HAEMOPTYSIS- AETIOPATHOLOGICAL, RADIOLOGICAL PROFILE AND ITS OUTCOME, OUR EXPERIENCE
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BACKGROUND

Haemoptysis is a common complaint in many patients attending chest department. It can be due to a number of aetiologies, having different pathogenesis, radiological presentation and requires optimum management. This study is carried out to evaluate the aetiology, pathogenesis, its radiological profile and outcome in patients of haemoptysis in patients attending TB and Chest Department of Indira Gandhi Institute of Medical Sciences, Patna, Bihar, India.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A hospital-based retrospective observational study was done in TB and Chest Department of Indira Gandhi Institute of Medical Sciences, Patna, Bihar, India from December 2016 to February 2018. A total of 254 patient’s records were analysed. Their clinical details, radiological investigations, bronchoscopic findings and outcome were recorded as per a pre-designed proforma and analysed.

RESULTS

A total of 254 patients of haemoptysis were included in our study. Of these, 61.41% were males and 38.58% were females. Most of the patients fall into age group of 31 - 45 years, i.e. 29.13%. Most common cause of haemoptysis was tuberculosis, both active and its sequel (32.28%) followed by lung malignancy in 14.56%. Non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) was the common entity among lung malignancy, i.e. 78.37%. Lung carcinoma was more common among active smokers (23.45%). Most of the cases were having mild haemoptysis (34.25%) and were managed conservatively successfully (92.12%). Chest x-ray was done in 100% cases, computed tomography scan (CT scan) in 79.13% and bronchoscopy was done in 59.44% cases to identify the aetiology of haemoptysis. 9.44% patients died despite best available management in our institute.

CONCLUSION

Tuberculosis either active or post-TB sequel is found to be the most common aetiology of haemoptysis in this part of the world followed by lung malignancy and bronchiectasis. Most of the cases can be managed conservatively and outcome of conservative management remains better in controlling active bleed.
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Aims and Objectives
To study the aetiology, pathogenesis, radiological profile and outcome in patients of haemoptysis, in patients attending TB and Chest Department of Indira Gandhi Institute of Medical Sciences, Patna, Bihar, India.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
This was a hospital-based retrospective study done in the patients attending TB and Chest Department in Indira Gandhi Institute of Medical Sciences, Patna, Bihar with the complaint of haemoptysis from December 2016 to February 2018. Patients with haematemesis, oral mucosal bleed and otorhinolaryngological source of bleeding were excluded from the study. A total of 254 patients with true haemoptysis were included in the study. Their complete history including any blood dyscrasia, age, sex, clinical profile, smoking history, severity of haemoptysis and its frequency, drug history including use of anticoagulants, investigations done, radiological picture, 2D echocardiography reports to rule out any cardiac cause of haemoptysis, definitive diagnosis and outcome were recorded in a predesigned proforma from the records of the department and analysed.

Inclusion Criteria
Patients with true haemoptysis attending TB and Chest Department of Indira Gandhi Institute of Medical Sciences, Patna, Bihar.

Exclusion Criteria
i) Patients less than 15 years of age.
ii) Bleeding from non-respiratory source such as haematemesis, oral mucosal bleed and otorhinolaryngological bleeding.

A detailed clinical history and physical examinations were done of each patient. Smoking history present or past were recorded. Severity of haemoptysis was assessed based on amount of blood loss. Severity of haemoptysis was categorised as mild (< 30 mL), moderate (31 - 100 mL), severe (101 - 600 mL), massive haemoptysis (> 600 mL) and life threatening for haemoptysis with haemodynamic and respiratory compromise. A routine haematological examinations and coagulation profile were done. Sputum for acid fast bacilli, sputum for gene xpert, sputum culture/sensitivity and sputum fungal culture were done where indicated. Chest x-ray was done of every patient. Computed tomography scan (CT scan) thorax was done where indicated. Fiberoptic bronchoscopy was done in selected patients in whom definitive diagnosis and source of bleeding was not established. Adequate histopathological specimen was also collected during bronchoscopy. All the patients were initially managed conservatively with antitussives, bed rest along with treatment of the cause with significant success. Only patients with uncontrolled haemoptysis were subjected for operative procedures such as lobectomy/segmentectomy where indicated. Some patients who needed bronchial/pulmonary artery embolisation were referred to other centres due to lack of this facility in our institute.

Statistical Analysis
Data were analysed using statistical software Epi Info. Descriptive statistics like mean and percentages were used for the analysis.

RESULTS
254 patients attended the TB and Chest department for control of haemoptysis, of which 156 (61.41%) were males and 98 (38.58%) were females (Graph 1).

148 patients (58.26%) were having first episode of haemoptysis, whereas 96 (37.79%) were having previous episodes. In 10 patients, no data about haemoptysis history was recorded. Most of the patients (29.13%) belonged to age group 31 - 45 years (Graph 2).

Most of the patients were diagnosed of having present or past tuberculosis with 12.99% having active tuberculosis. Post tuberculosis sequel were the commonest diagnosis, i.e. in 19.29%. Lung cancer was the cause of haemoptysis in 14.56%, whereas bronchiectasis was the reason in 11.02%. Pneumonia was the cause in 8.26%. 27 patients (10.62%) remain undiagnosed (Graph 3).
37 patients were finally diagnosed with lung cancer, of which 78.37% were non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) and 21.62% were of small cell lung carcinoma (SCLC). Adenocarcinoma was the most common lung cancer identified histopathologically (51.72%) among non-small cell lung carcinoma. Around 17.24% cases of carcinoma were not categorised histologically and were marked as poorly differentiated carcinoma (Table 2).

Malignancy was most commonly diagnosed in increasing age, i.e. in > 61 years' age group. Patients presented with varying severity of haemoptysis, but most of the patients (34.25%) were having mild (< 30 mL) bleeding. 18.89% patients were having moderate (31 – 100 mL) bleed. Severe (101–600 mL) and massive (> 600 mL) bleeding were found in only 8.26% and 5.11% respectively. In around 82 patients (32.28%), severity of bleeding were not recorded. Among mild haemoptysis group, post tuberculosis sequel were the main cause of moderate bleeding in 20.83%. Severe, massive and life-threatening bleeding was most commonly associated with lung carcinoma in 61.90%, 53.84% and 33.33% respectively (Table 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity and Amount of Haemoptysis (n=254) %</th>
<th>Common Aetiology</th>
<th>Nos.</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild (&lt; 30 mL) (n=87) 34.25%</td>
<td>Pulmonary tuberculosis sequel</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>24.13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pulmonary tuberculosis</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19.54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bronchiectasis</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12.64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lung carcinoma</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10.34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Others</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Others</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>25.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate (31–100 mL) (n=48) 18.89%</td>
<td>Pulmonary tuberculosis sequel</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pulmonary tuberculosis</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>18.75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bronchiectasis</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16.66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lung carcinoma</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14.58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Others</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>29.16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe (101 – 600 mL) (n=21) 8.26%</td>
<td>Lung carcinoma</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>61.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pulmonary tuberculosis sequel</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>23.80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bronchiectasis</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massive (&gt; 600 mL) (n=13) 5.11%</td>
<td>Lung carcinoma</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>53.84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bronchiectasis</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30.76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pulmonary tuberculosis sequel</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15.38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life Threatening (n=3) 1.18%</td>
<td>Lung carcinoma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Congenital pulmonary vascular malformation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bronchiectasis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity Unknown (n=82) 32.28%</td>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17.07%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pulmonary tuberculosis sequel</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15.85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tracheobronchitis</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13.41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pulmonary tuberculosis</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Others</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>46.34%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Haemoptysis- Common Aetiology according to Severity of Haemoptysis

Table 3. Distribution of Lung Cancer (n = 37) as a cause of Haemoptysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-small cell lung carcinoma subtypes n = 29 (78.37%)</th>
<th>Squamous cell carcinoma</th>
<th>Oesophageal cancer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>15 (51.72%)</td>
<td>1 (3.44%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large cell carcinoma</td>
<td>1 (3.44%)</td>
<td>5 (17.24%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poorly differentiated carcinoma</td>
<td>8 (27.58%)</td>
<td>8 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 1. Smoking History with its common Aetiological association with Haemoptysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Smoker</th>
<th>Number (n=81) 31.88%</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary tuberculosis</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung carcinoma</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>23.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary tuberculosis sequel</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11.11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchiectasis</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9.87%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Former Smoker</th>
<th>Number (n=68) 26.77%</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary tuberculosis sequel</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>33.82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung carcinoma</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>17.64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary tuberculosis</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchiectasis</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10.29%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-smoker</th>
<th>Number (n=105) 41.33%</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary tuberculosis sequel</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16.19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchiectasis</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12.38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary tuberculosis</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8.57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung carcinoma</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.71%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Graph 3. Aetiology of haemoptysis

- Post lobectomy syndrome (2), Benign endobronchial mass (2), Silicosis (2), Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (2), Mitral stenosis (2), Congenital pulmonary vascular malformation (2), Arteriovenous fistula (1), Congenital lung malformation (1).

- 31.88% patients were current smokers with lung cancer being the most common diagnosis in them, i.e. 23.45%. 26.77% were former smokers who left smoking 1 year back with post tuberculosis sequel being the most common diagnosis in that group, i.e. 33.82%. Most of the patients were non-smokers 41.33% with pulmonary tuberculosis sequel being the most common diagnosis in that group, i.e. in 16.19% (Table 1).
A number of methods were used for diagnosing the cause of haemoptysis. Chest x-ray was done in almost all patients (100%) with 55.11% positive outcome. 39 chest x-rays were apparently normal. Among them tracheobronchitis, bronchiectasis and pulmonary thromboembolism were the final diagnoses on further workup. Fibrocavitary disease, infiltration and mass/consolidation was the most common findings on chest x-ray, which was later confirmed by computed tomography (CT) scan thorax. In patients where diagnosis remain doubtful were subjected for computed tomography scan (CT scan) thorax, i.e. in 79.13% with 80.59% positive outcome. Most of the CT scan showed fibrocavitary, bronchiectatic or mass/consolidation and ground glass opacity. Tracheobronchitis was diagnosed in 9 patients having normal CT scan. Bronchoscopy was done where indicated in 59.44% with 54.96% positive outcome. Fiber optic bronchoscopy was successful in locating the source of bleeding in 46 (30.46%) patients, while in 39 (25.82%) no intrabronchial lesion was identified. Some radiologically unidentified lung lesion required computed tomography (CT)/ultrasound (USG) guided fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC)/trucut biopsy in 10.62% with 59.25% positive outcome. Sputum for acid fast bacilli (AFB) smear/gene xpert was done to diagnose activity in suspected cases of tuberculosis in around 70.47% with 18.43% cases were successfully diagnosed with tuberculosis. Sputum for malignant cytology is a simple, non-invasive and economical diagnostic test for diagnosing lung malignancy. It was done in 15.74% of patients with suspected malignancy with 12.5% cases showing malignant cells in sputum (Graph 4).

Most of the cases were managed conservatively (in 92.12%) with medical management, antitussives, haemostatic agents like tranexamic acids along with conservative management was used most commonly in pulmonary tuberculosis and its sequel 14.10% and 20.08% respectively. Around 13.24% of lung cancer patients were also managed conservatively. 170 (66.92%) patients were managed on an outpatient basis, 63 (24.8%) patients were admitted for haemoptysis control and 21 (8.26%) patients required ICU care. Surgical treatment was used when medical management failed and was used most commonly in fungal ball (33.33%), in lung abscess (26.66%) and in some cases of focal bronchiectasis restricted to some lobe or segment, i.e. in 13.33% in the form of lobectomy/segmentectomy. Flexible fiberoptic bronchoscopy was used to control bleeding (in 3.14%) in cases of foreign body which was also removed subsequently and in 2 cases of lung cancer with cold saline lavage and local instillation of vasoconstrictor, both cases relapsed with haemoptysis few days after the procedure. Rigid bronchoscopy was not done in any patient because of unavailability of the instrument. Two cases of lung cancer having uncontrolled bleeding were managed with radiation therapy. Since facility for bronchial artery embolisation is not available in our institute 16 (6.29%) patients were referred to another centre for this procedure. Conservative/medical management was highly successful in managing haemoptysis in almost 92.3%. Surgical treatment was used in 15 patients with 86.66% success rate. Bronchoscopy was successful in controlling bleeding in 5 out of 8 patients. Radiation therapy was effective in controlling haemoptysis in 1 out of 2 patients (Table 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment Offered</th>
<th>Number (%)</th>
<th>Main Disease</th>
<th>Number (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conservative/ Medical Treatment</td>
<td>234 (92.12%)</td>
<td>Pulmonary tuberculosis</td>
<td>33 (14.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pulmonary tuberculosis sequel</td>
<td>47 (20.08%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bronchiectasis</td>
<td>23 (9.82%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lung cancer</td>
<td>31 (13.24%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical Treatment</td>
<td>15 (5.9%)</td>
<td>Fungal ball</td>
<td>5 (33.33%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lung abscess</td>
<td>4 (26.66%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bronchiectasis</td>
<td>2 (13.33%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchoscopic Control of Bleeding</td>
<td>8 (3.14%)</td>
<td>Foreign body</td>
<td>3 (37.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lung cancer</td>
<td>2 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation Therapy</td>
<td>2 (0.78%)</td>
<td>Lung cancer</td>
<td>2 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Table 4. Treatment Offered for Control of Haemoptysis (n=254) of Common Aetiology |

7.69% patients died who were managed conservatively, 13.33% died who were managed surgically, 37.5% in patients where bronchoscopy was done to control bleeding and 1 patient (50%) died out of 2 where radiotherapy was given. The main diagnosis in patients who died was of lung carcinoma, bronchiectasis and tuberculosis. Data about outcome of bronchial artery embolisation done in patients were not available in the record, as patients were referred to another centre for this procedure. Most of the patient referred were of post tuberculosis sequel, bronchiectasis, lung cancer and pulmonary vascular malformation (Graph 5).
DISCUSSION

A total of 254 patients with haemoptysis were included in the study with males (61.41%) outnumbering females. Majority of patients were of age group 31 - 45 years (29.13%), probably due to increased incidence of tuberculosis in this age group. There were multiple causes of haemoptysis in patients attending TB and chest department of this institute but tuberculosis, both active and its sequel remain the leading cause of haemoptysis in this region. Initial study by Rao from India in 1960 declared tuberculosis to be the leading cause of haemoptysis.10 The scenario is still the same in our country. Our study also showed the same result with tuberculosis and it remains the most common cause of haemoptysis with 32.28% occurrence (both active and post-TB sequel) as in other recently reported studies from India.10-13 Lung cancer was the second most common cause of haemoptysis (14.56%) in our study. Incidence of malignancy regarding epidemiology of haemoptysis in developed countries ranges from 5% to 44%,14,15-18 Indian studies do not report such high incidence of lung malignancy as cause of haemoptysis (0% - 6.6%).10-12,19-21 In the present study malignancy was the reason for active haemoptysis in 14.56% patients, which was slightly higher than other studies probably due to either increased lung cancer incidence in this reason or consolidation of cases to this centre as this is a tertiary care institute. Non-small cell lung carcinoma was the predominant type of lung malignancy (78.37%). Among malignancies in most series, squamous cell carcinoma is the main responsible for haemoptysis.6 However, adenocarcinoma is the most common histological diagnosis (51.72%) among non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) in our study followed by squamous cell carcinoma (27.58%). The reason behind increased adenocarcinoma incidence may be the increased prevalence of adenocarcinoma as compared to squamous cell carcinoma these days.22,23 No histological diagnosis could be made in 17.24% of carcinoma cases and was identified as poorly differentiated carcinoma. Malignancy was more common in advanced age group of above 60 years in accordance with reviewed literature.4 Man surpassing women in cases of malignancy may be due to increased smoking habits among them. Smoking was the predominant cause of haemoptysis due to occurrence of malignancy (23.45%) as compared to former smoker, where post tuberculosis sequel was the predominant cause (33.82%). Lung malignancy occurrence gradually waned from smoker to former smoker (17.64%) to non-smoker (5.71%). Pulmonary tuberculosis also were more common in smokers and former smokers than non-smokers. Some recent studies actually have shown an association between smoking and the risk of developing tuberculosis.24,25

In the present study, community-acquired pneumonia (bacterial) was diagnosed as a cause of haemoptysis in 8.26%. Different studies from India have shown that haemoptysis due to community acquired pneumonia to be ranging from 1.7% to 25.5%.10-12

Among grading of severity, most of the patients presented with scanty haemoptysis (< 30 ml) 34.25% with pulmonary tuberculosis and its sequel being the predominant cause (43.67%) combining them. The incidence of severe, massive and life-threatening haemoptysis were relatively low 8.26%, 5.11% and 1.18% respectively with lung carcinoma being the predominant cause among them. Massive haemoptysis comprise of 5% - 23% of all haemoptysis in most series and their mortality rate ranges from 23% - 75%.5,27

Chest x-ray, chest computed tomography scan (CT scan) and bronchoscopy are the basic diagnostic tests in finding the aetiology of haemoptysis.7,9,28,29 Chest x-ray is economical and should be done in all patients, as it provides basic diagnostic clue in most of the patients. However, some patients remaining undiagnosed need computed tomography scan (CT scan) thorax for better diagnostic accuracy and this combined with bronchoscopy not only adds in providing vital information but also best diagnostic results. Many recent studies on the diagnostic usefulness of diagnostic tests suggest the performance of chest x-ray and chest computed tomography (CT) scan in almost all patients with haemoptysis and bronchoscopy if risk factors are suggestive for lung malignancy, as for example smoking history.7,29

Sputum for acid fast bacilli smear / GeneXpert and sputum for malignant cytology are simple and economical test with fair outcome and is advisable in suspected patients as done in our study.

Computed tomography scan thorax could not be performed in all patients (79.13%) due to financial constraints, but it had a better positive outcome of 80.59% as compared to chest x-ray (55.11%) and bronchoscopy (54.96%). In fact combined use of computed tomography scan thorax (CT scan) with bronchoscopy provides better diagnostic yield than either diagnostic methods alone.

According to the experience of many authors,5,8 majority of haemoptysis can be controlled through conservative measures. This stands true in our study, also with 92.3% success rate.

Mortality in our patients was similar as compared to other studies from India, which ranges from 8.2% to 18.8%.30,12 Bronchial artery embolisation is a very effective procedure for controlling ongoing bleeding not controlled by conservative means. This is a concerning in our study, as we could not offer this procedure to our patients due to lack of this facility in our institutes. Patients needing this procedure were referred to another centre.

CONCLUSION

Most common cause of haemoptysis in our region appears to be tuberculosis, both active and its sequel followed by lung malignancy. Active case finding and its appropriate management is needed that can reduce the incidence of haemoptysis. Besides this, proper awareness among masses about smoking prevention is also important. Computed
tomography (CT) scan and bronchoscopy are important 
tools in the identification of the aetiology of haemoptysis. Most 
of the cases can be managed conservatively; however, some 
cases may need either bronchial artery embolisation, surgical 
treatment or bronchoscopic control of bleeding.
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