

A RETROSPECTIVE STUDY OF SUPRACOSTAL PUNCTURE IN PCNL FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF RENAL CALCULUS: FIVE YEAR EXPERIENCEManish Gupta¹, H. L. Gupta², Shameer Deen³, T. C. Sadasukhi⁴**HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE:**

Manish Gupta, H. L. Gupta, Shameer Deen, T. C. Sadasukhi. "A Retrospective Study of Supracostal Puncture in Pcnl for the Management of Renal Calculus: Five Year Experience". Journal of Evolution of Medical and Dental Sciences 2015; Vol. 4, Issue 82, October 12; Page: 14401-14408, DOI: 10.14260/jemds/2015/2048

ABSTRACT: Percutaneous nephrolithotomy has become the cornerstone and one of several procedures developed over the past three decades, marking a positive trend of minimally invasive procedures in modern urology practices. The planning, patient selection and an effective perioperative protocol are crucial for the outcome of PCNL. Although standardized techniques established for the management of renal and upper ureteric calculus is widely used and accepted, we present a study of 500 cases of PCNL by supracostal puncture and its consequences and study the efficacy and safety of a supracostal approach. **Objective:** To evaluate a supracostal approach for percutaneous nephrolithotomy (s-PCNL) of renal calculus disease, through a retrospective approach and review the efficacy and safety of procedure in comparison to previous studies. **Patients and Duration:** The retrospective study was conducted by the Dept. of Urology, Mahatma Gandhi Medical College and Hospital, Jaipur. We reviewed the medical records of 500 patients who underwent PCNL by a supracostal access for renal calculus disease from March 2010 to March 2015. **METHOD:** Patients with stones of various sizes and characteristics in the kidney and upper ureter were included in the study. Patients were studied for safety of the supracostal approach and its associated complications, including operative time and success rate. Mean age of inclusion was 18 to 65 years. **RESULTS:** There were 500 patients with renal calculus disease. Among them 346(69.2%) were males and 154 (30.8%) females. Calculus were noted in the upper calyx in 157(31.4%), 55(11%) in the middle calyx, 121(24.2%) in the renal pelvis, 47(9.4%) staghorn and partial staghorn calculus, 8(1.6%) in the lower calyx and 112(22.4%) in the upper ureter. Mean age of the patients was 40 years. Estimated time of each procedure was 60–90mins in 162 patients, 90–140mins in 286 patients and more than 140 minutes in 52 patients. Hemothorax developed in 1 patient and was managed by chest drain insertion. **CONCLUSION:** The present study being retrospective has revealed that supra costal access for management of renal calculus disease is a positive step towards the on-going development and refinement of an already standardized procedure. It avoids solid organ injury at the risk of injury to the pleura and lungs, which are relatively low and can be managed if the procedure is performed under careful guidance; it has reasonably well stone free rates.

KEYWORDS: Supracostal, s-PCNL, Complications.

INTRODUCTION: Percutaneous endourology is based on accessing the kidney and upper urinary tract by needle or a guide wire access, giving the surgeon a straightforward route to the kidney either for drainage or for facilitation of antegrade intrarenal or ureteral endoscopic procedures and allowing for a bloodless procedure.

The first nephrostomy was performed in the year 1865 by Dr. Thomas Hiller, but none followed his examples until 1955 when Goodwin and associates reported performing 16 nephrostomies¹, followed by Fernstrom and Johansson in 1976 who were the first to perform the procedure to extract a calculus through a percutaneous tract.²

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Percutaneous access has been indicated in procedures like Nephrostomy catheter drainage, Antegrade ureteral stenting, Treatment of ureteral strictures, Percutaneous endopyelotomy, Percutaneous endopyeloplasty, Percutaneous nephrolithotomy, Miniature percutaneous nephrolithotomy (Mini-Perc), Tubeless percutaneous nephrolithotomy, Perfusion chemolysis to dissolve and clear certain renal stones and Endoscopic resection and treatment of upper urinary tract urothelial tumors.

Percutaneous nephrolithotomy (PCNL) is the preferred treatment for large (>2 cm) renal or staghorn renal stones,³ and access into the collecting system is safest when using a direct puncture through the overlying renal parenchyma into the fornix of the intended calyx, to avoid major blood vessels.⁴ Direct entry into an infundibulum risks injury to one of the interlobar vessels or segmental branches of the renal artery, resulting in significant haemorrhage.⁴ Inadvertent puncture of an anterior calyx results in more parenchyma being traversed, increasing the risk of bleeding, and makes it more difficult to access the renal pelvis or other portions of the collecting system. The urologist's selection of the optimum tract based on the intrarenal anatomy and the ability to make secondary tracts as required,⁵ permit more effective stone removal.

The superior calyceal approach is considered ideal for approaching the renal system when managing staghorn stones, complex upper and lower calyceal calculi, proximal ureteric calculi, and calculi associated with primary pelvi-ureteric junction obstruction.^{6,7,8}

PATIENTS AND METHODS: We reviewed the medical records of 500 patients', median age of 40 years, admitted to the department of urology for the treatment of renal calculus disease from March 2010 to March 2015 in Mahatma Gandhi Medical College and Hospital, Jaipur.

Patients presented to the outpatient clinic with clinical features suggestive of renal calculus disease underwent ultrasonography and X-ray KUB as preliminary diagnostic modalities along with a urine routine. They were considered eligible if stones identified were larger than 7mm in the kidney and upper ureter. Patients were subjected to an Intravenous Pyelogram before scheduling PCNL and those who showed marked hydronephrosis underwent percutaneous drainage i.e. nephrostomy or ureteral stenting and were reviewed after 2 weeks with a repeat plain radiograph KUB and ultrasonography and planned for definitive PCNL after resolution. Patients with low creatinine clearance levels were first hydrated and managed conservatively or by decompression procedures and/or haemodialysis so PCNL could be scheduled.

Inclusion Criteria: Were patients from the age of 18 to 65 years, who had recurrent pain, haematuria.

Exclusion Criteria: Comprised of patients who had untreated urinary tract infections and bleeding tendency.

The patients were subjected to a complete pre-operative work up as per protocol i.e. history taking and general physical examination to identify any anatomical disorders or congenital anomalies. Complete blood count, urine analysis, urine for culture and sensitivity, coagulation profiles, electrolyte and renal function tests were conducted along with X-Ray KUB, IVP or Non-enhanced CT were performed.

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Procedure: Operative intervention included administration of an antibiotic pre-operatively and the patient sent to the operating room and placed in a supine position for inducing general anaesthesia and placement of U-Cath, the patient was then placed in prone position for commencement of s-PCNL.

After antiseptic cleansing, adhesive disposable drapes with collecting pockets were used to capture the irrigation fluid. All PCNL's were performed by biplanar fluoroscopy with a rotating C-Arm. Intrarenal anatomy, stone configuration were studied and the desired upper calyx was punctured by supracostal via the 11th intercostal space and guide wires were navigated through the collecting system and duly fixed and tract dilatation was pursued. After securing renal access, tract dilatation and placing the working sheath, a rigid nephroscope was inserted under direct vision and Nephroscopy performed under video endoscopic monitoring. Irrigation fluid (0.9% normal saline, warmed to room temperature), was used. Stone fragmentation was performed by pneumatic lithotripter, and fluoroscopy with contrast nephrogram was performed to obtain a stone free status. The puncture was examined for any overt/active bleeding after stone clearance, a double J stent was placed and guide wire was removed. Nephrostomy tube sized 22Fr was then placed via the dilated puncture and clamped until the first postoperative day.

Stone Location	Patients	Percentage	Puncture Used
Upper Calyx	157	31.4%	Supra costal
Middle Calyx	55	11%	
Renal pelvis	121	24.2%	
Staghorn / Partial Staghorn Calculus	47	9.4%	
Lower Calyx	8	1.6%	
Upper ureter	112	22.4%	

Table 1: Stone Status: Location

Majority of patients were managed in a single stage procedure, supracostal approach was considered as the choice of puncture through the intercostal space between the 11th and 12th rib. As the needle was guided through the middle of the intercostal space, thus avoiding the intercostal vessels and nerves, on full expiration the puncture was made and further tract dilatation was done.

All cases were managed as inpatients and those who had an uneventful post-operative course were discharged on the third post op day and reviewed for outpatient cystoscopy along with an X-Ray KUB for DJ stent removal after three weeks.

Intraoperative complications like migrating or lost stone, excessive bleeding were monitored and causes of failure of the procedure were duly documented.

Endpoint of study was considered when the patients were reviewed 2 months after the procedure along with a plain radiograph KUB, who had no or insignificant residual stones. Other forms of upper tract imaging were not done except when there were complications.

RESULTS: There were 500 patients with renal calculus disease. Among them 346(69.2%) were males and 154(30.8%) females. Calculus were noted in the upper calyx in 157(31.4%), 55(11%) in the middle calyx, 121(24.2%) in the renal pelvis, 47(9.4%) staghorn and partial staghorn calculus, 8(1.6%) in the lower calyx and 112(22.4%) in the upper ureter. Mean age of the patients was 40 years. The size of the stone in the treated patients was in the range 9-40 mm.

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Size of Stone	No. of Patients	Success Rates
< 1cm	117	117(100%)
1-2 cms	143	137(95.80%)
2-3 cms	123	112(91.05%)
3-4 cms	70	65(92.85%)
Staghorn / Partial Staghorn Calculus	47	41(87.23%)
Total	500	472(94.4 %)

Table 2: Stone Status: Size of stone and success rates in stone clearance

Factors		Remarks
Operative Duration		
60 – 90 mins	162	32.4%
90 – 140 mins	286	57.2%
140 – 180 mins	52	10.4%
Gender		
Male	346	69.2%
Female	154	30.8%
Ratio		
Access		
One	324	64.8%
Two	122	24.4%
Three	54	10.8%
Previous PCNL, Surgery		
Yes	38	7.6%
No	462	92.4%

Table 3: Analysis of factors affecting complications after s-PCNL

Causes	Number	Procedure Done
Stone fragment migration	13	Redo PCNL after 5-7 days
Bleeding	15	Blood transfusion, supportive care. (Selective angio embolization in 3 cases)

Table 4: Causes of Failure and accessory procedure done

	Number	Rate %
Intraoperative		
Minor renal parenchymal Injury	30	6%
Renal Capsule Rupture	7	1.4%
Significant bleeding	15	3%
Renal collecting system Injury	15	3%
Early Post-operative		
Fever / Urosepsis	17	3.4%

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Persistent Haematuria	7	1.4%
Flank Pain	18	3.6%
Pleural Effusion	3	0.6%
Hemothorax	1	0.2%

Table 5: Complications among 500 s-PCNL procedures

The supracostal puncture and access for PCNL is safe and effective; Access to the calyx was non traumatic and manipulation of the nephroscope through dilated tract was easy and free from major complications. Access was gained with ease to all calyces and the upper ureter also. There was no solid organ injury and hemothorax was noted in only 1 (0.2%) patients. Pleural effusion developed in 3 patients and was conservatively managed and resolved during follow-up. Minor renal parenchymal injury was noted in 30 (6%) patients, capsule rupture and collecting system injury was seen in 15 (3%) patients, which was managed conservatively. Blood and blood product transfusion was done in 78 (15.6%) patients. We placed double J stents in most patients as per our protocol; there were no major complications in follow up patients.

Estimated time of each procedure was 60–90mins in 162 patients, 90–140mins in 286 patients and more than 140 minutes in 52 patients. Though patients under prolonged general anaesthesia have electrolyte imbalances and paralytic ileus, they were conservatively managed with no further complications.

Access was gained through supracostal puncture in all patients initially, but difficulty in stone extraction, impacted stones and anatomically difficult entities like position of kidney, multiple small calculi in different calyces, staghorn calculus were reasons for acquiring another puncture site in 76 patients.

Post operatively fever was present in 17 patients, and was managed with appropriate antibiotics after obtaining a urine culture and sensitively report. Persistent haematuria in 7 patients and was managed by blood transfusion and supportive care, 3 patients required selective angio infarction.

DISCUSSION: The kidneys lie in the retroperitoneum, although a significant portion of each is actually supracostal. The longitudinal axis of each kidney is oblique and dorsally inclined, making the upper pole calyces more medial and posterior than the inferior pole.⁹ The posterior calyces of the kidney are at a 30° oblique angle to the vertical plane when the patient is prone. The upper or lower pole calyces are offset by 10° in the cranial or caudal plane, respectively. In many instances the upper-pole puncture is the most appropriate calyx to work in, especially for complete staghorn calculi or when direct access to the PUJ is desired.^{10, 11}

All supracostal punctures would traverse the diaphragm; Although harmless, this can be a source of intense pain after the procedure.¹² Pain is reduced by either using a smaller nephrostomy tube or by injecting the puncture site with an anaesthetic agent like bupivacaine, but it was not considered as part of our protocol in this study.

Bleeding is considered as one of the most commonly occurring complications in previous studies. Sampaio et al.⁴ reported injury to an interlobar vessel in two thirds of kidneys on puncturing the upperpole infundibulum, while only 13% of kidneys had an arterial injury when accessed through

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

the lowerpole infundibulum. However, when the puncture was through the centre of the calyceal papilla they detected no arterial lesions.

Assessing a stone free status is variable and mostly subjective even though being considered a very important prognostic factor in previous studies, but due to use of several imaging techniques and poor patient follow up it is often missed. Golijanin et al.¹² reported a retrospective study of 104 patients who underwent 115 SPCNL to treat 102 complete staghorn calculi, six large semistaghorn calculi, three large upper calyceal stones and four significant volumes of residual stone fragments after ESWL. Additional renal access was required mainly for complete staghorn stones in 23(20%) patients. ESWL was needed to treat residual stones in 30.4% and a second look PCNL was needed in 15.6%. The stone-free rate after PCNL was 67.8%; whereas after PCNL followed by ESWL and a second look PCNL, or both, the stone free rate increased to 87%.

Study	Number of Patients	Multiple Access	Stone free rate
Present Study	500	176 (35.2)	472(94.4)
P.N. Maheshwari and associates	428	326 (32)	Not defined
D. Golijanin and	115	23 (20)	78(67.8)
R. Munver and associates	98	Not defined	Not defined
N.S. Kekre and associates	102	Not defined	81(79.5)
A.R. ElNahas and associates	103	42(40.7)	54(52.5)
C. Wong and associates	35	0	33(94)
R. Gupta and associates	62	15(23.8)	57(90)
R. Yadav and associates	332	155(46)	Not defined
S. Sukumar and associates	110	9(8.2)	95(86.4)
E. Lang and associates	103	Not defined	91(88)
A. Shaban and associates	30	11(37)	110(89.4)
S.H. Mousavi Bahar and associates	123	31(25)	110(89.4)
R.J. Honey and associates	154	10(6.5)	132(89.9)
H.N. Shah and associates	144	22(15.3)	127(88.2)
J. JunOu and associates	95	0	84(87.6)
B. Lojanapiwat and associates	170	0	140(82.4)

As per the above study, the overall complication rate after SPCNL is 10–26%.¹² In our study the overall stone free rate was 94.4% by single stage supracostal percutaneous nephrolithotomy.

Hopper et al¹¹ used CT with sagittal reconstruction when patients were at both full inspiration and expiration. On expiration the needle path had a 29% chance on the right and a 14% chance on the left of transgressing the pleura, while during forced full inspiration the lung would be in the path of the needle in most patients. During expiration the lower extent of the parietal pleura crosses the 12th rib obliquely, so that the lateral part of the 12th rib lies below and laterals to the lowest limits of the pleura. Injury to the pleura can be avoided by staying above the lateral half of the 12th rib and lateral to the mid-scapular line. However, even when taking all these precautions, in a small proportion of patients the pleura can still be injured. Access through the pleural space can lead to the accumulation of fluid and cause hydrothorax, requiring the insertion of a chest tube.

In our study, one patient developed hemothorax in the left chest, patient was managed in intensive care with oxygen support, blood transfusion, antibiotic and analgesic management. Intercostal drain tube was placed through the 6th rib at the posterior axillary line and about 600ml of blood was drained, Subsequently the patient's general condition was satisfactory to proceed with the

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

scheduled protocol for post-operative PCNL management, the chest tube was removed on post-op day 10 when the air column seized to function and the patient was satisfactorily discharged on the 15th post-operative day and had no complaints during follow-up two weeks later. Gupta et al.⁸ reported 63 supracostal access procedures, with 14(22%) sustaining overall complications. Chest complications developed in seven (11%) patients, three with minimal blunting of the costo-phrenic angle, managed conservatively, while significant hydrothorax and haemothorax occurred in three and one patient, respectively, who were treated with chest drains

Maheshwari, P.N. et al.¹⁴ and colleagues studied 428 patients and concluded that the supracostal approach for PCNL was safe and effective; Access to the calyx was not traumatic and manoeuvring the nephroscope through this tract was easy and free from complications. It was possible to reach not only the calyx of entry, but also the renal pelvis, upper ureter and the lower calyx whenever indicated. No surrounding organ injury was noted in any of the patients. No patient had any major pleuro-parenchymal trauma requiring surgical intervention. Only three patients (0.6%) developed a pleural effusion; of this one patient required intercostal chest drainage for 48 hrs and the other two had clinically insignificant pleural effusion and were managed conservatively. The pleural effusion resolved during follow-up.

Hossian et al.¹⁵ and colleagues have concluded in their study that Although the morbidity is slightly higher than with a subcostal approach, this may be avoided to some extent by adhering to the basic principles of always puncturing in full expiration, sufficiently laterally to the margin of erector spinae muscle closer to the midscapular line, and always using a working sheath during nephroscopy and a well-draining nephrostomy tube after the procedure. Proper attention to the technique and intraoperative and postoperative monitoring can detect chest complications, and these can easily be managed with intercostal drainage without serious morbidity or death

CONCLUSION: The present study reveals that supra costal access for management of renal calculus disease is a positive step towards the on-going development and refinement of an already standardized procedure. It avoids solid organ injury at the risk of injury to the pleura and lungs, which are relatively low and can be managed if the procedure is performed under careful guidance, with reasonably well stone free rates.

REFERENCES:

1. Goodwin WE, Casey WC, Woolf W. Percutaneous trocar (needle) nephrostomy in hydronephrosis. *J Am Med Assoc.* 1955 Mar 12. 157(11):891-4.
2. Fernstrom I, Johansson B. Percutaneous pyelolithotomy. A new extraction technique. *Sc and J Urol Nephrol.* 1976. 10(3):257-9.
3. Ramakumar S, Segura JW. Renal calculi. Percutaneous management. *Urol Clin North Am* 2000; 617-22.
4. Sampaio FJ, Zanier JF, Aragao AH, Favorito LA. Intrarenal access: 3- dimensional anatomical study. *J Urol* 1992; 148: 1769-73.
5. Marcovich R, Smith AD. Percutaneous renal access: tips and tricks. *BJU Int* 2005; (Suppl. 2): 78-84.
6. R. Munver, F.C. Delvecchio, G.E. Newman, G.M. Preminger Critical analysis of supracostal access for percutaneous renal surgery *J Urol*, 166 (2001), pp. 1242-1246.

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

7. S.G. Stening, S. Bourne Supracostal percutaneous nephrolithotomy for upper pole calyceal calculi J Endourol, 12 (1998), pp. 359–362.
8. R. Gupta, A. Kumar, R. Kapoor, A. Srivastava, A. Mandhani Prospective evaluation of safety and efficacy of the supracostal approach for percutaneous nephrolithotomy BJU Int, 90 (2002), pp. 809–813.
9. Sampaio FJ. Renal anatomy: endourologic considerations. Urol Clin North Am 2000; 27: 585–607.
10. Park S, Pearle MS. Imaging for percutaneous renal access and management of renal calculi. Urol Clin North Am 2006; 33: 353–64.
11. Hopper KD, Sherman JL, Luethke JM, Ghaed N. The retrorenal colon in the supine and prone patient. Radiology 1987; 162: 443–6.
12. Golijanin D, Katz R, Verstandig A, Sasson T, Landau EH, Meretyk S: The supracostal percutaneous nephrostomy for treatment of staghorn and complex kidney stones. J Endourol. 1998; 12: 403-5.
13. N.S. Kekre, G.G. Gopalakrishnan, G.G. Gupta, B.N. Abraham, E. Sharma Supracostal approach in percutaneous nephrolithotomy: experience with 102 cases J Endourol, 15(2001), pp. 789–791.
14. Maheshwari, P.N., Andankar, M.G., Hegde, S. & Bansal, M. The supracostal approach for percutaneous nephrolithotomy. BJU International 2000.
15. Hossain M, Ullah ATMA, Regmi S, Rahman H, Kibria SAMG. Safety and efficacy of the supracostal access for percutaneous nephrolithotomy: Our initial experience. Bangladesh Med Res Counc Bull 2011; 37: 34-38.

AUTHORS:

1. Manish Gupta
2. H. L. Gupta
3. Shameer Deen
4. T. C. Sadasukhi

PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

1. Assistant Professor, Department of Urology, Mahatma Gandhi Medical College and Hospital, Jaipur.
2. Assistant Professor, Department of Urology, Mahatma Gandhi Medical College and Hospital, Jaipur.
3. Resident, Department of Urology, Mahatma Gandhi Medical College and Hospital, Jaipur.

FINANCIAL OR OTHER

COMPETING INTERESTS: None

4. Professor, Department of Urology, Mahatma Gandhi Medical College and Hospital, Jaipur.

NAME ADDRESS EMAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Dr. Manish Gupta,
No. 64, Department of Urology,
Mahatma Gandhi Hospital,
RIICO, Sitapura,
Jaipur.
E-mail: shameerdeen@gmail.com

Date of Submission: 21/09/2015.
Date of Peer Review: 22/09/2015.
Date of Acceptance: 03/10/2015.
Date of Publishing: 12/10/2015.