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ABSTRACT

Melanomas are malignant tumours, which originate from melanocytes. Melanocytes originate from the neural crest and then migrate to various tissues in the body during the course of development. Sinonasal melanoma are rare tumours and the aetiopathogenesis, treatment and staging of the tumours are still an area of debate. There remains paucity of studies dealing exclusively with sinonasal melanoma. We attempt to make a comprehensive study of the disease by reviewing the existing literature on sinonasal melanoma.

METHODOLOGY

Review of existing literature on the melanoma of nose and paranasal sinus.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The melanoma of the sinonasal tract are rare and account for 1% of all melanoma. The aetiology of the tumour is still debated and the behaviour of the tumour remains conspicuously different both genetically and clinically from its cutaneous counterpart. Surgery remains the mainstay of treatment, though newer modalities are being explored. The prognosis of these tumours remain grim with a 5-year survival rate of about 25%.

CONCLUSION

Early diagnosis, histopathological confirmation and aggressive control of the primary tumour is the standard modality of treatment. The molecular biology and genetics of the tumour are an interesting field of research and targeted therapy may hold the key to improve the outcome in the future.
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INTRODUCTION

Melanomas are primarily tumours arising from the melanocytes in the skin. Melanocytes originate from the neuroectoderm. However, rarely melanomas may also arise from the mucosal layer of the paranasal sinuses, gastrointestinal tract and the urogenital system. Though there has been an exponential increase in the incidence of cutaneous melanoma the incidence of mucosal melanomas have remained the same over the years. The prognosis of mucosal melanomas have also not changed much and the five-year survival rate remains grim. As the pathogenesis, staging, modality of treatment still remains unclear and the prognosis of the disease is poor, a review was carried out of the existing literature, recent trends and concepts in the management of these rare tumours, so as to bring forth a comprehensive study of the disease.

METHODOLOGY

A search of the English literature of last fifteen years was conducted in PubMed/Medline and Google Scholar using the
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mucosa of the sinonasal tract rarely in less than 1% of the cases. [4]

Primary mucosal melanoma of the sinonasal tract arise de novo from the melanocytes in the surface epithelium or the stroma. Pre-existing nevus seem to have a little role in the pathogenesis of these tumours. [5,6] The aetiological agents responsible for mucosal melanoma are not established. Tobacco smoke and occupational exposure to formaldehyde have been implicated as possible aetiological factors. Thompson et al. in their retrospective analysis of 115 cases of sinonasal tract mucosal melanoma found that 7.5% of patients had an occupational exposure to formaldehyde. [6] The role of formaldehyde in the causation of sinonasal melanoma needs further investigation. Genetic studies have revealed that the mucosal melanomas carry different genetic variation than their cutaneous counterparts. Studies show that 75% of cutaneous melanoma arising from the sun exposed areas carry mutations in BRAF oncogene, which is rare in the mucosal variants (Approximately 2%). [7,8]

Mucosal melanomas mostly carry C-KIT expression (88%) with 5-20% of tumours carrying active C-KIT mutations. [9] C-KIT is a key regulator involved in the proliferation, migration and differentiation of melanocytes. Zanon et al. in their molecular analysis of sinonasal melanomas found that 48.1% of the tumours lost the tumour suppressor gene PTEN and in 55.2% of the tumours the p16 gene was absent. [10] The same study also noted that sinonasal melanomas carried an overexpression of CCND1 protein (65.6%) and that significant tumour suppression was achieved by antagonizing CCND1 protein. The clinical significance of these genetic aberrations in terms of prognosis and outcome of the disease are not known at present, but may hold the key to newer therapeutic options in the future.

Mucosal melanoma usually presents after the 6th decade. Thompson et al. in their retrospective analysis of 115 patients reported the youngest patient who was only 13 years of age. [6] Sinonasal mucosal melanoma have been shown to affect both sexes equally. [6] However, study on a large series of 186 patients of Sweden shows a significant female preponderance of the disease. [10] On the other hand, study by Mochel et al found a male-female ratio of 3:2 in their series of sinonasal melanoma. [11] Mendehall et al in their review also found a slight male preponderance of the disease. [4] This discrepancy in the gender predisposition of the disease may be due to rare nature of the disease and that most of studies reported so far have been institutional studies with relatively small sample size. Mucosal melanomas have rich vascularisation and often arise from hidden areas of the head and neck region.

Unlike their cutaneous counterpart, mucosal melanomas present at an advanced stage and have poor outcome. Nose and the paranasal sinuses are the most common sites of mucosal melanoma of the head and neck region; 80% of these tumours arise from the nasal cavity and nearly 18% arise from the paranasal sinuses. The common subsites in the nasal cavity include septum (41%), middle turbinate (29%), inferior turbinate (23%), and the lateral nasal wall (7%). [4] The symptoms of these tumours are often nonspecific and may range from epistaxis, nasal obstruction, proptosis, diplopia, facial pain and asymmetry depending upon the site of origin and spread of the tumour. Compared to their oral counterpart sinonasal melanoma have lower rate of regional spread and more commonly present as ulcerative or polypoid morphology, pseudopapillary growth pattern with necrosis and a tendency towards perineural invasion. [12]

Mucosal melanoma may be suspected from their gross appearance presenting as a large polypoidal and bulky mass which may or may not contain melanin pigment. Microscopically, these tumours usually show lymphoid infiltrate at the periphery which may be due to immune response. [8,9] 20–25% of the mucosal melanoma are amelanotic making diagnosis difficult at histopathology. It is interesting to note that Langard M et al in their study on 186 patients of sinonasal mucosal melanoma in the Swedish population found that about 70% of the tumours were amelanotic clinically. [10] These amelanotic variant may be associated with a worse prognosis. Studies suggest that the presence of mitotic figure (>10/10 HPF) may be associated with worse clinical outcome. [6,12]

The differential diagnosis of sinonasal mucosal melanoma may include undifferentiated carcinoma, lymphoma, plasmacytoma, rhabdomyosarcoma and olfactory neuroblastoma. [6] which may not be reliably differentiated on histology. Histopathological confirmation often requires immunohistochemical staining to differentiate melanoma from other lesions. Commonly used markers for mucosal melanoma include S-100, HMB 45, Melan-A, tyrosinase and Microphthalmia Transcription Factor (MIPF). S-100 is the most sensitive stain for melanocytes, but lacks specificity and stains approximately 95%-100% of the tumours when compared with HMB 45 and Melan A which stain approximately 86% and 84% of the tumours. [11,13] Moris et al evaluated the role of PNL2 melanocytic marker in immunohistochemical staining of mucosal melanomas.

They found that PNL2 stained the melanocytes diffusely in most of the tumours and that the intensity of the staining was stronger than HMB 45. [14] Aung P et also found PNL2 to be more specific and superior to other markers for diagnosing metastatic melanoma. Diagnosing the desmoplastic variant may be difficult and studies have shown that most of the melanoma marker including PNL2 fail to detect these rare variant. [15] A newer melanoma marker KBA62 was evaluated and found to be positive for the desmoplastic melanoma. [15]

Literature also shows a case of sinonasal melanoma showing plasmacytoid variant with positive staining of CD 138, which is a plasma cell marker. [16] The prognostic significance of such aberrations are not known. However, such aberrant cases may pose a diagnostic challenge for the pathologist and the clinician if one is unaware of it.

The staging of mucosal melanoma has been an area of debate. The Clark and Breslow staging of cutaneous melanoma has no significance in assessing the prognosis of mucosal melanoma. This system is inapplicable in mucosal melanoma, because of lack of histological landmark of the papillary and reticular dermis and inability to assess the true depth of invasion of the tumour. The Ballantyne system of classification grouped mucosal melanoma into three stages, viz. Stage I - local disease, Stage II - Regional spread and Stage III - systemic metastasis. This staging system is simple and approximately 70–95% of mucosal melanoma are found to present as a Stage I localized disease. [12] However, such a large portion falling in one category limits the prognostic significance of the Ballantyne staging system.

Prasad et al proposed a microstaging system for localized node negative tumours based on the level of invasion to tissue
Mucosal melanoma of the nose and paranasal sinus are rare tumours with an adverse clinical outcome. The prognosis of these tumours have not improved significantly over the past. Early diagnosis and histopathological confirmation and aggressive control of the primary tumour is the standard care. The molecular biology and the genetics of the tumour are an interesting field of research and targeted therapy may hold the key to improve the outcome in the future. The literature pertaining to the subject has been mostly retrospective analysis of data in institutional setup and the current consensus is derived from these institutional data. Studies in India are limited. The geographical and racial factors and the genetics of the tumour can only be evaluated from larger studies. This can be overcome by institutional collaboration and undertaking multicentric studies, which may be helpful in formulation of specific guidelines for the treatment of these tumours.
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