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ABSTRACT: BACKGROUND & AIM OF THE STUDY: The facial nerve gives off five main terminal branches within the substance of parotid gland. They diverge within the gland and supply the muscles of facial expression. Distinctive anastomotic patterns has been previously studied and reported. These anastomoses have pragmatic significance in surgical terms. The purpose of our study is to review the branching pattern of the facial nerve on the face and evaluate the variant anatomical relationships with adjacent structures. MATERIALS & METHODS: The study was done at Kasturba Medical College, Manipal and Hassan institute of medical sciences, Hassan. The facial nerve was dissected on thirty cadavers bilaterally (60 sides). The five terminal branches were observed from their point of origin in the parotid gland and traced to their termination. The distances from the neighboring structures were measured to elucidate anatomical relations further. The results obtained were analyzed and compared with the previous studies. RESULTS: Wide variation in the branching pattern of facial nerve was noted. CONCLUSION: The knowledge of variant relations of the facial nerve branches will be of utmost importance for the maxillofacial and plastic surgeons for the preservation of the facial nerve in surgeries like parotidectomy, reconstructive surgeries of the face etc. The variations in the branching pattern can also presumably explain why accidental or deliberate division of a particular branch often fails to result in the anticipated facial nerve weakness. KEYWORDS: FN-facial nerve, LC-lateral canthus, CT-center of tragus, MP-midpoint, UB-upper buccal, LB-lower buccal, MM-marginal mandibular, SMAS-superficial musculoaponeurotic system.

INTRODUCTION: Identification and preservation of facial nerve along with the surrounding anatomic tissue is required in Parotid tumor surgery, Rhytidectomy (facelift surgery), Facial plastic and reconstructive surgery. Extra parotid course of the facial nerve is studied extensively with cadaveric dissections. Distances of the branches from anatomic landmarks, are not always similar during surgery. The aim of our study was to evaluate the variant anatomical relationships of the branches with adjacent structures. In addition, to assess the branching patterns of the facial nerve at the exit point from parotid gland at a vulnerable location that a surgeon would encounter during aforementioned procedures.

Baker & Conley, 1979 reported incidence of facial nerve injury is 0.4-2.6% in face lift surgery. Risk for facial nerve injury has been reported to be increased with the inclusion of superficial musculoaponeurotic system (SMAS) elevation as compared with a skin-only face lift. SMAS is a composite fibro fatty layer of collagen, elastic and muscle fibers derived from primitive platysma. Elevated superficial to the parotid gland.
In elevating the SMAS anterior to the parotid gland, the facial nerve is at risk of injury as its branches emerge from the anterior edge of the parotid gland (Wilhelmi et al., 2003). Branches are identified more accurately by the termination in the facial muscles. Location at their exit points from parotid gland may give a clue to identification of the branch. May be controversy in identification some of the branches at this point, Consequence of division of any facial nerve branch ultimately will result in paralysis of facial muscles hence to be taken care of during surgery.

MATERIALS AND METHOD:
- We dissected 30 formalin embalmed cadavers bilaterally that were free from any gross craniofacial malformations.
- After the facial nerve branches were identified distally to the anterior margin of the parotid gland, further dissection proceeded peripherally.
- This study consists of two investigations concerning distribution of the facial nerve.
  1. Morphometry was performed following the method of Saylam et al (2006).
  2. The branching pattern of the facial nerve was observed.
- All measurements were made using digital calipers and the data was presented in tabular format.

The five distinct branches were identified:
- Temporal: above lateral canthus
- Zygomatic: below lateral canthus (Qi et. al.,)
- Buccal: typically lies below the duct
- Marginal mandibular: across the body of the mandible
- Cervical: from the lower part of the parotid gland and runs anteroinferiorly under platysma to the front of the neck (Standring et al, 2008).
MORPHOMETRY:
CT- centre of tragus
L- lateral canthus
MP- midpoint off CT & L
T- temporal branch
Z- zygomatic branch
B- buccal branch
MM- mandibular branch
C- cervical branch

BRANCHES OF FACIAL NERVE:
1. Temporal
2. Zygomatic
3. Buccal
4. Marginal mandibular
5. Cervical
OBSERVATIONS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance</th>
<th>MEAN (CM)</th>
<th>STANDARD DEVIATION (CM)</th>
<th>RANGE (CM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tragus to lateral canthus</td>
<td>7.87</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>7.2-8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midpoint</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>3.6-4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tragus to temporal</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>1.3-3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midpoint to zygomatic</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.2-3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midpoint to upper buccal</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>2.1-4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midpoint to lower buccal</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>2.6-7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midpoint to marginal mandibular</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>6.4-9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midpoint to cervical</td>
<td>8.53</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>7.3-10.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Showing the various distances measured

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Branches of Facial Nerve</th>
<th>TEMPORAL</th>
<th>ZYGOMATIC</th>
<th>BUCCAL</th>
<th>M.MANDIBULAR</th>
<th>CERVICAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T-1</td>
<td>33.32%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>B-1</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
<td>C-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-2</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
<td>B-2</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>C-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-3</td>
<td>8.32%</td>
<td>33.32%</td>
<td>B-3</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>C-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-4</td>
<td>8.32%</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
<td>B-4</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
<td>C-4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Showing the frequency of branches of facial nerve
DISCUSSION:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>T</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>MM</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean (cm)</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation (cm)</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum number</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum number</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baker &amp; Clonley, 1979</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table showing the number of branches of facial nerve

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ZYGOMATIC BRANCH</th>
<th>SAYLAM</th>
<th>PRESENT STUDY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Z-1</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-2</td>
<td>69.7%</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-3</td>
<td>25.8%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table showing the frequency of zygomatic branch

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BUCCAL BRANCH</th>
<th>YOUNG12</th>
<th>PRESENT STUDY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B-1</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>8.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-2</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-3</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table showing the frequency of buccal branch

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MARGINAL MANDIBULAR</th>
<th>EKINCI13</th>
<th>PRESENT STUDY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MM-2</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table showing the frequency of marginal mandibular branch

Zygomatic branch at risk in preauricular region in temporo-mandibular joint surgery. Over zygomatic arch in facial trauma. Temporal and zygomatic branches in orbital reconstruction in cosmetic procedures. Temporal in brow lift surgery (Schmidt et al, 2001). Distal to the parotid gland, danger areas where nerve branches became superficial were distal temporal, lower buccal, upper mandibular branches over the masseter muscle, marginal mandibular as it crossed the facial artery (Rudolph, 1990). Alternatively 3 danger zones are temporal, marginal mandibular and midfacial region (Wilhelmi et al., 2003).

Campero A et al 2008, conducted to clarify the relationships between the extracranial portion of the facial nerve (FN) and the zygomatic arch (ZA). In a vertical plane just anterior to the tragus, the distance from the superior edge of the ZA to the facial nerve (FN) is, on average, 26.88 cm.
mm. The FN then courses superiorly and anteriorly, crossing the ZA 18.65 mm anterior to the tragus on average. This so called facial-zygomatic triangle represents the area where surgical dissection can be performed with no risk of damaging the FN. Thus, the closer one stays to the tragus, the lesser the risk of damaging the FN below the ZA. If the incision is carried out on a vertical plane closer to the tragus, the skin can be safely cut up to 2 cm below the ZA. Present study findings are consistent (2.16mm not within 18mm) and it is the safe area.

Saylam C et al 2006², has mentioned a total of 69.7% of the cadavers had two branches, 25.8% had three branches, and 4.5% had a single zygomatic branch. The mean horizontal distance of the zygomatic branch (the most upper one) as it emerged from the anterior border of the parotid gland and the tragus was 30.71 mm, whereas the mean vertical distance of the zygomatic branch from the midpoint between the tragus and the lateral palpebral commissure was 19.29 mm. The branching patterns with the buccal branches were reported. In present study the shows the inconsistent with the measurements of 1.3+/-1.02. So application of the results in the facial surgery is safe with present measurements. There were no statistical differences between the left and right sides or between the sexes.

Saylam et al 2006⁷, has mentioned, the buccal branches of the facial nerve are very vulnerable to surgical injury because of its location in the midface. For this reason, the surgeons who are willing to operate on this area should have a true knowledge about the anatomy of these branches. Measurements of present study also states buccal branches are variable in position, so they are dangerous in the midface region in facial surgery.

Saylam et al 2007⁸, has studied the location of marginal mandibular branch (MMBFN) of facial nerve. The relationships between the MMBFN and the inferior border of the mandible were recorded and analyzed. We found that posterior to the facial artery, the MMBFN ran above the inferior border of the mandible in 37 (74%) of the specimens. In 11 (22%) specimens, below the inferior border of the mandible. The MMBFN is one of the most vulnerable branches to surgical injury because of its location. Measurements of this study show MMBFN is safe in facial surgery. For this reason, the surgeons who are willing to operate on this area, especially for the rhytidectomies, should have a true knowledge about the anatomy of this branch.

Schmidt BL et al 2001⁹, has used landmark as lateral canthus and studied temporal branch. The temporal branch was an average of 2.85 +/- 0.69 cm superior to the lateral canthus and an average of 2.54 +/- 0.43 cm lateral to the lateral canthus as it courses into the orbicularis oculi muscle. The mean vertical distance between the temporal and zygomatic nerves was 1.72 +/- 0.62 cm. Present study shows the slight variation in the measurements because of the number of temporal and
zygomatic branches also varies. so Incisions superior or inferior and parallel to the course of the facial nerve, can provide access to the frontozygomatic suture and the superior and lateral orbit without damaging its branches.

Gosian AK et al 1997\textsuperscript{10}, studied temporal branch of the facial nerve and used landmark as 3-D between two layers of temporal region, and states that the temporal branch is not a single nerve branch but consists of multiple rami that cross the zygomatic arch anywhere for over half the length of its inferior border.

Wilhelmi et al 2003\textsuperscript{1}, studied temporal branch of facial nerve by using landmarks as anterior edge of parotid gland from tragus and mesenteric tuberosity along the zygomatic arch, The risk for facial nerve injury has been reported to be increased with the inclusion of superficial musculoaponeurotic system (SMAS) elevation as compared with a skin-only face lift. The facial nerve courses through the parotid gland. The SMAS is elevated superficial to the parotid gland. However, in elevating the SMAS anterior to the parotid gland, the facial nerve is at risk of injury where its branches emerge from the anterior edge of the parotid gland.

Sekiya T et al 1990\textsuperscript{11}, studied the frontotemporal branch of the facial nerve by using landmark as auditory canal. Incising the frontotemporal branch of the facial nerve could be avoided by identifying the crossing point of the frontotemporal branch of the facial nerve on the superior border of the zygomatic arch. The crossing points were investigated and in most cases they existed between 2 cm and 6 cm from the anterior border of the external auditory canal. Another important point to preserve the facial nerve is to conserve the layer in which the facial nerve is included.

There were no statistical differences between the left and right sides or between the sexes.
Therefore, the surgical anatomy in the region of the zygomatic arch and temporal area was reviewed in detail. This knowledge is crucial for neurosurgeons to dissect precisely in this region without causing postoperative facial palsy.

**CONCLUSION:**
1. The knowledge of variant relations of the nerves will be of utmost importance for the surgeons for preservation of facial nerve in surgeries like maxillofacial, parotidectomy, facial plastic, reconstructive, rhytidectomy on the face and temporomandibular joint surgery etc.
2. Accidental division of particular branch often resulting in the anticipated facial muscle weakness and possible medicolegal consequences on surgeon will be avoided.
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