DIAGNOSTIC DILEMMAS OF DISORDERS OF SEXUAL DEVELOPMENT - A SIX YEAR STUDY AT A PAEDIATRIC REFERRAL CENTRE.
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ABSTRACT: BACKGROUND: Ambiguous genitalia are currently defined as disorders of sexual development (DSD) and constitute a complex, major social and medical emergency which is fortunately very rare. In developed countries, abnormalities of external genitalia occur in 1.7% of new born. Worldwide, approximately 1 in 2000 children are born with disorders of sexual development. Of all the causes, congenital adrenal hyperplasia remains the most common Aetiology. AIMS AND OBJECTIVES: The present study aims to analyse the various disorders of sexual development, their clinical presentation, histopathological findings and their treatment modalities. MATERIAL AND METHODS: The present study was undertaken at the Department of Pathology, Paediatric referral Hospital, Hyderabad from June 2004 to May 2009, eleven specimens were from children who presented with disorders of sexual development. Detailed case history was taken and all the results were reviewed. The specimens received included Gonadectomies and biopsies from gonads. The Haematoxylin and eosin stained slides were histologically evaluated. RESULTS: This study included a total of 11 children with disorders of sexual development, aged between 1 day and 9 years. Among them 7 were being reared as males, 3 as females, 1 as ambiguous. Out of 11 cases studied, 3 were diagnosed as male pseudo hermaphroditism, 1 female pseudo hermaphroditism, 1 male gonadal dysgenesis, 3 True hermaphroditism and 3 abnormal gonadal development. CONCLUSION: Histopathological confirmation of the gonadal sex plays an important role in the management of these children. There should be no change in sex beyond the second year of life. In the gender assignment, the gold standard is that genetic females should be reared as females. In genetic males, gender assignment is based on size of phallus. Early gender assignment & precise diagnosis in disorders of sexual development is important. Surgical correction, hormonal supplementation and psychosocial management are the various treatment options available.
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INTRODUCTION: Ambiguous genitalia are currently defined as disorders of sexual development (DSD) and constitute a complex, major, social and medical emergency which is fortunately very rare [1]. Harold Garfinkel in 1967 was the first sociologist to work on 'Intersexuality' using a method derived from sociological phenomenology which he called ethnomethodology. He based his analysis on the everyday common sense understanding of 'Agnes', a woman undergoing social and surgical gender reassignment [2].
In developed countries, abnormalities of external genitalia occur in 1.7% of new born. The prevalence of these disorders in most of the developing countries remains unknown. Globally, approximately 1 in 2000 children are born with disorders of sexual development. Among the various problems encountered at birth, ambiguous genitalia remains one of the most distressing problem. Infants with DSD require multidisciplinary approach. Genital appearance has been quoted as an essential factor in childhood sex and psychosexual development.

Although the basic developmental events have long been known, the genetic, biochemical, endocrine, and molecular mechanisms are complex and have only been partially elucidated. The H-Y antigen is understood to be the primary testis inducer. Other genes like SRY (Sex-determining region Y) gene and AMS gene have also been widely elucidated.

The complex interaction between genetic and endocrine processes during fetal development results in the appearance of the external genitalia. Ambiguous genitalia results from over-virilisation or masculinization of 46, XX female from androgen excess or under-virilisation or under-masculization of 46 XY male due to disorder in androgen synthesis or action. Congenital adrenal hyperplasia (CAH) is the most common cause of female virilisation. Although hormonal, genetic, molecular and radiographic investigations play an important role in diagnosis of the DSD, physical examination of the patient remains an important cornerstone for diagnosis.

The current study aims to analyse the various disorders of sexual development, their clinical presentation, histopathological findings and their treatment modalities.

MATERIAL AND METHODS: The present study was undertaken at the Department of Pathology, Paediatric referral Hospital from June 2004 to May 2009. We received a total of 2992 Paediatric surgical specimens and among these eleven specimens were from children who presented with disorders of sexual development. Detailed case history was taken for each case, physical examination was performed. Routine laboratory investigations, histological and radiological examination were done and all the results were reviewed. The specimens received included gonadectomies and biopsies from gonads. The Haematoxylin and eosin stained slides were histologically evaluated. Based on histopathological examination, all the 11 cases were further divided into various categories.

RESULTS: In this present study we reviewed a total of 11 children with disorders of sexual development, aged at presentation between 1 day and 9 years. Among them 7 were being reared as males, 3 as females, 1 as ambiguous (Chart 1).

Based on findings, the cases were diagnosed and categorized into 5 categories (Table 2).

Of 11 cases, 7 cases presented as phenotypically male with clinical presentation (Table 3). 3 cases presented as phenotypically female with virilised external genitalia, while only one of them showed ambiguous genitalia (Table 4).

After investigations, 3 children were diagnosed to have male pseudo hermaphroditism, 1 female pseudohermaphroditism, 3 true hermaphroditism, 3 abnormal gonadal development and 1 showed gonadal dysgenesis (Table 1, 2).

Cases 1, 2 and 3 were diagnosed to have Male pseudohermaphroditism.
CASE 1: A 9 year old female child presented with virilised external genitalia and bilateral inguinal swelling. The inguinal swellings were resected on histology; fibrocollagenous tissue and normal epididymis were found. Seminiferous tubules were not seen.

CASE 2: A 6 year female child presented with virilised external genitalia and bilateral inguinal swelling. On histopathological examination, ovary, follicles, fallopian tubes, endocervix, epididymis along with mullerian duct remnants were detected. The child was diagnosed to have ovotestis (Figure 1).

CASE 3: A case of male pseudohermaphroditism in which a 9 year old child presented with left inguinal mass of 10 months duration, A bilateral Gonadectomy was performed. The left testis measured 4 x 1.5 x 0.8 cm while the right testis measured 3 x 1.5 x 0.5 cm. On ultrasound examination ovaries and uterus were not visualized. Undescended bilateral testes were found in both the inguinal regions. The child was diagnosed as androgen insensitivity syndrome. Cases 4, 5 and 6 were diagnosed as True hermaphroditism.

CASE 4: An 8 year old boy presented with bilateral undescended testis, chordae and hypospadias. On ultrasound examination, a rudimentary uterus on the left side and an undescended normal testis along with hydrocolpos were detected on the right side. He was diagnosed as a case of true hermaphroditism. He underwent laparoscopic excision of the rudimentary uterus. Right orchidopexy and chorde correction were also performed. (Figure 2, 3).

CASE 5: An 8 year old male child presented with hypospadias and right inguinal swelling. Biopsy of gonads was performed. On exploration of the right inguinal region, mullerian duct remnants were detected (Figure 4).

CASE 6: A 9 year old boy presented with inguinal swelling. Right testis was non-palpable. Excision of the rudimentary gonads were performed. Microscopically, testes were found to be atrophic.

Cases 7, 8 and 9 were diagnosed to have abnormal gonadal development.

CASE 7: A 2 year old boy presented with bilateral undescended testis. On Ultra sound examination the right testis seen just below the inguinal ring measuring 2 x 0.5 x 0.1 cm while the left testis measured 1 x 0.5 x 0.5 cm. Uterus and ovaries were absent. Bilateral Gonadectomy, clitoris excision and labia minor reconstruction was performed.

CASE 8: An 8 year old boy presented with bilateral inguinal masses. Bilateral gonadal biopsy was performed. Para mesonephric ducts along with mullerian duct remnants were detected.

CASE 9: A 9 year old boy presented with bilateral inguinal masses. Left undescended testis was detected in the mid inguinal region. Microscopically testes were atrophic. Prominent stroma and tubular structures were detected. Gonadectomy was performed (Figure 5).

CASE 10: A case of female pseudohermaphroditism. 1 day old child presented with ambiguous genitalia. Labio scrotal folds were fused, clitoris was enlarged and gonads were non-palpable. Biopsy...
from gonads performed and measured 1 x 0.5 cm. Mullerian duct remnants and fallopian tubes were detected.

**CASE 11:** A case of Gonadal dysgenesis in which a 1 year old male child presented with left inguinal swelling. On ultrasound examination-rudimentary, streak gonads adjacent to bladder were detected on the left side (Figure 6).

**DISCUSSION:** Sexual differentiation is an orderly sequential process that begins with fertilization \[8\]. A defect at any level can lead to sexual ambiguity. Disorders affecting the end-organ androgen receptor are referred to as the androgen insensitivity syndrome (AIS) \[9\].

Disorders of sexual development can be classified into \[10\]: 1. Female pseudohermaphroditism; 2. Male pseudo-hermaphroditism; 3. True hermaphroditism; 4. Asymmetrical gonadal dysgenesis. While aetologically \[11\], patients with DSD can be classified into 3 categories which include Sex chromosome DSD, 46 XY DSD and 46 XX DSD.

Clinical assessment of DSD should include the history of drug intake in pregnancy and physical examination. The specific etiological diagnosis can be achieved by the appropriate hormonal investigations. A definitive diagnosis is also based on tissue receptor studies. However the exact determinants of gender identity still remain relatively unknown \[12\]. Clinical management of DSD is controversial because the available evidence is limited and contradictory, with no long-term population based studies comparing matched controls \[13\].

In this present study we reviewed a total of 11 children with DSD, aged at presentation between 1 day and 9 years. Among them 7 (63%) were being reared as males, 3 (27%) as females, 1 (1%) as ambiguous.

In a study by Rajendran et al. (1995) \[14\] which included 35 children with DSD, 2 were neonates, 19 were in the age group between 1 month and 2 years, nine between 2 and 5 years and 5 between 5 and 15 years.

Dessouky (2001) \[15\] evaluated 314 patients with DSD. At the time of presentation, 166 were reared as males and 148 as females and about 98 cases were classified as misassigned.

Crawford et al. (2009) \[16\] evaluated 41 cases of disorders of sex development. The mean age of the patients at the time of study was 7.5 ± 2.1 years. There were 19 female and 22 male participants and most common diagnosis in females was CAH while mixed gonadal dysgenesis in males. While Osifo et al. (2009) \[17\] reviewed a total of 40 female children with congenital aberrations of external genitalia, aged between 3 months and 16 years. Commonest causes were CAH and female pseudo hermaphroditism.

Of the 11 patients in our study, the karyotype was 46XY in 10 patients while 46XX in only one patient. No abnormal karyotype was reported. In a series by Kulkarni et al. (2009) \[18\] among 58 children, 43 (74.1%) and 10 (17.2%) were raised as males and females respectively. The karyotype was 46XY in 45 (77.6%) and 46XX in 12 (20.7%).

Al-Mulhim et al. (2010) \[19\] reviewed 41 patients with ambiguous genitalia and reported 14 patients with 46, XX karyotype. 18 patients had 46XY karyotype. 3 patients presented with abnormal karyotype. The karyotype was uncertain in 6 patients. Jaja et al. (2011) \[3\] reported 6 patients with ambiguous external genitalia with the age ranges from birth to 16 years. CAH was the commonest diagnosis.
Erdogan et al. (2011) [11] reviewed 95 patients, 26 patients had sex chromosome DSD, 45 patients had 46, XY DSD and 24 patients had 46, XX DSD. Most common causes of DSDs were Turner’s syndrome, CAH and AIS. Our study revealed that the most common DSD was male pseudohermaphroditism and true hermaphroditism. While in the studies by Rajendran et al. (1995) [14] and Gollu et al. (2007) [4] DSD were most commonly classified into Female pseudohermaphroditism. Studies by Dessouky (2001) [15] and Joshi et al. (2006) [1] reported male pseudohermaphroditism to be the commonest DSD (Table 5).

CONCLUSION: Histopathologic confirmation of the gonadal sex plays an significant role in the patients with disorders of sexual development. There should be no change in sex beyond the second year of life. In the gender assignment, the gold standard is that genetic females should be reared as females. In genetic males, gender assignment is based on size of phallus, if phallus is < 1.5 cm long, child should be reared as female. Early gender assignment and precise diagnosis in disorders of sexual development is important. Surgical correction, hormonal supplementation and psychosocial management are the various treatment options available. This study is an attempt made to throw light on the various disorders of sexual development so that early treatment will be available to these children to avoid the unnecessary discrimination and humiliation and gender identity disorders.
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**TABLE 1: Table showing the Details of the Cases in our study.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CASE</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>LEGAL SEX</th>
<th>KARYOTYPE</th>
<th>CLINICAL PRESENTATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9 years</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>XY</td>
<td>Virilized external genitalia with B/L inguinal swelling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6 Years</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>XY</td>
<td>Virilized external genitalia with B/L inguinal swelling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>9 Years</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>XY</td>
<td>Left inguinal mass, Ambiguous external genitalia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8 Years</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>XY</td>
<td>Bilateral undescended testes, chordae, hypospadias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8 Years</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>XY</td>
<td>Hypospadias with Right inguinal swelling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>9 Years</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>XY</td>
<td>Inguinal swelling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2 Years</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>XY</td>
<td>Bilateral undescended testes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8 Years</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>XY</td>
<td>Bilateral inguinal masses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9 Years</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>XY</td>
<td>Bilateral inguinal masses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1 day</td>
<td>Male / Female</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Ambiguous genitalia with fused labio-scrotal folds and congenital Colon anomaly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>XY</td>
<td>Left inguinal mass</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 2: Percentages of Different Categories of disorders of sexual development in our study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>NO. OF CASES</th>
<th>PERCENTAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male pseudo hermaphroditism</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female pseudo hermaphroditism</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal gonadal development</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonadal dysgenesis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>True hermaphroditism</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 3: Clinical Presentation of Male Children in our study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PHENOTYPICALLY MALE CHILDREN</th>
<th>NO. OF CASES</th>
<th>PERCENTAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inguinal swellings</td>
<td>7/7</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immature scrotal sac</td>
<td>7/7</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impalpable testis</td>
<td>7/7</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shortened phallus length</td>
<td>3/7</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypospadias with or without chordae</td>
<td>4/7</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 4: Clinical Presentation of Female Children in our study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PHENOTYPICALLY FEMALE CHILDREN</th>
<th>NO. OF CASE</th>
<th>PERCENTAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Virilised external genitalia</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambiguous genitalia</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 5: Comparison of literature with our present study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male pseudohermaphroditism</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>True pseudohermaphroditism</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female pseudohermaphroditism</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonadal dysgenesis</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIGURE 1: (A) Clinical picture of 6 year female child with male pseudo hermaphroditism. (B) Gross picture of Gonadectomy specimen.

FIGURE 2: (A) A case of true hermaphroditism in 8 year male child (B) Grossly Gonadectomy specimen shows gray white-gray brown soft tissue mass measuring 5x4x2 cm.

FIGURE 3: Photomicrograph shows structure of endometrium, cervix and atrophic seminiferous tubules.
FIGURE 4: Photomicrograph shows remnants of Mullerian ducts (Haematoxylin and Eosin, 40X)

FIGURE 5: (A) Grossly Gonadectomy specimen, shows gray white soft tissue mass. (B) Photomicrograph shows seminiferous tubules (Haematoxylin and Eosin, 40X).

FIGURE 6: Photomicrograph shows loss of architecture and decreased seminiferous tubules (Haematoxylin and Eosin, 10X).

CHARTS:
CHART 1: Phenotypical proportions of disorders of sexual development reviewed in our study.
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