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ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVES
Compare the 1. Efficacy and tolerability 2. Safety of telmisartan versus lisinopril in patients of stage-1 essential hypertension (JNC 7 classification) in study groups.

MATERIAL AND METHOD
The study was a prospective, double blind randomised comparative clinical trial. Male patients aged between 20 to 65 years attending medicine O/P Department in the Government General Hospital, Kakinada, were selected for this study, prior permission was also taken from the institution ethics committee of Rangaraya Medical College, Kakinada to conduct the study.
A total of 60 male patients with stage-1 essential hypertension were enrolled in the study as per the selection criteria. Group T patients received 40mg of telmisartan/Group L patients received lisinopril 10mg once a day orally for 12 weeks. Investigations and BP taken periodically throughout study period.

RESULTS
The mean reduction in systolic BP/diastolic BP in the telmisartan/lisinopril group at the end of the study, i.e., after 12 weeks was 14.93±3.85/14.40±3.46mmHg and 10.20±1.77/9.47±2.29mmHg. When two groups was compared there was no significant difference between the groups (P>0.05).
The frequency of adverse effects between the groups was not statistically significant (P>0.05). However, in lisinopril treated group the incidence of dry cough was significantly higher compared to telmisartan group (P<0.05).

CONCLUSION
The results from this study demonstrate that telmisartan is as effective as lisinopril for treatment of patients with stage 1 hypertension. Treatment with telmisartan was associated with decreases in blood pressure at the end of the study that was similar to that with the ACE inhibitor lisinopril. In lisinopril treated group, the incidence of dry cough was significant.
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INTRODUCTION
Hypertension is defined conventionally as a sustained increase in blood pressure ≥140/90 mmHg, a criterion that characterizes a group of patients whose risk of hypertension-related cardiovascular disease is high enough to merit medical attention. Hypertension is a life time condition and if left untreated leads to lethal complications.1,2,3,4

The Renin-Angiotensin Aldosterone System (RAAS) plays an integral role in the homeostatic control of arterial pressure, tissue perfusion, and extracellular volume. Three classes of drugs act specifically on the renin-angiotensin system.

Angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, angiotensin II receptor blockers and aliskiren, an orally active renin antagonist.5,6,7,8,9

ACE inhibitors act by reducing the biosynthesis of angiotensin II by blocking the conversion of angiotensin I by ACE, but do not inhibit non-ACE angiotensin II generating pathways. ARBs block the AT1 receptor mediated actions of angiotensin II without inhibiting angiotensin II synthesis. ARBs have the advantage of blocking actions of angiotensin II from non-ACE pathways, also currently ARBs are alternative to ACE inhibitors in the treatment of essential hypertension in patients intolerable to ACE inhibitors due to adverse effects.10,11

There is constant debate over the comparative efficacy of ACE inhibitors and ARBs due to additional advantages of ARBs over ACE inhibitors.12

Hence, this study was undertaken to evaluate whether the above mentioned theoretical benefits actually translate into clinically observable benefits in patients of stage-1 essential hypertension (jnc-7).13,14

The ACE inhibitor lisinopril and ARB telmisartan were used in the present study.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Male patients aged between 20 to 65 years attending medicine out-patient department in the Government General Hospital, Kakinada, were selected for this study prior permission was also taken from the institution ethics committee of Rangaraya Medical College, Kakinada to conduct the study. The study was a prospective, double blind, randomised comparative clinical trial.

A total of 60 male patients with stage-1 essential hypertension were enrolled in the study as per the selection criteria. They were randomly allocated to two groups with 30 patients each. They were either newly diagnosed or who have discontinued antihypertensive medication voluntarily for more than 4 weeks.

The following categories of patients were excluded from the study: Patients on other antihypertensive therapy, patients of secondary hypertension, patients with impaired liver function defined as SGOT or SGPT>2 times the normal limit, patients with impaired kidney function defined as serum creatinine>2mg/dl, female patients, patients with history suggestive of obstructive biliary disease, cholestasis or severe hepatic impairment.

After fulfilling the inclusion and exclusion criteria, the patients were enrolled into the study and informed written consent was obtained from all patients after a detailed explanation prior to enrolment. Current medical history and diagnosis were noted during the first visit. The patients were randomly allocated to receive either telmisartan or lisinopril with 30 patients in each group.

Group T patients received 40mg of telmisartan once a day orally for 12 weeks while Group L patients received lisinopril 10mg once a day orally for 12 weeks.

The patients were followed for every 2 weeks throughout the study period, i.e., at 2 weeks, 4 weeks, 6 weeks, 8 weeks, 10 weeks, 12 weeks. Compliance of the patient regarding medication consumption is assessed by the pill count method where 18 pills were given at each visit every 2 weeks. The patient has to show the remaining four tablets.

At each visit complete clinical examination was carried out including a recording of systolic and diastolic blood pressure of each patient using a mercury sphygmomanometer by the auscultation method. The BP was recorded in sitting position after 10 min of rest.\(^15,16\)

Investigations such as hemogram (Hb, TLC), serum creatinine, SGOT, SGPT, random blood sugar and urine examination were performed during the first visit and 12 weeks of the study period.

The statistical analysis was done using the unpaired student's 't' test for comparing the quantitative data of the two study groups. A P-value <0.05 was taken as significant and P-value <0.001 was taken as highly significant, while P>0.05 was considered as insignificant.

RESULTS

REDUCTION IN SYSTOLIC BLOOD PRESSURE WITH EACH DRUG

In the telmisartan treated group, the mean systolic BP prior to treatment was 145.80±5.52 mmHg. After treatment, the mean systolic BP reduced to 130.87±6.07 mmHg, i.e., at 12 weeks. The reduction in systolic BP after 12 weeks was found to be statistically significant (P<0.001) when compared with the baseline readings.

In the lisinopril treated group, the mean systolic BP prior to treatment was 146.67±5.34 mmHg. After treatment, the mean systolic BP reduced to 132.27±5.11 mmHg, i.e., at 12 weeks. The reduction in systolic BP after 12 weeks was found to be statistically significant (P<0.001) when compared with the baseline readings.\(^17,18,19,20\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FALL IN SBP (mmHg)</th>
<th>LISINOPRIL</th>
<th>TELMISARTAN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAX</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIN</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEAN</td>
<td>14.40</td>
<td>14.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: The Reduction in Systolic BP After 12 Weeks

In the present study, the mean reduction in systolic blood pressure after completion of the study in telmisartan group is 14.93±3.85 mmHg with no significant difference (p>0.05) compared to lisinopril group mean reduction in systolic blood pressure of 14.40±3.46.

REDUCTION IN DIASTOLIC BLOOD PRESSURE WITH EACH DRUG

The mean diastolic BP before telmisartan treatment was 91.33±3.17 mmHg. At the end of the study after 12 weeks, the mean diastolic BP reduced to 81.13±2.76 mmHg. The reduction in diastolic BP after 12 weeks was found to be statistically significant (P<0.001) when compared with the baseline readings.

The mean diastolic BP before lisinopril treatment was 91.47±3.10 mmHg. At the end of the study after 12 weeks, the mean diastolic BP reduced to 82.00±2.83 mmHg.
reduction in diastolic BP after 12 weeks was found to be statistically significant (P<0.001) when compared with the baseline readings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FALL IN DBP (mmHg)</th>
<th>LISISNOPRIL</th>
<th>TELMISARTAN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAX</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIN</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEAN</td>
<td>9.47</td>
<td>10.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>1.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 2: The Reduction in Diastolic BP After 12 Weeks*

In the present study, the mean reduction in diastolic blood pressure after completion of the study in telmisartan group is 10.20±1.77 with no significant difference (p>0.05) compared to lisinopril group mean reduction in diastolic blood pressure of 9.47±2.29.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SIDE EFFECT</th>
<th>LISINOPRIL</th>
<th>TELMISARTAN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HEADACHE</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIZZINESS</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FATIGUE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAUSEA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRY COUGH</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HYPOTENSION</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 3: Occurrence of Side Effects*

In the present study, the mean reduction in diastolic blood pressure after completion of the study in telmisartan group is 10.20±1.77 with no significant difference (p>0.05) compared to lisinopril group mean reduction in diastolic blood pressure of 9.47±2.29.

- In the total study, headache is seen in 7% two patients in telmisartan group and 10% three patients in lisinopril group.
- Dizziness is seen in 10%, three patients in each group.
- Fatigue is seen in 10%, three patients in telmisartan group only.
- Nausea is seen in 7%, two patients in each group.
- Dry cough is seen in 23%, seven patients in lisinopril group only.
- Hypotension is not seen in either group.

The frequency of adverse effects between the groups was not statistically significant (P>0.05). However, in lisinopril treated group the incidence of dry cough was significantly higher compared to telmisartan group (P<0.05).

**DISCUSSION**

Hypertension is one of the leading causes of the global burden of disease. Hypertension doubles the risk of cardiovascular diseases including Coronary Heart Disease (CHD), Congestive Heart Failure (CHF), ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke, renal failure, and peripheral arterial disease. Although antihypertensive therapy clearly reduces the risks of cardiovascular and renal disease, large segments of the hypertensive population are either untreated or inadequately treated.

The present study is a double blind randomized study comparing telmisartan (40mg) and lisinopril (10mg) in male patients with stage 1 essential hypertension. The principal aim was to study efficacy and tolerability of both the drugs and compare them.

In the present study, the maximum number of patients that is 77% of the total study group were in the age group 51-65 years. The remaining 23% patients were in the age group 31-50 years with no patients in the lower age group that is 18-30 years.

The present study constituted of 100% male patients with stage 1 essential hypertension.

The mean reduction of systolic blood pressure with telmisartan is 14.93±3.85 mmHg with no significant difference (p>0.05) compared to lisinopril group mean reduction in systolic blood pressure of 14.40±3.46. This shows that there was no significant difference in the mean reduction of systolic blood pressure between the two groups.
The mean reduction in diastolic blood pressure after completion of the study in telmisartan group is 10.20±1.77 with no significant difference (p>0.05) compared to lisinopril group mean reduction in diastolic blood pressure of 9.47±2.29. This shows that there was no significant difference in diastolic blood pressure reduction between the two groups.

The side effects caused by both the drugs were almost similar. In the total study head ache is seen in 7% (2) patients in telmisartan group and 10% (3) patients in lisinopril group.

Dizziness is seen in 10% (3) patients in each group. Fatigue is seen in 10% (3) patients in telmisartan group only. Nausea is seen in 7% (2) patients in each group. Dry cough is seen in 23% (7) patients in lisinopril group only. Hypotension is not seen in either groups.

Similar results were shown by a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials of telmisartan versus lisinopril in the treatment of hypertension by Department of Anaesthesiology, Changhai Hospital, Shanghai.23

The present study showed that both telmisartan and lisinopril are effective drugs in reducing both systolic and diastolic blood pressure throughout study period when measured at the 2nd, 4th, 8th, 10th, and 12th week. When efficacy of telmisartan is compared with lisinopril, it showed that there was no significant difference in Systolic Blood Pressure (SBP) and Diastolic Blood Pressure (DBP) reduction between telmisartan and lisinopril.24,25

In the present study when tolerability is compared between telmisartan and lisinopril the overall frequency of adverse effects was similar, but in lisinopril group the incidence of dry cough was higher as compared to that in telmisartan group (23% and 0% respectively, p<0.05).
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