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ABSTRACT-AIMS AND OBJECTIVE: Growth of whole body is an integrated & composite process. Pregnancy is one of the most critical and unique period in a women’s life. The aim of present study is to determine the factors which are associated with size and proper maturity at birth in a chart if term infants to investigate their growth & development and find out the relationship between the infants anthropometric parameters and maternal parameters.

MATERIAL AND METHODS: present study was conducted on 200 newborns, out of which 100 were of normal birth weight and 100 were of low birth weight. Measured parameters of infants were Head and Chest circumference, Birth weight, Head length ratio, Ponderal Index, and Gestational age. Maternal parameters were age of mother, number of antenatal visits, weight gain from 20 weeks to late pregnancy, haemoglobin and height of mother. Results: observation shows that except age of mother, height of mother and head length ratio all parameters are significantly related.
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INTRODUCTION: Pregnancy is one of the most critical & unique period in a women’s life. There are certain general principle which simplify its study. Growth & development is a continuous process from conception till maturity. Estimation of anthropometric parameters of infants & their mother are the simplest indices of development & behaviour deficits. The analysis of anthropometric parameters of newborn is a key stone in the clinical work up of maturity and immaturity of newborns. A Taksande (1) et. al. in 2000 , find out the best simple anthropometric parameters for identifying low birth weight babies. Birth weight, thigh circumference, mid-arm circumference, calf circumference and head circumference had a significant relationship. Eltahir M (3) in 2005, in Sudanese newborn infants showed that birth weight was having a positive correlation with maternal anthropometric measurements.

The present study has been conducted on newborns and their mothers of Western Rajasthan in Dr.S.N.Medical College Jodhpur and SMS Medical College, Jaipur.

Material and method-This study was conducted on 200 newborn babies
The subjects were further divided into 2 groups:-
1. **Group 1** - Normal birth babies having the weight of >2500 grams.
2. **Group 2** - Low birth weight babies having the weight of <2500 grams.

Only the full term babies belonging to mothers of age between 18 to 35 years were included as a subject for the study.

**Measurements of infants**-
1. Head circumference - measured by a tape from supraorbital ridge anteriorly and external occipital protuberance posteriorly.
2. Chest circumference - measured at the level of nipple of baby.
4. Head length ratio - ratio of head circumference and length of baby.
5. Gestational age - calculated from antenatal card of mother.
7. Ponderal Index - \( \frac{\text{Weight of baby}}{\text{Length}^3} \)

**Maternal Measurement**-
1. Age of mother
2. Number of antenatal visits
3. Weight gain from 20 weeks to late pregnancy (kg).
4. Haemoglobin
5. Height of mother.

**Observation** -
1. Showing Antenatal & Maternal measurements -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.NO</th>
<th><strong>ANTENATAL AND MATERNAL MEASUREMENTS</strong></th>
<th><strong>GROUP OF SUBJECT</strong></th>
<th><strong>NORMAL BIRTH WEIGHT (Mean + S.D.)</strong></th>
<th><strong>LOW BIRTH WEIGHT (Mean + S.D.)</strong></th>
<th><strong>STATISTICAL ANALYSIS</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Age of mother (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td>25.5±3.138</td>
<td>25.3±3.352</td>
<td>t=1.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P&gt;.05 (NS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>No. of Antenatal visits</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.46±1.019</td>
<td>3.95±.8572</td>
<td>t= 3.530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P&lt;.01 (VS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Weight gain from 20 weeks to late pregnancy (Kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.31±1.021</td>
<td>3.35±.9574</td>
<td>t=6.832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P&lt;.001 (HS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Haemoglobin (gm/dl)</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.73±.921</td>
<td>9.9121±1.214</td>
<td>t=5.370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P&lt;.001 (HS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Height of mother (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td>156.665±3.13823</td>
<td>156.215±3.774432</td>
<td>t=.917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P&gt;.05 (NS)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Showing the neonatal measurements-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.NO</th>
<th>NEONATAL MEASUREMENTS</th>
<th>GROUP OF SUBJECT</th>
<th>STATISTICAL ANALYSIS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NORMAL BIRTH WEIGHT (Mean + S.D.)</td>
<td>LOW BIRTH WEIGHT (Mean + S.D.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Head Circumference</td>
<td>32.35±1.44</td>
<td>29.16±1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Chest Circumference</td>
<td>29.71±1.27</td>
<td>26.7±1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ponderal Index (gm/cm³)</td>
<td>.22695±.0145</td>
<td>.2044±.0213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Head Length Ratio</td>
<td>.6304±.0509</td>
<td>.62369±.2271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Gestational (age weeks)</td>
<td>37.84±1.361</td>
<td>36.09±1.295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Birth weight (kg)</td>
<td>3.0067±.33544</td>
<td>2.0897±.3553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Length (cm)</td>
<td>50.94±1.54932</td>
<td>46.67±2.6364</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Graphic comparison of antenatal & maternal measurements of normal birth weight & low birth weight mothers*
DISCUSSION: The present study has been undertaken to establish the relationship between various anthropometric parameters of newborns and their mothers in Western Rajasthan population. The study was conducted on 200 neonates born within 48 hours of birth, out of which 100 are of normal birth weight infants, (≥ 2500gms) and 100 of low birth weight infants, (≤ 2500gms). Considered parameters of new borns were head circumference, chest circumference, Ponderal index, head length ratio, Gestational age, birth weight and birth length of infants. The observed parameters of mothers are number of antenatal visits, height of mother, haemoglobin, weight gain from 20 weeks to late pregnancy.

Average age of mother was 25.5± 3.359 years for the normal birth weight infants and 25.3±3.359 years- For the mother of low birth weight infants P>0.05 shows non significant relationship E. Karim (4) et.al. in 1997 observed that low birth weight babies were more common in younger (<20 years) and older (>30 Years) mothers.

Value for height of mothers was observed 156.665± 3.3182cm for normal birth weight and it was 156.215±3.17744cm for the mother of low birth weight infants. P >.05 shows no significant relationship. Elthair M.(3) et.al. in 2005 concluded that maternal height which is less than 56 cms increases the risk of low birth weight infants.

Observed value of gestational age for normal birth weight infants was (37 ±1.361) weeks and (36.09 ±1.295) weeks for low birth weight infants. P value is < .001 shows highly significant relationship. Elthair M (3) et.al in 2005 showed that there is a significant relationship of gestational age with height of mother.

Average value of haemoglobin for mothers of normal birth weight infants was 10.73±.092 (gm/dl) and 9.919± 1.214(gm/dl) for the mothers of low birth weight infants. P value < .001 shows highly significant relationship in our study. Murphy J.F.et.al. (9) in 1986 showed the relation between haemoglobin concentration and birth outcomes. They reported that both (>13.2 gm/dl) and low (<10.4 gm/dl) values of haemoglobin were associated with adverse outcome. Mothers having the intermediate range of haemoglobin (10.4- 13.2 gm/dl) fared best.
Calculated value of head circumference in the present study is \(32.35\pm1.44\) cm for the normal birth weight infants and \(29.16\pm1.65\) cm for low birth weight infants. P values < .001 shows highly significant relationship. Lenkaye et al [8] in 1961 recorded the mean value of head circumference as 33.25 cm in his New Delhi study for full term babies.

In our present study calculated mean value for chest circumference for normal birth weight infants is \(29.17\pm1.27\) cm and \(26.7\pm1.61\) cm for low birth weight infants. P value < 0.001 shows highly significant relationship. Ian. D. Diamond [7] et al in 1991 showed in their study that chest circumference was 30 cm for normal birth weight babies.

Calculated average value of length of the baby is \(50.94\pm1.54\) cm for normal birth weight babies. P value is \(46.67\pm2.63\) cm for low birth weight babies. P value is < .001 showing highly significant relationship. Fazul Hugue [5] in 1961 calculated the mean value of birth length for normal birth weight infants was 52 cm in Bangladesh.

Average mean value for birth weight in our study is \(3.0067\pm0.3354\) kg for normal birth weight infants and it is \(2.0897\pm0.3553\) kg for low birth weight babies. P value is < .001 showing highly significant relationship. Elthair [3] in 2005 in Sudanese new born infants showed that birth weight was having a positive correlation with maternal anthropometric measurements.

Average value for antenatal visits of mothers of normal birth weight babies is \(4.46\pm1.019\) and it is \(3.95\pm0.85\) kg for the mothers of low birth weight babies. P value is < .01 shows very significant relationship in our study. Herbest et al. (6) in 2003 observed that no prenatal care was associated with preterm birth and low birth weight infants.

Mean value calculated for weight gain form 20 weeks to late pregnancy is \(4.31\pm1.28\) kg for the mothers of normal birth weight infants and it is \(3.35\pm0.95\) kg for mothers of low birth weight infants. P value is < .001 showing highly significant relationship. Dawes , M.G. et al. (2) in 1991 showed that mean maternal weight gain of initial visit was 140 pounds. Average weight gain per week was observed 0.8 pounds. Highest weight gain were observed during weeks 16 to 24 and 32 to 36 weeks of pregnancy. Study of various anthropometric parameters of new borns and their mothers are of prime significance in establishing the proper development. Total studied subjects are 200 neonates born within 48 hours , out of which 100 are of normal birth weight infants ( ≥2500 gms ).

Antenatal visits of mothers show very significant relationship. Weight gain during pregnancy , haemoglobin concentration , head circumference , chest circumference , ponderal index , gestational age , birth weight and mean value for the length of the baby in infants shows highly significant relationship while age of mother , height of mother and head length ratio shows non significant relationship.

So strength of the study clearly dictates the importance of above parameters in the assessment , management of premature babies and in prognosis regarding the survival of premature and growth retarding babies.

All the measured parameters for the study was according to the standard criterion given in reference text books.
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