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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND
Diabetic foot ulcers are inevitable in 15% of diabetic patients even if they follow the diet or control blood sugar level meticulously. The mainstay of treatment for diabetes related foot ulceration is a multidisciplinary team (MDT) approach that includes metabolic control, debridement, offloading/pressure redistribution, vascular control, infection control and seamless integrated wound care. And the ulcer usually is of a chronic type. With regard to topical agents, the effectiveness of topical Insulin is considered valid and effective on wound healing process. The present study is to compare the efficacy of Topical Insulin vs. Normal Saline dressing.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
This is a non-randomised control study. A total of sixty patients with diabetic foot ulcer were enrolled and the study was done in Department of General Surgery, Sri Venkateshwara Medical College Hospital & Research Centre, Pondicherry from October 2015 to March 2017 for a period of 18 months. Patients were divided into two groups of 30 patients in each, Group A - topical insulin and Group B - normal saline.

RESULTS
The ulcer size on Day 1 was 48.33 ± 11.35 mm and 47.30 ± 11.30 mm in Insulin group and Saline group respectively, and the complete healing time achieved in Insulin Vs. Saline group was 30.63 ± 6.5 days and 60.47 ± 23.31 days respectively with significant p value <0.0001.

CONCLUSION
In the present study, 60 cases were studied comparing the wound dressing of the patient with topical insulin and the normal saline dressing in DFU. The use of topical insulin was found to be safe and effective in patients with diabetic foot ulcers. Results show that topically applied insulin can accelerate wound healing without any systemic side effects.
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BACKGROUND
Insulin-An Accelerated Healing Agent.

Wound healing is impaired in patients with diabetes mellitus, and the mechanisms involved in this process are virtually unknown.

Topical Insulin Accelerates Wound Healing in Diabetics by Enhancing the AKT and ERK Pathways.

Studies show that the insulin signalling pathways are unregulated in the wounded skin of normal rats, but in the wounded skin of diabetic animals these upregulations are blunted. However, when the wounded skin of diabetic rats is treated with a topical insulin cream, an acceleration of wound healing occurs, in association with a recovery in the proteins of the insulin signalling pathways.[11]

The expression of proteins in early steps of insulin action, i.e., IR/IRS-1, 2/AKT, are increased in the healing tissue of wounds compared to intact skin. AKT has the ability to phosphorylate proteins that regulate lipid synthesis, glycolgen synthesis, cell survival, and protein synthesis.[5][6][4] Recently, data from different sources demonstrated that AKT activation is considered as an important step for VEGF release in skin wounds, through a post-transcriptional mechanism in keratinocytes,[5][6] necessary for vascular maturation and angiogenesis during cutaneous wound healing.[7] Therefore, the increase in this signalling pathway observed in the healing skin of wounds might contribute to the process of tissue repair in skin. Insulin stimulation of ERK involves the tyrosine phosphorylation of IRS proteins and/or SHC, which in turn interact with the adaptor protein, Grb2 (growth factor receptor-bound protein-2), recruiting the Son-of-Sevenless (SOS) exchange protein to the plasma membrane for the activation of Ras (member of a large family of small molecular weight GTP-binding proteins).[9] Once activated, Ras operates as a molecular switch, stimulates serine kinase cascade through the stepwise activation of Raf, MEK (protein kinase that activates MAP kinases), and ERK. Activated ERK translocate into the nucleus, where it catalyses the phosphorylation of transcription factors, initiating a transcriptional program that results in cellular proliferation or differentiation.[10] Studies show that protein levels of SHC and...
ERK are increased in the wounded skin, suggesting that the ERK signalling pathway can also play a direct pivotal role in the regulation of cellular growth and differentiation. It is important to emphasize that ERK activation is vital for keratinocyte pro-migratory signalling pathways.[10],[11],[12],[13],[14]

Normal insulin signalling observed in wounded skin of diabetics might contribute to the impaired wound healing observed as a complication of diabetes. There are several mechanisms which can attenuate insulin signalling in the wounded skin of the diabetic. It is known that elevated glucose level affects insulin signalling by regulating and interfering the expression of several genes, including the insulin receptor gene, at both the transcriptional and translational levels.[15] Hyperglycaemia shown to inhibit insulin action as a result of serine phosphorylation of IRS through a PKC-mediated mechanism, which in turn increases the degradation of IRS proteins.[16],[17]

In accordance with a downregulation of insulin signalling proteins in wound healing of diabetic animals, Goren et al showed that insulin signalling proteins, including IRβ, IRS-1, IRS-2, and phosphorylated GSK3β were almost absent in acutely healing skin.[18] It is important to mention that in type 2 diabetes obese animal model, leptin is absent and there is an increase in the level of circulating TNF-α. In this regard, previous study shows that the administration of leptin or the infusion of anti-TNF-α reversed the alterations in insulin signalling proteins and improved wound healing. And using a hypoinsulinemic animal model of diabetes, showed that not only IR/IRs/PI3K/Akt pathway but also the SHC/ERK pathway are downregulated in the wounded skin of diabetic animal. Study and final report show that the insulin cream can completely restore these alterations.

The molecular mechanisms through which insulin accelerates wound healing in diabetes seem to be variable. The increase in proteins involved in the early steps of insulin action may play a key role, since AKT and ERK have important growth and development effects. Additionally, the use of inhibitors in these pathways reduced the effect of insulin, showing that insulin uses both pathways to increase process of wound healing. At least two important substrates of AKT—GSK3β and eNOS—may have an important role in wound healing.[19] GSK3β, when phosphorylated by AKT, has a reduced activity.[20] Thus, an increase in GSK3β phosphorylation, and a consequent reduction in its activity, may be one mechanism by which AKT can increase wound healing. AKT can also phosphorylate eNOS and promote NO production,[21] enhancing blood flow, cell survival, morphogenesis, and angiogenesis, even in the setting of ischaemia.[22],[23] The multitude of AKT substrates and their described effects on various cellular functions may contribute, at least in part, to the beneficial effect of the insulin cream in wound healing, since this insulin topical increases AKT protein expression and phosphorylation in the wounded skin of diabetic rats. Data clearly show that the use of this insulin cream is an efficient manner to activate the AKT and ERK pathways, which are essential in the control of wound healing.

Aims and Objective

Aim of the Study
To analyse and compare the wound healing of the patient with topical insulin and normal saline dressing in Diabetic foot ulcers.

Objective of the Study
To determine and calculate the number of days for wound healing.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
This is a Non-randomised control study. This study was done in Department of General Surgery, Sri Venkateshwara Medical College Hospital & Research Centre, Pondicherry from October 2015 to March 2017.

Collection of Data
A total of sixty patients with Diabetic foot ulcer were involved. In each group, 30 patients were taken by convenient sampling.

Group A (n=30) Patients who underwent dressing with TOPICAL INSULIN

Group B (n=30) Patients Dressed with REGULAR NORMAL SALINE.

Inclusion Criteria
• Diabetic patients of age 18 years and above.
• Patients having ulcers measuring more than one cm.
• Patients with controlled blood glucose levels.
• Patients with grade 1 and 2 ulcers of Wagner’s classification were selected for the study.

Exclusion Criteria
• Patients with absent peripheral pulses in dorsalis pedis artery, posterior tibial artery, anterior tibial artery.
• Known case of hypersensitive or allergic reaction to the drug used in study.
• X-rays showing features of osteomyelitis.
• Malnutrition and uncontrolled diabetes.
• Patients receiving immunosuppressive therapy.
• Patients who have not given consent for dressing.

In Group A, 5 units of Human Actrapid insulin for ulcer of size 10 cm² was sprayed daily with an insulin syringe and ulcer was left to dry and then covered with sterile cotton gauze.[24]

In Group B, plain normal saline was used which was one of the standard Procedure for ulcer dressings.
Wound was washed before dressing with normal saline to remove the debris and measured by using ruler technique. The measurement was performed in millimetres (mm) and in three dimensions i.e. length (L) and width (W) of wounds. Length was measured while considering heel at 12 O’clock and toes at 6 O’clock position.

The dressing was changed every day. Outcome was measured in terms of wound reduction between the two groups and the day of healing.

**Statistical Analysis**

The study data were analysed to evaluate the effect of topical insulin dressing over saline dressing. SSPS software version 23.0 and Microsoft excel software were used in this analysis. Percentages were used to describe qualitative data. Mean & Standard Deviation were used for quantitative data. Unpaired t test was used to evaluate the results and P value of <0.05 is considered significant.

**RESULTS**

In the present study, a total of 60 patients of DFU, 30 in Insulin and 30 in Saline group were partitioned. Of that males constituted 24 patients (80%) and females constituted 6 patients (20%) in Insulin group. In Saline group, there were 27 male patients (90%) and females constituted 3 patients (10%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Insulin Group</th>
<th>Insulin Group Percentage</th>
<th>Saline Group</th>
<th>Saline Group Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Demographics characteristics of Age wise distribution**

Most of the patients in both Insulin & Saline group are in age group 56 - 65 years (40%). The youngest patient in Insulin group was of 41 years and the oldest was of 70 years. In saline group, the youngest was of 44 years and the oldest was of 74 years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Insulin Group</th>
<th>Insulin Group Percentage</th>
<th>Saline Group</th>
<th>Saline Group Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>36 - 45</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 - 55</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56 - 65</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66 - 74</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Distribution- Demographics, Characteristics of Lower limb ulcer**

In the present series of study, right foot was involved more than left foot in both Insulin & Saline group i.e. 28 cases (93.3%) and 26 cases (86.7%) respectively.

**Distribution- Age, Mean and Standard Deviation**

The mean age wise distribution in Insulin group was 53.9 years and saline group was 59.2 years showing standard deviation 8.94 and 8.08 respectively, with p value measuring 0.019.

**Distribution of Mean and SD in Hb%**

The mean Haemoglobin level in insulin group was 11.8% showing standard deviation 2.04 and in Saline group it was 11.17% with 1.81 standard deviation. The p-value was 0.156.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hb</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
<th>p – value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insulin Group</td>
<td>11.88</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>0.156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saline Group</td>
<td>11.17</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Distribution of Mean and standard distribution in TLC**

The mean Total Lymphocyte count in insulin group is 7706.67 showing standard deviation 2282.31 and in Saline group 7826.33 with 2665.73 standard deviation. The p value 0.852.
Distribution of Mean and standard deviation in FBS
The mean FBS in Insulin was 106.77 ± 29.22 mg/dL and in Saline group was 105.50 ± 23.47 mg/dL with p value 0.856.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
<th>p - value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insulin Group</td>
<td>106.77</td>
<td>29.92</td>
<td>0.856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saline Group</td>
<td>105.50</td>
<td>23.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Distribution of Mean and standard distribution in PPBS
The mean PPBS value in Insulin group was 151 ± 43.43 mg/dL and in Saline group was 141.4 ± 37.95 mg/dL and the p value was 0.366.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
<th>p - value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insulin Group</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>43.43</td>
<td>0.366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saline Group</td>
<td>141.4</td>
<td>37.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Distribution of Mean and standard deviation in HbA1c
The HbA1c in Insulin group was 6.20 ± 1.28 and the Saline group was 5.77 ± 1.04 and the p value was 0.156.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
<th>p - value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insulin Group</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saline Group</td>
<td>5.77</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Distribution of Mean and standard distribution in Length
In Insulin group, the mean length was 48.33 ± 11.35 mm and in Saline group was 47.30 ± 11.3 mm with p value 0.725.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
<th>p - value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insulin Group</td>
<td>48.33</td>
<td>11.35</td>
<td>0.725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saline Group</td>
<td>47.30</td>
<td>11.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Insulin and Saline group among study of Breadth
The mean breadth in Insulin group is 37.4 ± 7.5 mm and in Saline group 36.47 ± 10.94 mm with p value 0.701

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
<th>p - value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insulin Group</td>
<td>37.40</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saline Group</td>
<td>36.47</td>
<td>10.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Distribution in Insulin and Saline group, Days for Healing
The mean of complete wound healing achieved in Insulin group was 30.63 ± 6.5 days and Saline group was 60.47 ± 23.3 days with p value <0.0001.

Mean of Insulin and Saline group among study at different time points
The Ulcer size on Day 1 was 48.33 ± 11.35 mm and 47.30 ± 11.30 mm in Insulin group and Saline group respectively, and the complete healing time achieved in Insulin vs. Saline group was 30.63 ± 6.5 days and 60.47 ± 23.31 days respectively with significant p value <0.0001.

DISCUSSION
Several studies established the role of insulin as growth factor in stimulating angiogenesis, collagen formation and granulation tissue formation, thus encouraging speedy healing of diabetic foot ulcers. Treatment methods for diabetic foot ulceration often entail burdensome regimes including pressure relief often in the form of a below-knee cast or custom footware, daily dressing changes and frequent trips to various health professionals. Although these treatment regimens are essential for healing and prevention of further complications, they can last for many months and have a profound effect on activities of daily living and quality of life.

Despite the need for speedy resolution in order to avoid greater complications, it is not uncommon for diabetic foot ulcers to reach a point where progress slows or even stops altogether. Commonly referred to as ‘delayed’ or ‘non-healing’ this phenomenon contributes significantly to the chronicity associated with diabetic foot ulcers, and can occur despite appropriate treatment and management of all contributing factors. There are several factors that have the potential to contribute to a delay in wound healing, including poor patient compliance with treatment regimes, poorly controlled glycaemic (blood sugar) levels and poor tissue oxygenation.[25] Also implicated in the delayed or non-healing of diabetic foot ulcers, is the impaired immune response to injury commonly seen in people with diabetes that frequently results in poor, or no progress, after initiation of the inflammatory phase of healing (the initial healing phase involving the arrival of blood cell types and removal of bacteria from the site). This ultimately affects formation of granulation tissue (new tissue containing all the cellular components for skin formation), which is a pre-requisite to epithelialisation or complete skin healing. Findings of our study showed Insulin removes excess exudates from the wound and creates an environment, making a suitable condition for wound healing. Insulin also had better collagen formation with greater reduction in inflammatory cells during healing days resulting in decreased days of healing. Whereas Normal Saline had minimal collagen formation, high grade of inflammation during the healing days with maximum exudates formation resulting in increased days of healing.
There was no significant difference in age and duration of diabetes of study subjects of both the groups. Most of the patients in both Insulin & Saline group were in age group 56 - 65 years (40%). In the present series of study, right foot was more involved than left foot in both Insulin & Saline group. Other biochemical parameters like HbA1c, FBS, ESR, total lymphocyte count, were non-significant in both the study groups. Total PPBS was lower in normal saline compared to Insulin groups [mean ± SD; Normal saline vs. Insulin; 141.4 ± 37.95 vs. 151 ± 43.4; p=0.366]. However, the mean Haemoglobin level in insulin group was 11.8 ± 2.04 and in Saline group it was 11.7 ± 1.81. The mean total lymphocyte count in insulin group was 7706.67 ± 2282.31 and in Saline group it was 7826.33 ± 2665.73. The mean FBS was 106.77 ± 29.92 in Insulin group and it was slightly lower in saline group 105.5 ± 23.47. The mean HbA1c level was comparatively higher in Insulin study group at 6.20 ±1.28 and in Saline group it was 5.77 ± 1.04. Positive family history for diabetes was higher among Insulin group when compared with normal saline group. Among other diabetic complications, almost all the subjects suffered with peripheral neuropathy in both the groups, while hypertension was found to be the second common complication in all the study subjects. There was no statistical difference in complications among both the groups, maximum number of cases had ulcer at their forefoot in both the study groups. There was significant contraction seen in the size of the ulcer in both the study groups depicting the healing process. However, Insulin group depicted better contraction rate. There was statistical difference in initial length and breadth of the wound size among both the groups. However, final length and breadth decreased significantly in both the groups, retaining Insulin group with statistically significant reduction compared to normal saline group. [Mean ± SD; Final length: Normal Saline vs. Insulin 47.30 ± 11.3 vs. 48.33 ± 11.35; p = 0.725, Final Breadth: 36.47 ± 10.94 vs. 37.4 ± 7.5; p = 0.701].

Statistical significance was observed in healed cases among both the Groups with Insulin group having statistically significant reduction in healing process. There was no significant difference in initial length and breadth of the wound size among both the groups comparing the CONCLUSION

In the present study, 60 cases were studied comparing the wound dressing of the patient with topical insulin and the normal saline dressing in DFU. The use of topical insulin was found to be safe and effective in patients with diabetic foot ulcers. Results show that topical applied insulin can accelerate wound healing without any systemic side effects.
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