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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND
Solitary Fibrous Tumour (SFT) is an uncommon mesenchymal neoplasm of fibroblastic type arising anywhere in the body. Classic SFTs show neoplastic cells arranged in a pattern less architecture with alternating hypo and hypercellular areas and prominent branching vasculature. Traditionally, CD-34 expression is the most consistent finding in SFTs, however this expression is also common in other tumours that may mimic SFT. Recently, a recurrent gene fusion NAB2-STAT6 has been identified as molecular hallmark of SFT. Molecular detection of the fusion gene and immunohistochemical expression of nuclear STAT6 can be helpful in diagnosing SFT.

Aim- To study the expression of STAT6 in SFT.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
23 cases of SFT diagnosed during a 2-year period. STAT6 immunohistochemistry was performed on 18 SFT cases and also on 8 possible mimickers of SFT, which were taken as the (negative) control.

RESULTS
Nuclear STAT6 positivity was present in 18/18 (100%) cases of SFT, which were usually diffuse (4+ in 16 cases, 3+ in 1 case and 1+ in 1 case and intensity was strong in 15 cases, moderate in 2 cases and weak in 1 case). All other tumour types tested were negative for STAT6. CD-34 was done in all cases at initial diagnosis. 16/18 cases (88%) were CD-34 positive. 2 cases (12%) which were CD-34 negative were STAT6 positive. CD-99 and Bcl-2 were positive in most of the cases.

CONCLUSION
Strong nuclear STAT6 expression is largely specific for SFT and is helpful in distinguishing it from histologic mimics.
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histopathology slides and other immunohistochemical markers, which were done previously in those cases like CD-34, Bcl2 and CD-99.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This is a descriptive study of 23 cases of solitary fibrous tumour diagnosed during a two years period in the Department of Pathology, Government Medical College, Thiruvananthapuram were included in the study. Of the 23 cases, we could retrieve the blocks of only 18 cases and STAT6 immunohistochemistry was performed on these. As (negative) control samples, some possible mimickers of SFT were stained. (1 synovial sarcoma, 1 DFSP, 1 Schwannoma, 1 neurofibroma, 1 MPNST, 1 Fibromatoses, 1 spindle cell lipoma, 1 fibrous histiocytoma).

The clinicopathologic parameters like age, location, gender, histopathology and immunohistochemical expression of STAT6 compared with other markers like CD-34, CD-99 and Bcl2 were assessed. Cases were scored positive for STAT6 based on staining intensity (0 - no staining, 1 - weak, 2 - moderate, 3 - strong) and percentage of tumour cells (0 -<5%, 1+ -5-25%, 2+ -26-50%, 3+ -51-75%4+ ->75-100%) were recorded for each case with only nuclear staining considered positive. CD-34, CD-99 and Bcl2 staining was performed at initial diagnosis and were considered positive when at least 10% of tumour cells showed strong staining. Negative controls were stained similarly.

Tissue Samples and Immunohistochemistry: - The tissue was fixed in 10% buffered formalin, routinely processed, embedded in paraffin; 4 µm thick sections were stained with haematoxylin and eosin and immunohistochemistry with STAT6 was performed on the unstained sections using the commercially available antibody.

(Anti-STAT6 (Ab-645) antibody produced in rabbit; Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Pvt. Limited) in 1: 100 dilution on the test and control samples.

**RESULTS**

**Clinical Data**

23 patients were selected, which included 16 males (69%) and 7 females (31%) with an age range of 6 to 85 years. Majority belonged to the 40 - 60 years’ age group (mean age was 53 years).

**Location**

We received cases from various sites, among which meninges was the most common followed by retroperitoneum, thigh (superficial plane) and maxillary sinus. Other sites included chest wall, abdominal wall, intra-abdomen, mediastinum, nasal cavity, tongue, cheek, paraspinal and pleura.

**Microscopic Findings**

Histologically, most of the cases showed typical features of SFT with patternless architecture of alternating hypo and hypercellular areas of spindle shaped cells and prominent staghorn vessels with some showing hyalinised walls (Figure 1). Out of 5 cases from the meninges, 2 showed a prominent storiform pattern with increased cellularity (Haemangiopericytoma phenotype) and increased mitosis (3-4/10 hpf) and hence were labelled as Grade 2 SFT/ HPC, which according to the 2016 WHO classification of CNS tumours is considered as malignant (Table 1) (Figure 2). Mitotic indexes have been reported in the range of 1 to 5 (mean 1.5) per 10 hpf in the non-CNS sites.[10] The nuclei were spindled, ovoid and relatively uniform with some showing mild nuclear atypia. 2 cases diagnosed as malignant SFT in the non-CNS sites showed high cellularity, nuclear pleomorphism and mitosis > 4/10 hpf. The combination of high cellularity, higher mitotic indexes, conspicuous cellular pleomorphism and necrosis should most likely be considered as indicative of malignant potential. Prominent myxoid change was observed in one of the cases. There were 3 cases of lipomatous variant of SFT (Figure 3) with a differential diagnosis of well-differentiated liposarcoma for one case for which MDM2 gene study was advised.

**Immunohistochemical Findings (Table 2)**

STAT6 immunohistochemistry was performed on 18/23 cases. Nuclear STAT6 positivity was present in 18/18 (100%) cases of SFT, which were usually diffuse (4+ in 16 cases, 3+ in 1 case and 1+ in 1 case) and intensity was strong in 15 cases, moderate in 2 cases and weak in 1 case (Figure 4).

CD-34 was done in 22/23 cases at initial diagnosis. 20/22 cases were CD-34 positive. In the 18 cases where STAT6 was done, CD-34 was positive in 16/18 cases (88%). 2 cases (12%), which were CD-34 negative showed strong nuclear STAT6 positivity. CD-99 was done in 16/18 cases, where STAT6 was done at initial diagnosis. All cases were positive (100%); however, 4 out of 16 cases showed only focal positivity. Bcl2 was also done in 16 of the 18 cases, of which 15 cases were positive (93%) and one was negative. 4 out of the 5 showed only weak focal positivity (Table 2).

(Please refer to the original article for Figure 1(a) and Figure 1(b).)

**Figure 1(a). A Classic Histopathology of SFT showing Proliferated Spindly Cells arranged haphazardly with Stag Horn Vessels**

**Figure 1(b). STAT6 showing Strong Nuclear Positivity**
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Figure 2. A Case of Meningeal SFT/HPC, Intermediate Grade (Grade 2)

Figure 2(a). Histopathology shows a Cellular Neoplasm composed of Spindly Cells arranged predominantly in Storiform Pattern with occasional Mitotic Figures

Figure 2(b). STAT6 showing Strong Nuclear Positivity in the Tumour Cells

Figure 3. Gross and Microscopy of a case of Lipomatous variant of SFT (Retroperitoneal).

Figure 3(a). Gross photo showing a Solid Mass, Cut surface of which is Firm and Grey White

Figure 3(b). Microscopy showing Spindly Cells separated by Abundant Collagen with Clusters of Mature Adipocytes in Between

Figure 3(c). STAT6 showing Nuclear Positivity

Figure 4. STAT6 - Proportion and Intensity of Staining

Figure 4(a). STAT6 IHC – Proportion of Positive Cells

Figure 4(b). STAT6 - Intensity of Staining
Table 1. Table showing Criteria for Malignancy in SFTs[6,13]
WHO Classification of Tumours of the Central Nervous System (Revised 4th Edition)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>STAT6 (n=18/23)</th>
<th>CD-34 (n=22/23)</th>
<th>CD-99 (n=16/23)</th>
<th>BCL2 (n=16/23)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meninges (no: of cases 5)</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>5/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxillary sinus (no: of cases 2)</td>
<td>2/2 [1/2- weak focal positivity]</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>1/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleura (no: of cases 2)</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>1/1 [focal+]</td>
<td>1/1 [focal+]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thigh (no: of cases 2)</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>2/2 [1/2- focal+]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra-abdomen (no: of cases 1)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest wall (no: of cases 1)</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal wall (no: of cases 1)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>0/1 [negative]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal mass (no: of cases 1)</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>0/1 [negative]</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>0/1 [negative]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheek (no: of cases 1)</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>1/1 [focal+]</td>
<td>1/1 [focal+]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tongue (no: of cases)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retroperitoneum (no: of cases 1)</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>1/1 [focal+]</td>
<td>1/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper extremity (no: of cases 1)</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>0/1 [negative]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scalp (no: of cases 1)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>1/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraspinal (no: of cases 1)</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesentery (no: of cases 1)</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>1/1 [focal+]</td>
<td>1/1 [focal+]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediastinum (no: of cases 1)</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>1/1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Location Wise Data of the various Immunohistochemical Markers Studied (Original)

(N = No: of cases where IHCs were performed out of the total 23 cases)

DISCUSSION
Solitary fibrous tumours belong to the fibroblastic group of neoplasms and they show variability in their clinical behaviour.[11] Initially described in pleura, they were later observed to occur in wide range of anatomical locations. SFTs/HPCs have recurrently displayed a paracentric inversion in chromosome 12q13, forming a fusion of two neighbouring and partly overlapping genes: NAB2 which is transcribed from centromere to telomere and STAT6 which is transcribed from telomere to centromere. Recently, researchers have identified this novel NAB2-STAT6 fusion gene that represents the first molecular feature unique to SFTs/HPCs of any anatomical sites and regardless of benign or malignant.[12] Demonstration by molecular methods is expensive and hence they are not used extensively in many laboratories. Also as these genes are located in close proximity on 12q13, this fusion can only rarely be detected by conventional chromosomal banding or fluorescence in situ hybridisation analysis.[11] Schweizer et al demonstrated that NAB2-STAT6 fusion gene can be detected by STAT6 immunohistochemistry, which gives a nuclear positivity.[10] Although, most SFTs pursue a benign course, recurrence or metastasis develops in 5 - 10% of cases. The criteria for malignancy in SFT is non-CNS sites that include increased cellularity, mitoses, nuclear pleomorphism and necrosis. However, in the CNS SFT/ HPCs are graded into 1, 2, 3, of which grade 1 is benign. Grade 2 and 3 are malignant and is based on the number of mitotic figures (< 5/10 high power field is grade 2 and > 5/10 high power field is grade 3). (Table 1[6, 13])

In a large study conducted by Demico et al, they studied the expression of STAT6 in 2021 mesenchymal tumours, including 240 SFTs. Strong nuclear STAT6 positivity was seen in 285 of 2021 tumours including 206 of 240 SFTs. Although their sensitivity was only 87%, further analysis revealed that among cases resected within the past 5 years, STAT6 immunohistochemistry displayed 97% sensitivity for solitary fibrous tumours.[14] Demico et al also demonstrated that 49/408 well/de-differentiated liposarcomas, 8/65 unclassified sarcomas and 14/184 desmoids were also positive for STAT6; however, showing both cytoplasmic and nuclear positivity. Expression in SFT was mainly limited to the nucleus.[14]

In the study conducted by Doyle et al nuclear expression of STAT6 was observed in 59/60 (98%) cases, which was usually diffuse and intense. All other tumour types were negative for STAT6, except for three dedifferentiated liposarcomas and one deep fibrous histiocytoma, which showed weak staining.

Few other studies also showed a 100% strong nuclear expression of the marker in solitary fibrous tumours.[15,16] Study conducted by Yoshida et al on 49 SFTs showed STAT6 expression in all the cases (100%) that was restricted in the nucleus, mostly in a diffuse and strong manner, irrespective of the tumour sites and histologic patterns.[16] In their study,
only 4 non-SFT tumours (2.5%) exhibited weak nuclear STAT6 expression.

In our study, nuclear expression of STAT6 was present in all cases (100%), which was diffuse in 89% cases and strong in 83% cases. This is equivalent to some of the previous studies.\cite{13,14} One case showed weak positivity in terms of extent and intensity of staining, which may be attributed to uneven tissue fixation or loss of antigenicity in older cases. CD-34 showed strong positivity in this case. So the sensitivity can be increased when these markers are combined together. All other tumour types which can be the possible mimickers of SFT examined (i.e. one case each of synovial sarcoma, DFSP, schwannoma, neurofibroma, MPNST, fibromatosis, spindle cell lipoma, benign fibrous histiocytoma) were negative for STAT6 except for some non-specific cytoplasmic staining in few cases, but clearly lacking the nuclear expression. However, larger number of study samples can provide more insight to the staining of STAT6 in other mesenchymal tumours. Some studies show loss of expression of STAT6 in malignant solitary fibrous tumours.\cite{17} However, we observed no difference in expression of the marker in the two malignant cases.

Doyle et al reported the expression of moderate-to-strong STAT6 in up to 12% of de-differentiated liposarcomas and determined that STAT6 expression was due to gene locus inclusion in the 12q13–15 amplicon characteristic of this tumour.\cite{11} Demicco et al also found similar rates of high nuclear STAT6 expression in both well-differentiated (14%) and de-differentiated (8%) liposarcomas in their study cohort. Both studies showed that nuclear expression of STAT6 was usually seen in conjunction with an equivalent or near-equivalent degree of cytoplasmic staining and their findings suggested a possible amplification and subsequent overexpression of full-length STAT6.\cite{14} In our study, the lipomatous variant of SFT confused with well-differentiated liposarcoma showed nuclear STAT6 positivity alone, which was diffuse and strong without cytoplasmic staining, thus favouring a diagnosis of SFT rather than a well-differentiated liposarcoma. However, in such cases an MDM2 gene amplification study by immunohistochemistry or by molecular methods along with a nuclear and cytoplasmic STAT6 positivity can confirm or exclude this possibility.

CD-34 has been reportedly revealed to be diffusely and strongly expressed in many cases of SFTs/ HPCs. However, approximately 5 - 10% of SFTs/ HPCs can be negative for CD-34.\cite{13} CD-34 is not entirely specific for SFTs/ HPCs, because it can be expressed in a variety of mesenchymal tumours. In the study conducted by Tai et al, STAT6 exhibited distinctive nuclear labelling in seven of eight CD34-negative solitary fibrous tumours with typical histology indicating its better sensitivity.\cite{9} Our study also showed distinct nuclear positivity of STAT6 in the 2 cases, which were CD-34 negative. So it can be a good reliable marker in CD-34 negative solitary fibrous tumours.

Other markers that are variably expressed in SFT include CD-99 and Bcl2. However, these markers are positive in other soft tissue tumours that may mimic SFT. In our study, Bcl2 was expressed in 15 of 16 (93%) cases with weak focal positivity in 4 cases. One case which was negative for Bcl2 was STAT6 positive.

CD-99 was positive in all the cases (100%). However, as these two markers are neither specific nor sensitive, a more sensitive and specific marker like STAT6 when used in combination can aid in arriving at an accurate diagnosis. Also, in cases where both markers are positive, immunohistochemical marker like TLE-1 along with molecular confirmation by demonstrating SYT-SSX fusion could be done in these cases to rule out a Synovial sarcoma, which was not done in our study. However, demonstration of STAT6 in these tumours rules out the above possibility as synovial sarcomas are negative for STAT6 implying the lack of NAB2-STAT6 fusion. The limitation of our study was the study period and the number of cases studied. However, applying the marker routinely to diagnose and differentiate solitary fibrous tumour from other mesenchymal tumours can bring in more light into the utility of this marker and the problems encountered.

**CONCLUSION**

Our immunohistochemical study confirms the diagnostic sensitivity and specificity of STAT6 nuclear immunoreactivity in the diagnosis of solitary fibrous tumours and in the differential diagnosis of histological mimics. Also, it is a good and reliable marker in CD-34 negative solitary fibrous tumours.
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