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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

Recurrent patellar instability is not an uncommon entity with acute patellar dislocation accounting for 2% to 3% of all knee injuries and is the second most common cause of traumatic knee haemarthrosis. Based on recent biomechanical studies, among the medial patellar stabilisers the Medial Patellofemoral Ligament (MPFL) is considered as the primary restraint against lateral dislocation of the patella.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is an observational study. 25 patients (15 males and 10 females) with recurrent patellar dislocation were operated with MPFL reconstruction with tendon graft and bio screw. Their clinical outcome was measured with respect to pre-op, 3 months post-op and 6 months post-op Kujala score. SPSS software was used for analysis.

RESULTS

Pre-op mean Kujala score was 71.24 and 6 months mean Kujala score was 75.12.

CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates that clinical outcomes are good after isolated MPFL reconstruction, as evidenced by the Kujala score. Study findings correlate well with other previous studies done on MPFL reconstruction for recurrent patellar dislocation.
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BACKGROUND

Recurrent patellar instability is not an uncommon entity with acute patellar dislocation accounting for 2% to 3% of all knee injuries and is the second most common cause of traumatic knee hemarthrosis.² Patellar injury and dislocation are more prevalent in individuals who participate in sports activities. Anterior knee pain is the most common initial manifestation. It can follow a violent blow to normal knee or trivial trauma in an abnormally predisposed knee. Patellofemoral dysfunction is more common in females than in males. Patellofemoral instability is mostly found in females after an initial episode of acute patellar dislocation. Among the people who have an initial dislocation of patella, the risk of subsequent dislocation will be higher.³ Various factors are attributed with recurrent dislocations of patella. Static stabilisers of patella are shape of patella, femoral sulcus, length of patellar tendon and normally tensioned medial capsule reinforced by patellofemoral and patellotibial ligament. The other factors of acute patellar and recurrent patellar dislocations are high-riding patella i.e. patella alta, increased Q-angle with lateral position of the tibial tuberosity, genu valgum, ligamentous hyperlaxity, vastus medialis muscle hypoplasia, external tibial torsion, subtalar joint pronation and increased femoral anteverision.⁴,⁵ Those patients who have suffered acute patellar dislocation are prone to subsequent dislocations.³ Long term complications of acute patellar dislocations are pain, patellar instability, recurrent dislocations, decreased level of sporting activity and patellofemoral arthritis.⁶,⁷ The treatment of ligamentous injuries and chondral lesions is best judged by MR imaging.⁸ The Medial Patellofemoral Ligament (MPFL) is the primary restraint against lateral dislocation of the patella.⁹,¹⁰,¹¹ Conlan et al⁹ reported that the MPFL provided 53% of the total medial restraining force. Hautamaa et al¹¹ and Desio et al¹⁰ respectively reported 55% and 60%. The MPFL extends from the superior two-thirds of the medial patellar margin to a point distal to the adductor tubercle and proximal to the posterior aspect of the femoral attachment of the medial collateral ligament. The superficial fibres blend with the posterior capsule of the knee. Though several surgical techniques for treatment of patellar instability has been described, much debate still remains about the best outcome.¹²,¹³,¹⁴,¹⁵ Studies of different MPFL reconstruction techniques have been done to compare the clinical outcome of symptoms and patellofemoral congruency.¹⁶,¹⁷,¹⁸,¹⁹,²⁰,²¹,²²,²³
Aim of the Study
The aim of the study is to evaluate the overall outcome, both subjective and objective, of Medial Patellofemoral Ligament (MPFL) reconstruction in cases of recurrent patellar dislocations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
This study was approved by Institutional Ethical Committee
Study Design
This is an Observational Study.

Study Area
Department of Orthopaedics, RG Kar Medical College and 25 (15 males and 10 females) mean age patients were included in the study, who had history of recurrent patellar dislocation as per inclusion and exclusion criteria. All patients were analysed at regular intervals and raw data were obtained. Shapiro-Wilk test of normality applied as the sample size was small. SPSS software was used for analysis.

Study Period
January 2016 to December 2017.

Study Population
Indoor and outdoor patients presenting with history of or clinical features of patellar dislocation.

Inclusion Criteria
Recurrent patellar dislocations in skeletally mature patients.

Exclusion Criteria
1. Patients with excessive femoral anteversion.
2. Patients with external tibial torsion.
3. Patients with genu valgum.
4. Previously operated knee.
5. Patients with any bony abnormality in patella, femur or tibia.
6. Patients with pre-existing knee symptoms except knee dislocation and pain.

Subjects were sub-divided on the basis of sex, age, sports activities and associated deformity (presence of patella alta and femoral anteversion > 20°). Patients were evaluated using Kujala Scoring Questionnaire pre-operatively at 3 months post-operatively and 6 months post-operatively.

Pre-Operative Planning
Patients attending our hospital with history of knee injury were examined and those diagnosed with two or more episodes of patellar dislocation following trauma were included in the study.

Numerous clinical tests were done followed by radiological investigations to establish and rule out other pathologies.

Clinical
Patellar apprehension test, active and dynamic patellar tracking, Q-Angle, femoral anteversion measurement, patellar grind test, patellar gliding, patellar tilt test, power of the quadriceps, measurement of thigh foot angle, generalised ligament laxity using Beighton score was done.

Radiological
Initial radiographs in true AP, lateral, axial and skyline views were taken to see patellar position, Blumensaat line, Insall-Salvati index, trochlear depth (Djeour), patellar height (Caton Deschamps), Blackburne-Peel ratio, crossing sign and trochlear bump. CT scan was done to measure TT-TG distance and MRI was done to know the status of soft tissue structures including MPFL, quadriceps and intra-articular structures. Patients were selected as per inclusion and exclusion criteria as previously fixed. Patients were sent for anaesthetic fitness and then MPFL reconstruction was planned and posted for surgery.

Operative Procedure
Patient was placed in supine posture with limb hanging from the edge of the operation table from mid-thigh region. Anaesthesia, spinal or general was given and pneumatic tourniquets were applied followed by antiseptic scrubbing and draping.

Arthroscopic evaluation of the knee joint was done using standard anterolateral and anteromedial portals. Undersurface of the patella was visualised with flexion and extension of the knee to assess patellar tracking. Other ligaments and menisci were also seen.

After this, graft was harvested from patient’s own hamstrings. Semitendinosus was harvested from the same limb. After the graft was sized, it was secured in normal saline and gentamycin. Two small incisions were made, one just beneath and off the superior pole of patella and another starting at the adductor tubercle and ending just distal to the medial epicondyle of the femur.

Subcutaneous dissection was done to expose proximal medial retinaculum at its insertion into the proximal medial portion of the patella, after which it is incised about 1.5 cm using blunt dissection space was made between layer 2 and 3 (between MPFL and capsular layer) up to the medial femoral epicondyle. The second incision was made in the saddle area between the adductor tubercle and medial epicondyle.

A superficial trough along the medial aspect of patella along the proximal half was done centering between the articular surface and cortex. After this, two suture anchors were placed in the trough, one just proximal to the midpoint of patella and another just distal to the tip of superior pole of patella keeping the knee in 45-degree flexion directed in the strong cancellous bone.

Figure 1. Suture Anchors seen fixed to Patella
Now site for femoral tunnel was marked approximately 1 cm distal and 5 mm posterior to the adductor tubercle, slight proximal to the medial epicondyle. Confirmation was done using C-arm. A beathpin guidewire was placed at this spot and then tail of the suture anchor was passed through the soft tissue trough made to the area of the guidewire. Knee was moved through 0 to 70 degrees of flexion and tension in the tails of the anchor was observed.

![Figure 2. Exact Location of Femoral attachment Site](image)

The length of graft was measured, ensuring it was greater than 16 cm and loop of the graft was secured with the two suture anchors in the patellar trough. The tails of the graft were then passed through layer 2 and 3. At the selected site of femoral tunnel, beathpin was advanced laterally to pass the skin. A femoral tunnel was made with diameter depending upon the thickness of the graft using beathpin as guidewire.

![Figure 3. Graft pulled out from Femoral Incision Site after passing Layer 2](image)

The whip stitch attached at the two tails of the graft were then placed in the eye of the beathpin and pulled out laterally. After this and before fixing the graft using the composite bioscrew, knee was again flexed and extended to ensure appropriate tensioning. Passive gliding at 30 degrees of flexion should ideally be up to two quadrants. After which the graft was fixed using Biocomposite screw, 1 mm smaller than the tunnel size.

![Figure 4. Biocomposite Screw](image)

After fixation, knee was again moved to ensure the final position. Retinaculum was repaired followed by subcutaneous layer and skin. Sterile compression dressing was applied, tourniquet was deflated and limb was placed in a long knee extension brace.

**Post-Operative Care**

**Immediate**

1. Knee was immobilised in the brace initially.
2. Dressing was changed 48 hours post-operatively.
3. Stitches were removed on 14th post-operative day.
4. Ice packs were applied to decrease post-surgical swelling and effusion.

**Rehabilitation at 0 - 6 Weeks**

1. Weight bearing- Partial weight bearing with crutches and knee extension brace was allowed after 2 weeks, full weight bearing with brace after 4 weeks.
2. Brace application protocol-
   - 0 - 2 weeks- Locked in extension.
   - 2 - 4 weeks- Unlocked for exercises only.
   - 4 - 6 weeks- Unlocked during sleeping.
3. Exercise protocol-
   - Quadriceps sets both supine and prone.
   - Four-way leg lifts with brace-on, in supine for hip strength.
   - Ankle pumps.
   - Ankle isotonic with exercise band.
   - Core training in appropriate positions.
   - Gastrocnemius/soleus stretches.
   - Hamstrings stretches.

**After 4 - 6 Weeks**

- Partial wall squats 0 - 45°.
- Double leg heel raise.
- ROM achieved from 0° - 90° at the end of 6 weeks.
Rehabilitation at 6 - 8 Weeks  
- Full weight bearing with brace unlocked.  
- Progress to full ROM.  
- Continue all exercises.  
- Double limb balance training, gradually shifted to single limb.

Rehabilitation at 8 Weeks – 4 Months  
- Full weight bearing with brace discontinued.  
- Continue previous exercises.  
- Step-up’s start with increase in height.  
- Partial lunges in sagittal plane.  
- Partial squats with resisted terminal knee extension.

Statistical Analysis  
Statistical analysis was done using SPSS Version 16.0. Paired ‘t’ test was applied to compare mean scores of Kujala score at different phases.

RESULTS  
We wanted to compare the Kujala score values at pre-op, 3 months post-op and 6 months post-op, so Shapiro-Wilk test of normality was applied as the sample size was small.

For assessment of distribution pattern of Kujala score at three different phases, Shapiro-Wilk test was applied. Test results showed observation at three different phases to be of normal distribution pattern (p > 0.05). Paired ‘t’ test was applied to compare mean scores of three different phases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>Shapiro-Wilk Test for Normality (P-value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-op Kujala score</td>
<td>71.24</td>
<td>7.195</td>
<td>.349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kujala score at 3 months</td>
<td>67.28</td>
<td>8.269</td>
<td>.187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kujala score at 6 months</td>
<td>75.12</td>
<td>9.466</td>
<td>.124</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Distribution pattern of observation on Kujala Score

There was marked improvement in clinical outcome after MPFL reconstruction at 6 months.
started, and patients were assessed again at 3 and 6 months post-operatively and Kujala knee scores were noted.

The Kujala scores were then analysed to obtain the results at completion of our study. The means of pre-op Kujala score, 3 months post-op Kujala score and 6 months post-op Kujala scores were 71.24, 67.28 and 75.12 respectively.

There was statistically significant difference noted on comparison of the scores at all the three intervals. Kujala score at 3 months post-op was found to be less than pre-op Kujala score and it was statistically significant. Kujala score at 6 months post-op was found to be more than preop score and it was found to be statistically significant.

The difference between the pre-op and at 6 months Kujala score was compared in two age groups, i.e. 18 - 30 years and > 30 years, which showed that the Median difference in case of 18 - 30 years' age group was more as compared to > 30 years' age group.

The difference between the pre-op and at 6 months Kujala score was compared in two sex groups, which showed that the median difference in case of males was more as compared to females.

The difference between the pre-op and at 6 months Kujala score was compared in between sports persons and non-sports persons group, which showed that the Median difference in case of sports persons group was more as compared to non-sports persons group.

But the above three comparisons (age, sex, sports group) were not statistically significant when analysed using non-parametric tests.

The difference between the pre-op and at 6 months Kujala score was compared in two groups i.e. presence or absence of deformity, which showed that the Median difference in case of deformity present group was more as compared to deformity absent group and it was also statistically significant.

CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates that clinical outcomes are good after isolated MPFL reconstruction as evidenced by the Kujala score. Study findings correlate well with other previous studies done on MPFL reconstruction for recurrent patellar dislocation. A wide range of predisposing factors for patellar dislocation has been overlooked while selecting patients for this procedure, for example specific anatomic features such as a normal Q-angle, lack of severe trochlear dysplasia, TT-TG distance and patellar height.

In short, more or less normal knees with history of trauma were included in the study. Given the efficacy of isolated MPFL reconstruction, future investigations should aim to establish more uniform criteria for selecting patients to undergo this procedure. Studies should also include clinical tests alongside knee outcome scores and should also incorporate long-term clinical outcomes to further strengthen the results.
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