Does Modular Extension of DHS Fix the Unstable Trochanteric Fractures
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ABSTRACT

Nowadays, the use of DHS in unstable trochanteric fractures has been associated with significant medial displacement of the shaft resulting from excessive sliding of screw within the barrel and a higher incidence of screw cut-out. To emphasize the importance of the modular Trochanter Stabilizing Plate (TSP) in addition to the Dynamic Hip Screw (DHS) prevents excessive telescoping/varus malposition and limb shortening in all unstable trochanteric fractures with lateral wall comminutions.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

Twenty-five consecutive patients with unstable intertrochanteric fractures were treated with an additional TSP superimposed on the regular DHS at our institution between October 2013 and November 2015. Three patients migrated to other states, one patient was lost to follow-up and another patient refused follow-up. Thus, twenty patients were followed for at least mean of 19 months (Range 6 to 28 months).

RESULTS

Lateralization of the greater trochanter was successfully prevented in all fractures. Functional results were excellent and good in 87 percent of patients and fair in 13 percent according to the Harris Hip score.

CONCLUSION

TSP with DHS is a biomechanically stable construct allowing reconstruction of lateral wall to maintain adequate lever arm and abductor strength (power arm) in unstable intertrochanteric fractures with lateral wall comminution. Two-point fixation provides additional rotational stability. Superior functional and radiological outcome favors its use in unstable intertrochanteric fracture.
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INTRODUCTION

Intertrochanteric fractures continue to be a challenge for all orthopaedic surgeons. A variety of implants of internal fixation have been employed to achieve this goal with variable success. The diversity of fixation devices available for the treatment of trochanteric fractures illustrates the difficulties encountered in the actual treatment and the discussion about ideal implant still continues. In recent years, the use of DHS in unstable trochanteric fractures has been associated with significant medial displacement of the shaft resulting from excessive sliding of screw within the barrel and a higher incidence of screw cut-out. Moreover, 12% of unstable intertrochanteric fractures shows radiologically identifiable rotation of the proximal fragment when fixed with DHS alone, as DHS provides single point fixation over which the proximal fragment rotates on hip movements. This leads to more malunions and nonunions.

The stabilization of lateral wall in unstable fractures by addition of Trochanter Stabilization Plate (TSP) to DHS has been devised to prevent the excessive collapse and varus malpositioning. The purpose of this study was to assess the effectiveness of TSP in unstable intertrochanteric fractures with lateral wall comminution with assessment of radiological and functional outcome.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

This study was conducted in Government Kilpauk Medical College and Hospital, Chennai-10.

Inclusion Criteria

1. Clinical diagnosis of unstable trochanteric femur fracture (AO Type 31A2.1 to 31A2.3).  
2. Age >40 years and <75 years.  
3. Both genders.

Exclusion Criteria

1. Open fractures.  
2. Patients with pathological fractures.  
3. Polytrauma patients.  
4. Patients not able to walk before the fracture.  
5. History of previous surgery on proximal femur fracture.  
6. Under 40 years old.  
7. Patients with fractures needing other treatments than sliding hip screws.  
8. Reverse oblique fractures.  

Twenty-five consecutive patients with unstable intertrochanteric fractures were treated with an additional TSP super-imposed on the regular DHS at our institution.
between October 2013 and November 2015. Three patients migrated to other states, one patient was lost to follow-up and another patient refused follow-up. Thus, twenty patients were followed for mean of 19 months (Range 6 to 28 months).

**Investigation Details**

1. **Radiological:** Plain X-ray of the affected hip with femur in two standard projections (AP and Frog leg lateral view).
2. Complete Haemogram.
3. Renal function test.
4. Bleeding time and Clotting time.
5. Screening for infections - HIV, HBV, Syphilis.
7. If needed CT of concerned hip joint with 3D reconstruction.
   - Post-operative radiological outcome was assessed by periodic X-rays of affected hip.
   - Post-operative functional outcome was assessed by using Harris Hip Score.

**Implant**

![Trochanteric Stabilisation Plate](image)

**Fig. 1: Trochanteric Stabilisation Plate**

b. Trochanteric Stabilisation Plate.
c. Richard screw.

**Operative Method**

Patient prepared on the morning of day of surgery. Preoperatively, prophylactic antibiotic single dose given after test dose on the operation table.

Spinal anaesthesia was used for all cases. Patient was placed on fracture table with unaffected leg in flexion and abduction position by using leg holder. Affected leg placed in boot and fixed to the fracture table. C arm placed on the opposite side of affected extremity. Before progressing with fracture reduction, C arm was checked for optimal functioning relative to patient position to ensure proper visualization of fracture in both AP and lateral view by it.

**Reduction Manoeuvre**

Using preoperative X-rays and perioperative C-arm image fracture pattern studied and closed reduction manoeuvre planned.

Reduction done using traction and internal or external rotation depending on fracture pattern.

Other deformities like sagittal plane deformity corrected by applying an anteriorly directed force on distal fragment, while simultaneously applying traction.

**Surgical Approach**

Operated limb painted and draped in standard fashion for hip surgery in supine position.

![Patient Position](image)

**Fig. 2: Patient Position**

![Intraoperative C-Arm AP View](image)

**Fig. 3: Intraoperative C-Arm AP View**

Standard lateral approach to hip joint utilized for exposing the fracture site. Skin and subcutaneous tissue incised. Tensor fascia lata and Vastus lateralis split and proximal femur exposed. In case inadequate reduction achieved by traction
and internal rotation as visualized by C-arm open reduction done.

**Fig. 4: Skin Incision**

Guidewire inserted approximately 2 cm below the vastus lateralis ridge under C-arm guidance with the help of 135° angle guide. Guidewire traverse through CCD angle inferiorly in AP view and central in lateral view. This allowed correct placement of anti-rotation screw. Guidewire placed 5 mm beneath the subchondral bone.

**Fig. 5: Guidewire Passed in Central Position in Lateral View**

Afterwards guidewire length measured and utilizing a triple reamer reaming done. Adequate size lag screw inserted.

**Fig. 6: Lag Screw and Barrel Plate Inserted**

A 5-hole barrel plate fixed to lag screw and fixed to shaft of femur using cortical screws in 2nd and 5th hole of plate.

**Fig. 7: Barrel Plate Fixed with Cortical Screws in 2nd and 5th Hole**

After pre-contouring trochanteric stabilization, plate placed over the plate and fixed using remaining holes in the plate by utilizing cortical screws. Afterwards anti-rotation screw inserted superior to lag screw.

**Fig. 8: Anti-Rotation Screw Inserted**

If deemed necessary greater trochanter fixed by using 4 mm cancellous screws or SS wire.

**Fig. 9: Cancellous Screws Inserted in Greater Trochanter through TSP**
Post-Operative Protocol
IV antibiotics and analgesic were given for first two days. From 3rd day onwards oral antibiotics and analgesic were given for another 1 week. Drain removed on 2nd post-operative day. Dressing changed on 2nd, 6th and 8th post-operative day. Sutures removed on 12th post-operative day. Non-weight bearing mobilization started under guidance of physiotherapist from 3rd postop day. Chest physiotherapy started from 2nd post-operative day. Non-weight bearing mobilization started under guidance of physiotherapist from 3rd postop day. Weight bearing started as soon as possible on the basis of patient’s pain tolerance, bone quality, fracture reduction and biomechanical stability of the construct. Patients were followed up once in a month for at least 6 months with appropriate radiographs for assessing union and complications like telescoping and varus collapse.

OBSERVATION
The study was conducted in Government Kilpauk Medical College and Hospital, Chennai, from October 2013 to November 2015. 

25 consecutive patients suffering from unstable intertrochanteric fracture with lateral wall comminution were treated with Trochanteric Stabilization Plate.

In our study, we used AO/OTA classification system for patient selection. We included AO31A2 fractures in our study. Three patients migrated to other states, one patient was lost to follow-up and another patient refused follow-up. Thus, twenty patients were followed for mean of 19 months (Range 6 to 28 months).

The distribution of fractures according to type is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Fracture</th>
<th>Number of Patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31A2.1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31A2.2</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31A2.3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gender Distribution
13 patients were male and 7 were female.
Male – 13
Female – 7

Side of Fracture
12 patients had left side intertrochanteric fracture and 8 had right side intertrochanteric fracture.
Left - 12
Right - 8

AGE WISE DISTRIBUTION
Age Wise Distribution of Patients is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>No. of Patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50-60</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-65</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66-70</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70-75</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 11: Gender Distribution
Fig. 12: Side of Fracture
Fig. 101: Type of Fracture
Comorbidities
In our study 8 patients suffered from Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus, 12 patients suffered from systolic hypertension, 3 patients suffered from coronary artery disease, 1 patient suffered from Chronic Kidney Disease and 1 patient had completed treatment for Primary Pulmonary Tuberculosis.

Operative details of Intertrochanteric Fractures treated by TSP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operative details</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean time of operation after fracture in days</td>
<td>7.6 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean duration of operation</td>
<td>82 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean blood loss in mL</td>
<td>166.25 mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean size of lag screw</td>
<td>85 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean size of anti-rotation screw</td>
<td>75 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Postoperative Period, mean duration of hospital stay was 6.9 days. Patient were allowed full weight bearing after an average duration of 13.2 weeks. Two patient had persistent pain in hip region and two patients had persistent thigh pain, hence weight bearing was delayed till radiological union occurred and symptoms subsided.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operative Details</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean duration of hospital stay</td>
<td>6.9 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average duration for full weight bearing</td>
<td>13.2 weeks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESULTS
The postoperative radiological outcome was assessed by taking serial X-rays of affected hip in antero-posterior and lateral view. All patients achieved radiological and clinical union; 6 patients underwent radiological union by 16 weeks, 4 patients underwent by 18 weeks, 6 patients underwent union by 20 weeks and 4 patients underwent union by 22 weeks.

Two patients had varus malunion, Average limb length discrepancy was 1.4 cm with 7 patients having <1 cm shortening, 11 had shortening of 1.5 to 2 cm and 2 had shortening of more than 2 cm (1 patient had shortening of 2.5 cm and another had shortening of 2.9 cm). Average time for radiological union was 23.5 weeks.

Functional Outcome
The postoperative functional outcome was assessed by Harris Hip Score at 20 weeks.

Components of Harris hip score are pain, limp, support, distance walked, stairs climbing, put on shoes and socks, sitting, public transportation, flexion contracture, leg-length discrepancy, absence of deformity and range of motion.
Average Harris hip score was 83.2. We had 3 excellent results, 14 good results and 3 fair results.

### Complications
The following complications were encountered in patients.

**Wound Complications**
One patient had a superficial wound infection. The patient was a female patient suffering from Type II Diabetes Mellitus. The infection subsided with prolonged antibiotics and one sitting of wound wash.

![Fig. 15: Harris Hip Score](image1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pain</th>
<th>Sitting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None or ignores it (44)</td>
<td>Comfortably in ordinary chair 1 hour (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slight, occasional, no compromise in activities (40)</td>
<td>On a high chair for 1/2 hour (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild pain, no effect on average activities, rarely moderate pain with unusual activity; may take aspirin (30)</td>
<td>Unable to sit comfortably in any chair (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate pain, tolerable but makes concessions to pain; some limitation of ordinary activity or work; may require occasional pain medicine stronger than aspirin (20)</td>
<td>Enter public transportation: □ Yes (1) □ No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marked pain, serious limitation of activities (10)</td>
<td>Flexion contracture: _________ (degrees)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totally disabled, crippled, pain in bed, bedridden (0)</td>
<td>Leg-length discrepancy: _________ (cm)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Absence of Deformity (all Yes = 4; <4 = 0)
- <30 degrees fixed flexion contracture: □ Yes □ No
- <10 degrees fixed adduction: □ Yes □ No
- <10 degrees fixed internal rotation in extension: □ Yes □ No
- Limb-length discrepancy <3.2 cm: □ Yes □ No

**Range of Motion** (*Normal*)

- Total degree measurements, then check range to obtain score
  - Flexion (*140 degrees): _________
  - External rotation (*40 degrees): _________
  - Abduction (*140 degrees): _________
  - Internal rotation (*40 degrees): _________

**Range-of-Motion Scale**
- 211-300 degrees (5)
- 161-210 degrees (4)
- 101-160 degrees (3)
- 0-60 degrees (1)
- 0-30 degrees (0)

**Range-of-Motion Score**
- Total Harris Hip Score: _________
- Readmission to Hospital: □ Yes □ No
- Date of Readmission: _________
- Implant Removal Date: _________

![Fig. 16: Functional Outcome by Harris Hip Score](image2)

![Fig. 17 Wound Infection](image3)
Two male patients had fever on 4th postoperative day. One patient was diagnosed with urinary tract infection and another had lower respiratory tract infection, which settled with a course of antibiotics.

**Implant Related Complications**
One patient suffered greater trochanter cancellous screw loosening at 13 weeks. The patient was followed up for another 8 weeks till radiological union occurred and then under spinal anaesthesia the screw was removed.

**Shortening**
Two patients had shortening of >2 cm due to varus collapse, out of which one patient had shortening of 2.5 cm and another patient had shortening of 2.7 cm.

One patient had persistent hip pain and another had persistent thigh pain. Weight bearing was deferred in these patients and pain was relieved after radiological union.

**RESULTS**
The mean follow-up period was 19 months (range 6-28 months). Lateralization of the greater trochanter was successfully prevented in all fractures. Limited fracture impaction was found in 90 percent (n = 18) of the patients with telescoping of 9.5 millimetres (range 0 to 30 millimetres), resulting in mean limb shortening of 5.37 millimetres (range 0 to 14.9 millimetres). Two patients suffered limb shortening exceeding 15 millimetres (range 25 to 27 millimetres). Functional results were excellent or good in 85 percent of patients and fair in 15 percent according to the Harris Hip score. All fractures had healed six months after the operation. Two complications required a secondary procedure: one because of superficial infection and one patient had loosening of screws in greater trochanter.

**Complications**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>Total No. of Patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Superficial wound infection</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screw back-out in greater trochanter</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary tract infection</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower respiratory tract infection</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varus collapse with shortening of &gt;2 cm</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistent Hip pain</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistent Thigh pain</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**45 Year Male**

One patient had persistent hip pain and another had persistent thigh pain. Weight bearing was deferred in these patients and pain was relieved after radiological union.
DISCUSSION

Intertrochanteric femur fractures contribute half of total hip fractures in the elderly age group of >60 years with increase in the life expectancy, the incidence of intertrochanteric hip fractures is increasing.

Simple fall from standing height is the most common mode of injury in this patient. Diminished vision, reduced reflexes, poor muscle tone and balance contribute to the increased incidence.

Various modalities of treatments are available like sliding hip screw, cephalomedullary nails, dynamic condylar screw, hemiarthroplasty and trochanteric stabilization plate. The goal of treatment being early mobilization of patients to prevent fracture disease complication.

Ahrengart et al stated that "less comminuted fractures, the compression hip screw method is the preferred method."(6) Sliding hip screw is still the most widely used implant for these cases. But in case of unstable intertrochanteric fracture with
lateral wall comminution, it has the disadvantage of excessive varus collapse and screw cut-out. The reason being lack of lateral wall support and single point fixation.

Babst et al, study reported significant reduction in excessive collapse and subsequently reduced limb length discrepancy by using a TSP in combination with the DHS.\(^7\)

International Orthopaedics (SICOT) (2010) 34:125–129 states that improved bony contact between proximal and distal fragments by stabilization of the comminuted lateral wall using TSP is likely to improve the chances of union and maintenance of adequate lever arm. An additional anti-rotation screw effectively prevents the rotation of the proximal fragment.

R. K. Gupta et al study states that “In unstable trochanteric fractures owing to posterior, medial and lateral comminution, the collapse at the fracture site that occurs with sliding hip screw fixation may be more than usual.” In such a situation abductor muscle weakness and its consequent fatigability is likely to be greater. Hence, TSP seems to act as a buttress plate against the medialization of the distal fracture fragment often seen in unstable fractures stabilized with the sliding screw plate systems alone.

Madsen JE et al have stated that “Use of a TSP reduced the secondary lag screw sliding as compared with the conventional DHS without affecting fracture healing.”\(^6\)

Hsu C E et al in their series concluded that “the Use of TSP in A2 fractures with critical thin lateral wall thickness <2.24 cm can significantly decrease the lag screw sliding distances, PLWF rate and reoperation rate.”\(^6\)

In these cases Trochanteric Stabilization Plate provides following benefits:
1. Lateral buttress effect.
2. Anti-rotation screw.
3. Similar technique like sliding hip screw.
4. Small learning curve.

In our study conducted in Government Kilpauk Medical College and Hospital, Chennai, 20 patients of unstable intertrochanteric fractures with lateral wall comminution were treated with trochanteric stabilization plate and followed up. The fractures were classified according to AO/OTA classification and fractures of AO Type 31A2.1 to 31A2.3 were included in our study.

All cases were followed up for a minimum of 6 months and were assessed for clinical, radiological and functional outcome. The results were analysed. The observations of our study are as follows:

1. **Age:** Most of the patients in our study were in the age group of 60-70 years.
2. **Gender:** There was a male preponderance with 13 males and 7 females.
3. **Mode of Injury:** Fall from standing height was the most common mode of injury.
4. **Type of Fracture:** In our study we encountered 3 patients of AO Type 31A2.1, 14 patients of AO Type 31A2.2 and 3 patients of AO Type 31A2.3.
5. **Side of Fracture:** 12 patients suffered fracture on left side and 8 patients suffered fracture on right side.
6. **Comorbidities:** 2 patients had systolic hypertension and type 2 diabetes mellitus; 2 patients had coronary artery disease and type 2 diabetes mellitus; 1 patient suffered from chronic kidney disease and systolic hypertension; 10 patients suffered from isolated systolic hypertension; 6 patients suffered from isolated diabetes mellitus; 1 patient was a known case of old healed pulmonary tuberculosis and completed Category 1 anti-TB treatment.
7. All the patients had good preoperative mobility and were ambulating independently unassisted.
8. Majority of patients were operated within 7 days, the average being 7.6 days.
9. **Mean operating time was 82 minutes.**
10. **Mean blood loss was 166.25 mL.**
11. **Mean length of incision was 11.75 cm. On an average, it was 2.75 cm larger than routine DHS incision. Longer incision was required for applying trochanteric stabilization plate.**
12. **Mean lag screw size was 85 mm, mean anti-rotation screw (6.5 mm cancellous screw) size was 75 mm.**
13. **Average hospital stay was 6.9 days.**
14. **Partial weight bearing was allowed immediately on 3rd postoperative day on the basis of construct stability and bone quality.**

15. All fractures united on an average of 16.75 weeks.
16. All patients were allowed to full weight bearing on an average by 13.2 weeks on the basis of clinical and radiological union.
17. After analysing functional outcome of all patients by Harris hip score, the average score was found to be 83.2. We had 3 excellent results, 14 good results and 3 fair results.
18. **Complications:** One patient, known case of Type 2 diabetes mellitus suffered from superficial wound infection. One patient suffered from urinary tract infection and one patient suffered from lower respiratory tract infection. Two patients suffered varus collapse with limb shortening >2 cm. One patient suffered greater trochanter cancellous screw back-out.

In our study, modular extension of DHS with TSP prevented varus collapse and limb length discrepancy in 90% cases.

**CONCLUSION**

Trochanteric stabilization plate with sliding hip screw is a biomechanically stable construct allowing reconstruction of lateral wall to maintain adequate lever arm and abductor strength (Power arm) in unstable intertrochanteric fractures with lateral wall comminution.

Additional anti-rotation screw provides enhanced rotational stability to the proximal fragment. Lateral wall buttress effect reduces the chance of varus collapse and screw cut-out. The operative technique being similar to sliding hip screw, it has a small learning curve.

Overall, in patients with unstable intertrochanteric fractures with lateral wall comminution, trochanteric stabilization plate can give a superior functional and radiological outcome.
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