 25 - 50 mcg of fentanyl was given intravenously to patients who needed supplemental analgesics. Tramadol 50 mg intravenous was used as a rescue analgesic in patients who had VAS score ≥ 4 postoperatively. Both groups were analysed by student’s ‘t’ test. For intragroup comparison, paired ‘t’ test was used and for intergroup comparison unpaired ‘t’ test was used. Taking confidence interval (α = 0.05) and power of test (1-β) as 80%, we used a sample size of total 40 patients (20 in each group). For all statistical analysis, the value of p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant and value of p < 0.001 was considered highly significant. All statistical tests were done using SPSS.
RESULTS
The baseline demographic parameters were statistically comparable in both groups (Table 1). The intraoperative haemodynamic parameters were comparable regarding Heart Rate (HR), but SBP, DBP and MBP were significantly reduced in Group S (Figure 1). Two patients had hypotension and one patient had Bradycardia in Group S, while no complications were seen in Group T. The time needed to perform block and time needed for maximum level of sensory block were significantly more in Group T. There was significantly lower VAS scores in Group T (Figure 2) and the duration of postoperative analgesia was significantly higher in Group T (Table 2). The total dose of rescue analgesic required in Group T was significantly less (Table 2). The total fentanyl consumption was higher in Group T. A significantly higher number of patients in Group T had lower Bromage scores (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Group T (N = 20)</th>
<th>Group S (N = 20)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time Needed to Perform Block (mins.)</td>
<td>23.75 ± 3.58</td>
<td>8.80 ± 2.60</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time Needed for Maximum Level of Sensory Block</td>
<td>28.0 ± 1.29</td>
<td>6.68 ± 0.74</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified Bromage Score (3/2/1/0)</td>
<td>0/0/0/30</td>
<td>6/9/5/0</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time Taken for First Analgesic Requirement Postoperatively</td>
<td>941.0 ±235.68 (13 patients did not require rescue analgesia)</td>
<td>240.75 ±5.44</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Rescue Analgesic Requirement (Tramadol in mg)</td>
<td>17.5 ± 24.5</td>
<td>110 ± 20.5</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Fentanyl used in mcg</td>
<td>76.25 ±23.61</td>
<td>50.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of Block (4/3/2/1)</td>
<td>0/6/14/0</td>
<td>19/1/0/0</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Distribution of Patients According to their Demographic Data and Other Baseline Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Side Effect/Complications</th>
<th>Group T</th>
<th>Group S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bradycardia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypotension</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Nausea/Vomiting)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA Toxicity</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver Perforation/</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowel Haematoma,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraperitoneal Injection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary Catheterisation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Comparison of Side Effect/Complications in Group T and Group S

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Group T (%)</th>
<th>Group S (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>19 (95.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6 (30.0%)</td>
<td>1 (5.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>14 (70.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Quality of Block in Both Groups

Grade 4 - Excellent Block.
Grade 3 - Good Block.
Grade 2 - Moderate Block.
Grade 1 - Failed Block, General Anaesthesia Given.
hypertensive patients undergoing surgery for varicose veins and found the mean time for peak onset of sensory block was 5.4 ± 0.8 mins. in their unilateral group as compared to 5.1 ± 0.8 mins in bilateral group. In another study conducted by Manisha Sepate et al (2014) on evaluation of bupivacaine-clonidine combination for unilateral spinal anesthesia in lower limb below-knee orthopaedic surgery, the mean time for peak onset of sensory block was 4.7 ± 1.23 mins. in bupivacaine-clonidine combination group as compared to 6.27 ± 1.51 mins. in group containing Bupivacaine alone. In Group S of our study, the time to reach the highest level of sensory block was 6.68 ± 0.74 mins., which was comparable to the above two studies.

There was no motor blockade in Group T, whereas mean modified Bromage scale grade was 2.05 ± 0.75 in Group S (Table 2), which was statistically significant (p < 0.001). Zorica Jankovic et al (2009) in their study “transversus abdominis plane block in (lower) abdominal surgery” found that there are no motor deficiency in TAP block. In the study of Nesek Adam et al (2011) found the mean modified Bromage scale was 2.5 ± 0.6 mins. in unilateral and 2.4 ± 0.6 mins. in bilateral group at 15 minutes of block, which is consistent with our study.

In Group T, the heart rate were higher compared to their pre-procedure values at all time intervals measured (Figure 1). This rise in heart rate may be attributed to many factors like anxiety or inability to achieve excellent grade of block. In Group S, the heart rate was lower compared to their pre-procedure value at all time interval measured. Heart rate then returned to pre-procedure values after 20 minutes.

In Group T there was no significant changes in the systolic, diastolic and mean blood pressures compared to their pre-procedure values. In Group S, there was a statistically significant fall in the systolic, diastolic and mean blood pressures after giving unilateral spinal block. Hypotension was noticed in 10% patients (2 out of 20 patients), that was treated with mephentermine IV bolus. Blood pressures returned to their pre-procedure values after 15 minutes. In the study of Sulagna Bhattacharjee et al (2014), systolic and diastolic BP were significantly higher in Group N (TAP block with normal saline followed by general anaesthesia) in comparison to Group B (TAP block with 0.25% Bupivacaine followed by general anaesthesia). K. O. Connor et al (2010) reported that there is no haemodynamic sequelae of neuraxial sympathectomy in TAP block as in neuraxial block. The fall in SBP and DBP after unilateral spinal was similar to study by Casati et al (1999) in the unilateral spinal anaesthesia group using hyperbaric 0.5% bupivacaine. They noticed hypotension in 10% patients that were treated with 100 mcg of phenylephrine. Nesek Adam et al (2011) had also noticed slight decrease in blood pressure in their unilateral group. They also noticed hypotension in 10% patients.

The duration of analgesia (the time taken for first rescue analgesic) (Table 2) was more in Group T (941 ± 235.68 mins.) as compared to Group S (240.75 ± 54.4 mins); 13 patients in Group T did not require any rescue analgesia in first 24 hours (highly significant, p value < 0.001). The mean VAS immediately after surgery was more in Group T (2.8 ± 0.05) in comparison to Group S (0.2 ± 0.32) (Highly significant, p < 0.001). The mean VAS afterwards was more in Group S in comparison to Group T. The finding of prolonged postoperative analgesia after USG TAPB is similar to studies by
other authors, Iyad Abbas Salman et al (2012) have observed in their comparison between TAP block and parenteral analgesia post-caesarean section that traditional treatment had better pain control in 1-2 hours, whereas TAP block was better thereafter. Similarly, in the study of Isil Davarci et al the VAS score was < 1 up to 90 minutes and increased gradually to 1, 3, 3 at 2, 4 and 6 hours respectively and then decreased to 1.5 at 24 hours in their USA group. In the study of Manisha Sepate et al (2014), the mean time for requirement of rescue analgesia was 220 ± 36.36 mins. in their control group (unilateral spinal anaesthesia with Bupivacaine alone).

The quality of block (Table 4) was better in Group S in comparison to Group T. As TAP block have no effect on visceral pain, hence quality of block were poorer in TAP group. No patients (0.0%) in Grade 4 (excellent) block, 6 patients (30.0%) in Grade 3 (Good) block, 14 patients (70.0%) in Grade 2 (Moderate) block and no patients (0%) in Grade 1.

Comparing the side effects and complications in both groups, there were no side effects or complications in Group T. Karim Mukhtar et al (2009) stated that TAP block have high margin of safety, especially under ultrasound guidance. There have been no reported complication to date with the ultrasound-guided technique. In Group S, 1 patient (5.0%) presented with bradycardia and 2 patients (10.0%) presented with hypotension. Limiting the spread of the spinal block by giving unilateral spinal greatly reduced the haemodynamic impact, which is due to compensation by a reflex vasocstriction in the non-blocked areas. Clinical trials comparing unilateral spinal anaesthesia with conventional bilateral spinal block have demonstrated that cardiac index values are much more stable during USA with a smaller reduction in arterial blood pressure and heart rate and a much lower incidence of clinically relevant hypotension (5% Vs 20%) (Casati et al 1999). TAP block thus provides better perioperative haemodynamic safety profile.

Regarding limitations of our study, one was the small sample size and hence future studies need to be undertaken with a large size population. Another limiting factor is that although USG TAPB increases efficiency and safety of block it has a longer learning curve and so results are usedependent. There are various techniques to perform TAP block, so it must be realised that the block characteristics can change with each technique. Complete blinding was not possible, which is another limitation of our study.

**CONCLUSION**

TAP block is more efficacious than unilateral spinal block for inguinal hernia repair in geriatric patients in terms of prolonged post-operative analgesia, excellent haemodynamic stability with minimal incidence of side effects or complications. On the other hand, unilateral spinal block provides excellent quality of intra-operative block. TAP block may be used safely as an alternative sole anaesthetic technique in geriatric patients who are not suitable for general or neuraxial anaesthesia.
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