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BACKGROUND
Accurate diagnosis of gastric neoplasm is challenging and demands thorough histopathological evaluation. This study laid emphasis on GIST cases and their pattern of immunoreactivity with CD-117 and DOG-1, as on many occasions they are misdiagnosed.

Aims and Objectives- To study histopathological features of various gastric tumours and compare the pattern of immunoreactivity of GIST cases with CD-117 vis-a-vis DOG-1.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
A study of patients with gastric tumours was conducted in a tertiary care hospital including careful examination of haematoxylin and eosin stained sections. Immunohistochemistry was performed for markers DOG-1 and CD-117 in cases diagnosed as GIST.

Statistical analysis used- Tables and diagrams depicting data were prepared and analysed using SPSS version 20.0. Categorical variables were expressed as number of patients and percentage of patients and compared using Pearson’s Chi-Square test for independence of attributes. An alpha level of 5% has been taken, i.e. if any p-value is less than 0.05 it has been considered as significant.

Settings and Design- It was a descriptive type of study, conducted for a period of two years.

RESULTS
Gastric tumours are common in age group of 51 - 60 years and in males. Among 75 cases, 54 cases were located at body of stomach. Predominantly, ulcerative growth pattern was observed. 16 cases were suspected to be GIST histopathologically. 44 moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma, 1 poorly differentiated neuroendocrine carcinoma, 5 infiltrating poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma and 9 well differentiated adenocarcinoma. Mostly advanced stage, pT2N1M0 (42.6%) cases were detected. 16 cases were differentially diagnosed as GIST.

CONCLUSION
Accurate diagnosis of gastric tumours demands thorough histopathological evaluation. DOG-1 has overall superior and crisply localised staining pattern than CD-117.
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ABSTRACT
Histopathology of gastric neoplasms are often challenging, and must be thoroughly evaluated to ascertain an accurate diagnosis regarding the type and aggressiveness of the tumours. This study emphasis was laid on cases of Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumours (GIST), as on many occasions they are misdiagnosed as gastrointestinal leiomyomas, leiomyosarcomas, neurofibromas or schwannomas. Although, CD-117 is generally used as the key diagnostic immunohistochemical (IHC) marker for GIST, a tumour that possess wide spectrum of biologic potential at all sites of their occurrence, yet 4% - 15% of GISTs are CD-117 negative or weakly positive.[1,2,3,4] It has been found that DOG-1 protein is selectively expressed in GISTs, its gene is localised on chromosome 11 (11q13).[5,6] It has a high rate of protein expression (94% - 96%) in cells of GIST, but usually not found to be expressed in other tissues.[7,8,9] This study was aimed to thoroughly evaluate histopathological features of various gastric tumours and also to compare the pattern of immunoreactivity of GIST cases with CD-117 vis-a-vis DOG-1.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
The study of patients with gastric tumours attending a tertiary care hospital was conducted in the Department of Pathology in collaboration with Department of Surgery for a period of two years (September 2014 to August 2016). It was a descriptive type of study.

A total of 75 cases were studied. A detailed clinical history with investigations was collected from each patient using a proper data collection form. Approval from ethics committee was taken, consent from all the patients or guardian of the patient was taken, confidentiality of the findings of the patients was ensured and data was utilised purely for academic purpose.
RESULTS

A total of 75 cases were studied during the study period of two years (from September 2014 to August 2016). The age of the patient ranged from 31 years to 80 years. Gastric tumours were more common in age group of 51 - 60 years (38.7%) with a mean age of 57.69 years (Table 1). Gastric tumours are more common in males (76%) than females (24%) (Figure 1A). Out of the 75 cases included in our study, 54 cases (72%) had gastric tumours located at the body of the stomach (Figure 1B). Gastric neoplasms were commonly an ulcerative growth in gross appearance (50.7%) (Table 2). Out of 75 cases studied, 6 cases (8%) were of Epithelioid Cell Variant of GIST (Low Grade), 1 case (1.3%) was suspected to be Fibromatosis or Spindle Cell Variant of GIST (Low Grade), 5 cases (6.7%) were of Infiltrating Poorly Differentiated Adenocarcinoma, 3 cases (4%) were suspected to be Leiomyoma or Spindle Cell Variant of GIST (Low Grade), 44 cases (54.7%) were Moderately Differentiated Gastric Adenocarcinoma, 1 case (1.3%) was of Poorly Differentiated Neuroendocrine Carcinoma, 2 cases (2.7%) were of Spindle Cell Variant of GIST (High Grade- Mitosis > 5/50 Hpf), 4 cases (5.3%) were of Spindle Cell Variant of GIST (Low Grade) and 9 cases (12%) were of well differentiated Gastric Adenocarcinoma.

Among 75 cases studied, 15 cases (20%) present at pT2N0Mx, 32 cases (42.6%) at pT2N1Mx, 5 cases (6.7%) at pT2N2M0, 18 cases (24%) at pT2N2Mx, 1 case (1.3%) at pT2N3Mx, 2 cases (2.7%) at pT3N0Mx and 2 cases (2.7%) at pT3N2Mx. Out of the 75 cases studied, 16 were suspected cases of gastrointestinal stromal tumours (GIST) on light microscopy. They were further evaluated by immunohistochemical analysis using markers CD-117 and DOG-1. Out of 16 GIST cases, 10 cases were positive for both CD-117 and DOG-1. 3 cases were negative for both CD-117 and DOG-1. 1 case was positive for CD-117, but negative for DOG-1. 2 cases were negative for CD-117, but positive for DOG-1. These associations can be corroborated with Table 3. The p-value was 0.029 (significant).

Various relevant findings of this study have also been depicted through images (Figure 2, 3 and 4).
In this study, gastric tumours were found to be more common in males (76%) than females (24%). Throughout the world, gastric neoplasms are observed to be a disease of the elderly population, predominantly in men.[10,11]

According to this study, gastric tumours were more common in age group of 51 to 60 years (38.7%). There was a spectrum of median age incidence outlined in various studies conducted in different parts of the world. In the western world, it was 71 years in the USA.[12] In Asian countries, mean ages in different countries were low. For example, in Japan it was 61 years, in Pakistan 48 +/- 4.47 years and in Saudi Arabia 47 years.[12,13,14] In this study, the mean age was 57.69 years, which was near similar to the study done in Japan. In Mizoram, male-to-female was 2.3:1,[15] in Saudi Arabia 2.2:1,[13] and in Pakistan 1.5:1.[14] All these show preponderance of gastric neoplasms in elderly male population, which is similar to the findings of our study.

Out of the 75 cases included in the study, 54 cases (72%) had gastric tumours located at the body of the stomach and they were commonly an ulcerated growth in gross appearance (50.7% cases). In the western world according to various studies, there is progressive increase in proximal stomach cancer and concomitant decline in distal stomach cancer.[16,17] Although, reports from Asian countries were discordant. Japanese and Korean population had preponderance of non-cardia cancer; however, an Iranian study revealed the predominance of cardia cancer.[12]

Recently, a report from Kerala in India showed that although predominant site of cancer was antral mucosa, yet the trend was towards proximal shift.[18] Cherian et al revealed no change in site specificity of carcinoma of stomach in South Indian population.[18] Again Qurieshi et al revealed incidences of cancer in proximal, mid and distal stomach to be 42%, 6.2% and 45.7% respectively in the Kashmiri population.[19] Afriidi et al in their study found growth at cardiac end in 33%, pylorus and antrum in 40%, limitis plastica in 13.3% and only body and body and pylorus in 6.7% of patients.[14] Qurieshi et al reported 35.5% ulcer-o-proliferative, 26% proliferative, 31% ulcerative and 7.4% infiltrative lesions during endoscopy performed in Kashmiri patients.[19] Though, in this study, ulcerative growth was the predominant pattern (50.7%) followed by ulcer-o-proliferative pattern of growth (28%).

In this study, most common histopathological diagnosis was moderately differentiated gastric adenocarcinoma (58.7%). Similar to this study, Peghini et al showed 88% of cases with adenocarcinoma and 7% of cases with lymphoma, thus representing more prevalence of adenocarcinoma than other types of gastric neoplasms.[20] In this study, highest frequency of cases presented at an advanced stage, that is pT2N1Mx (42.6% cases). Stomach cancers are commonly diagnosed in symptomatic patients with advanced disease.[21] Early asymptomatic tumours are detected predominantly in countries following a screening policy such as Japan.[21]

In this study, 16 cases of gastric tumours which were differentially diagnosed as GISTs or leiomyoma or fibromatosis on light microscopy and were further evaluated by immunohistochemistry by using markers CD-117 and DOG-1. Out of these 16 cases, 10 cases which stained positively for both CD-117 and DOG-1, 2 cases which stained positively for DOG-1, but were negative for CD-117 were considered as GIST; as according to a study by Espinosa et al among GISTs bearing PDGFRA mutations 79% stained with DOG-1, 9% with CD-117 and 27% with CD-34.[19] Review of the literature reveals that about one-third of patients who possess PDGFRA mutations, fail to stain with CD-117.[18] Thus, these 2 cases of GIST may have been misdiagnosed to be cases of leiomyoma. 1 case which was differentially diagnosed as fibromatosis or spindle cell variant of GIST (Low Grade) stained positively for CD-117, but negatively for DOG-1, was finally considered as a case of fibromatosis. Immunohistochemically, CD-17 is the protein product of C-Kit gene with a rate of protein expression being 80% - 100% in GIST, but occasionally expressed in non-GIST cells.[22] Thus, this case would have been misdiagnosed as a case of GIST. There were 3 cases, which were differentially diagnosed to be cases of leiomyoma or spindle cell variant of GIST (Low Grade), stained negatively for both CD-117 and DOG-1. These cases were considered as gastric leiomyoma.

Dei Tos et al observed DOG-1 expression in specimens acquired from 139 GIST patients and 438 non-GIST patients and reported that the sensitivity of DOG-1 expression in assessing GIST was up to 97.84%; concurrently, they also observed positive DOG-1 expression in CD-117- negative patients, recommending that a judicious combination of DOG1 and CD-117 may be more beneficial for diagnosis of
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