ABSTRACT: BACKGROUND: Births and Deaths are the vital events and knowledge about these events is essential for the demography, health and other civil rights. This system of birth and death registration is called Civil Registration System and for proper implementation of vital registration India has enacted The Registration of Births and Deaths Act, 1969. Under this act any vital event i.e. birth, death and still birth are to be registered within 21 days of the event. OBJECTIVE: This study was done with the objective to find the level of registration of birth and to study the influence of socio demographical factors on the registration of birth. MATERIAL AND METHODS: A community based cross sectional study was done where data were recorded in pretested predesigned interview schedule and data analysis was done manually. RESULTS: It was seen that only 61.63% of births were registered and in urban areas 71.05% of birth were registered whereas only 54.17% in rural areas. In case of religion it was noticed that 65.67% of Hindu births were registered whereas in case of Muslim births it was 47.37%. An association had been found between the level of education and status of registration. But no association had been noted between registration and socioeconomic status or place of delivery. Those who were registered, most (52.83%) of them got their certificate after 30 days of registration. KEYWORDS: Birth registration, Factor, Community.

INTRODUCTION: India, being a signatory to the United Nation Convention on Rights of the Child, 1989 must have registered all child by now. The right to be registered immediately after birth and to acquire a name and a nationality is recognized under article 7 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child. But in reality we are the country with highest number of unregistered births registration provides the first identity to the child and it is also the document to prove the child’s parentage. It is the right of every child to be registered and provided a birth certificate.

Though birth registration is an important document but its value is overlooked. The UNICEF report shows the percentage of annual births not registered by region in 2000. In sub-Saharan Africa, over 70 per cent of births went unregistered, as were 63 per cent in South Asia. South Asia tops the league in terms of sheer numbers of unregistered children, with approximately 22.5million, or over 40 per cent of the world’s unregistered births in 2000, compared to a total of around 17 million in sub-Saharan Africa. In the Middle East and North Africa, nearly one-third of the children born in 2000 (or some 3 million) lacked legal recognition of their identity, while in the East Asia and Pacific region 22 per cent of births in 2000 – some seven million children – were unregistered.1) Birth and death registration system is known as Civil Registration System (CRS).

Under this system births, deaths and still births are required to be registered to the concerned Registrar with 21 days of its occurrence. For proper implementation of this system, Registration of Births and Deaths Act, 1969 (RBD Act, 1969) was enacted but still we are lagging far behind. The NFHS III (2006) survey found that in India, children with educated parents and from the higher socioeconomic were more likely to have their births registered.
The births of less than one fourth of children belonging to households in the lowest wealth strata had been registered and only 1 in 10 had a birth certificate.\(^{(2)}\)

The present scenario in Assam was 74.58% of births were registered in 2008 that of deaths registration was 31.82%, this data was based on the monthly returns received from the districts (Office of the Director of Health Services, Health A, Hengarabari, Assam). According to NFHS III (2006) births registered in children less than 5 years of age in Assam was 43%. The figure for Kamrup district for births and deaths registration was 34.58% and 17.3% respectively in year 2008 (Office of the Director of Health Services, Health A, Hengarabari, Assam). These data show that we still have to go a long way to achieve our goal of 100% registration of births and deaths.

**The factors contributing to this situation of non-registration of births include:**

1. Lack of awareness among parents about the need for birth registration.
2. Lack of awareness on procedures and locations of birth registration.
3. Low demand for birth certificates.
4. Other problems which includes unavailability of staff, lack of cooperation from the system, lack of knowledge regarding free registration.
5. Registration of birth is being linked to school admission.

**OBJECTIVES:**

1. To find the factor influencing birth registration.
2. To study any association between birth registration with literacy, socio economic condition and place of birth.
3. To analyze the trend in registration.
4. To suggest recommendation based on the study.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS:** This study was conducted in rural as well as urban areas of Kamrup District of Assam during 2009 - 2010. The study was approved by the ethical committee of Guwahati Medical College and informed consent was taken. In Kamrup District there were thirty four registering unit of which twenty one are in rural areas and thirteen were in the urban areas. (Source: Office Jt. DHS).

This on calculation came to be sixty percent in Rural Kamrup and forty percent in Urban Kamrup. It was decided to go for twenty percent of the registering units which was calculated to be seven. It was again divided into four and three according to the percentage of distribution (i.e. 60% and 40%) of the registering units in rural and urban areas respectively.

Thus four registering units in rural area and three units in urban area were selected accordingly. Two villages/wards were selected at random from each registering units. In each village or ward 100 household were visited and data were recorded in predesigned and pretested interview schedule from those household having child between 12-23months of age. A total of 93 households were found but only 86 households were included in the study as the persons present at the household during the time of interview were unable to provide any data.

The data so collected on various aspects of the study were compiled, tabulated and subjected to statistical analysis. Statistical analysis was done manually. Socio economic classification for the present study was done using Prasad Classification.
TRENDS OF REGISTRATION IN ASSAM AND KAMRUP DISTRICT: The registration in Assam had been experiencing an increasing trend since last few years but a stagnation had been noticed at around 70-75% in case of birth registration and around 30-35% in case of deaths registration. In relation to birth registration it was seen that from 44.2% in 2001 it reached 74.58% in 2008.

In regard to death registration the figures were not encouraging, it was seen that there was only marginal increase in level of registration from 22.2% in 2001 to 31.82% in 2008. In last two years i.e. in 2007-2008 rather than increasing, a fall in level of death registration had been noticed. (Source: Office of the Director of Health Services, Assam).

In Kamrup District, it was seen that birth registration in 2004 was 49.68% and it increased to 72.99% in 2007, but decreased to 34.58% in 2008. Similarily in case of death registration, there was 24.22% death registration in 2004 and reached 45.29% in 2007. Here also registration decreased to 17.3% in 2008. (Source: Office of the Director of Health Services, Assam).

RESULTS: During the study period 86 births were found in age group 12-23 months. Among these 86 births 53 (61.63%) were registered. In urban areas 27 (71.05%) out of 38 births were registered and in rural areas 26 (54.17%) births were registered out of 48 births. In case of religion it was noticed that 65.67% of Hindu births were registered whereas in case of Muslim births it was 47.37%. An association had been found between the level of education and status of registration. But no association had been noted between registration and socioeconomic status or place of delivery.

Those who were registered, most (52.83%) of them got their certificate after 30 days of registration. Most of them i.e. 14 (42.42%) cited cause of non-registration is being their reluctance to go to the registration unit and get the child’s birth registered. Other reasons cited were unaware of the need, distance of registering unit is far, lack of time, noncooperation of staffs, procedure too complicated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status of Registration</th>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Registered</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>61.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-registered</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>38.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>86</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 1: Distribution of Births According to the Status of Registration*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place of Residence</th>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>Status of Registration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Registered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number (Percentage)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>27 (71.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>26 (54.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>86</strong></td>
<td><strong>53 (61.63)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 2: Distribution of Births according to Place of residence i.e. Urban and Rural and their Status of Registration*
Religion of the Births | Total Number of Respondents | Status of Registration
--- | --- | ---
Hindu | 67 | 44 (65.67)
Muslim | 19 | 9 (47.37)
Others | nil | nil
Total | 86 | 53 (61.63)

Table 3: Distribution of Births according to Religion and Status of Registration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place of Birth</th>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>Number of Registered Births (Percentage)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Home</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>18 (58.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health institutions</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>35 (63.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>53 (61.63)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Distribution of Registered Births According to Place of Birth

χ² = 0.258 df = 1 p > 0.05

Level of Education of the Respondents | Total number of Respondents | Registered (n=53) Number (Percentage)
--- | --- | ---
Illiterate | 11 | 4 (36.36)
Just Literate | 22 | 12 (54.5)
Primary | 24 | 13 (54.17)
Middle school | 10 | 7 (70)
HSLC Passed | 9 | 8 (88.89)
Secondary | 8 | 7 (87.5)
Graduate | 2 | 2 (100)
Total | 86 | 53 (61.63)

Table 5: Distribution Showing Status of Registration of Births According to Level of Education of the Respondents

χ² = 9.52 df = 4 p < 0.05

Socio Economic Status of the Respondents | Number of Respondents | Registered Number (Percentage)
--- | --- | ---
Class-I | 4 | 4 (100)
Class-II | 19 | 14 (73.68)
Class-III | 29 | 16 (55.17)
Class-IV | 21 | 12 (57.14)
Class-V | 13 | 7 (53.84)
Total | 86 | 53 (61.63)

Table 6: Distribution Showing Status of Registration of Births According to Socio Economic Status of the Respondents

χ² = 2.68 df = 3 p > 0.05
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place of Birth</th>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>Number of Registered Births (Percentage)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Home</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>18 (58.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health institutions</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>35 (63.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>53 (61.63)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: Distribution of Registered Births According to Place of Birth

\[ \chi^2 = 0.258 \text{ df } = 1 \text{ p}>0.05 \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause of Non-Registration</th>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lack of knowledge</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>36.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reluctant</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>42.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>21.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8: Distribution of Non-Registered Births (n=33) According to the Causes of Non-Registration

Note: Others include unaware of the need, distance of registering unit is far, lack of time, non-cooperation of staffs, procedure too complicated.

SUMMARY: Out of 86 children in age group 12-23 months, 53 (61.63%) were registered and 33 (38.37%) were non-registered. McCaw-Binns AM et al. (1996) found in their study that 94% of live births were registered by one year of age in Jamaica. In the study conducted by Adilson Lopes Cardoso and et al. at Brazil (2002) it was seen that the rate of unregistered births was 8.6%. According to UNICEF, India (2005) each year about 42% of births go unregistered, which is about 10 million births. In NFHS-III (2005-06) it was seen that only 27% under the age of 5 years had a birth certificate. In a similar study by Megha Gupta they found that 44.2% of births were registered in their study. It had been seen that 71.05% of children in urban area had their birth registered whereas only 54.17% of children in rural area had their birth registration done by their guardians. Similarly in Vanuatu (2005, UNICEF) coverage was good in urban areas but low in rural areas, giving an overall coverage of birth registration was only around 30 per cent.

According to UNICEF, India (2005) birth registration level in the rural areas was lower when compared to the urban areas which was similar to the present study. In Chhattisgarh (2005) it was found that villages near urban areas had 90% registration whereas remote village inhabited by Tribal people mostly had 10% registration of births, this study was conducted by State Health Resource Centre. It was seen that majority of respondents in socio economic class I (100%) and socio economic class II (73.68%) had registered the births whereas least in social class IV (53.84%). The difference had been found insignificant. Similarly Adilson Lopes Cardoso and et al. at Brazil (2002) found no association between socio economic status and registration of birth. It was seen from that the majority of births (63.64%) which were registered were from those (55) that occurred in hospital or any other institution.
In case of births in home only 18(58.06%) out of 31 were registered. The difference was found statistically insignificant. In the study by SHRC, Chhattisgarh (2005) they found most of the deliveries were home deliveries but in the present study it was seen that most of the births took place in health institution.[6]
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RECOMMENDATIONS:
1. Birth registration should be made free of charge even in case of delayed registration.
2. There should not be any penalty for non-registered.
3. Ensuring adequate registration offices and trained personnel.
4. Birth registration activity and other governmental activities including immunization and school enrolment should be mainstreamed.
5. To improve access to the registering units by decentralizing births and deaths registration units to village level making PRI members responsible for each and every births and deaths.
6. The whole system should be computerized and made online accessible so that births and deaths can be registered without any hassle for those who can access internet.
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