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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

Allergic rhinitis is defined clinically by a combination of two or more nasal symptoms; runny nose, blocked nose, itching, and sneezing, when occur as a result of IgE-mediated inflammation following exposure to allergen. Its characteristic feature is local accumulation of inflammatory cells including T lymphocytes, mast cells, eosinophils, basophils and neutrophils. Nasal cytology is a very useful diagnostic tool in diagnosing nasal allergic disorders which allows clinicians to detect the cellular modifications of the nasal epithelium caused by exposure to either physical or chemical, acute or chronic irritations and to evaluate the different types of inflammation.

Aims- 1. To study the presence of eosinophils in nasal smear of patients with Allergic Rhinitis. 2. To establish the diagnostic importance of eosinophils in nasal smear of patients with Allergic Rhinitis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This hospital-based descriptive comparative study was conducted in the Department of ENT, Fakhruddin Ali Ahmed Medical College and Hospital, Barpeta, Assam from July 2016 to April 2017 in 40 patients of allergic rhinitis diagnosed on the basis of history & clinical features typical of allergic rhinitis. A control group of 40 patients who were non-allergic were also included in the study.

RESULTS

Cytological evaluation of nasal smear of patients in both the study group and control group revealed that 82.5% cases in the study group had eosinophils in their nasal smear cytological examination. 95% cases in the control group had no eosinophilia. Only 2 cases i.e. 5% cases had slight eosinophilia. Histopathological examination of biopsy specimen of nasal polyp in 8 cases revealed that all the 8 cases had eosinophils of different grades in their biopsy tissues.

CONCLUSION

The study concluded that there exists a strong correlation between nasal smear eosinophilia and allergic rhinitis (p value less than 0.0001 which is extremely statistically significant). Nasal smear cytology is a simple, non-invasive, cost effective test to determine the presence or absence of eosinophils in allergic rhinitis and allergic nasal polyps and can be applied routinely as a reliable and inexpensive OPD tool in diagnosing allergic rhinitis where other sophisticated laboratory investigations are not readily available.
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BACKGROUND

Allergic rhinitis is defined clinically by a combination of two or more nasal symptoms; runny, blocking, itching and sneezing, when occur as a result of IgE-mediated inflammation following exposure to allergen.1 Allergic rhinitis is one of the commonest immunologic disease experienced by humans. The management of allergic rhinitis constitutes a large proportion of day-to-day practice of otolaryngologists as well as general practitioners. Although frequently trivialised by patients and doctors, it remains a common cause of morbidity, social embarrassment and impaired performance at workplace. Allergic rhinitis is a global health problem and is increasing in prevalence.2,3

A characteristic feature of allergic inflammation is local accumulation of inflammatory cells including T lymphocytes, mast cells, eosinophils, basophils and neutrophils.1 Release of various mediators from these cells is responsible for the symptoms of allergic rhinitis which can be divided into early or delayed (late) phase response. Early phase response is mainly due to mediators released from degranulation of mast cells following exposure to an antigen. This antigen binds to mast cell bound IgE. Major mediators released are histamine, prostaglandin, thromboxane A2, bradykinin and platelet activation factor, etc. Accumulation of additional inflammatory cells such as eosinophils and T cells occurs through chemokine attraction. These cells then release additional mediators such as eosinophil cationic protein and major basic protein, which promote a second inflammatory effect approximately 3-6 hours after allergen exposure and known as delayed allergic response.4

Nasal cytology is a very useful diagnostic tool in diagnosing nasal allergic disorders.5,6 The technique allows clinicians to detect the cellular modifications of the nasal epithelium caused by exposure to either physical or chemical, acute or chronic irritations.7,8 Also, it makes it easy to
evaluate the different types of inflammation (viral, bacterial, fungal or parasitical).\textsuperscript{9,10}

Nasal cytology was introduced in 1889, when Gollash highlighted the presence of numerous eosinophils in the nasal secretions of an asthmatic patient and suggested that these cells could be the key elements for the pathogenesis of the disease.\textsuperscript{11} Eyermann, in 1927, detected the presence of granulocyte eosinophils in the nasal secretions of allergic patients and showed their importance in diagnosing the disease.\textsuperscript{12}

Over the past few years, nasal cytology has shown to be quite an attractive tool in clinical and scientific applications. It has attributed to the identification of specific cellular subsets related to different nasal pathologies.\textsuperscript{13,14,15} This consideration has opened the way to the routine use of nasal cytology in the study of allergic and non-allergic, infectious and inflammatory rhinitis. Moreover, this method is simple, safe, non-invasive and poorly expensive, it could be routinely used in outpatient clinics at all ages, even in children.\textsuperscript{16}

Aims of the Study

1. To study the presence of eosinophils in nasal smear of patients with allergic rhinitis.
2. To establish the diagnostic importance of eosinophils in nasal smear of patients with allergic rhinitis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This hospital-based descriptive comparative study was conducted in the Department of ENT Fakhruddin Ali Ahmed Medical College and Hospital, Barpeta, Assam from July 2016 to April 2017 in patients of allergic rhinitis diagnosed clinically after fulfilling inclusion and exclusion criteria. The patients were diagnosed on the basis of history & clinical features typical of allergic rhinitis which included sneezing, rhinorrhea, nasal itching and nasal obstruction. A control group of 40 patients who were non-allergic were also included in the study.

Inclusion Criteria

1. Patients above the age group of 15 years irrespective of gender, demographic profile, and socioeconomic status were included in the study.
2. Patients with clinical features which include combination of two or more nasal symptoms; runny, blocking, itching, and sneezing for more than 4 consecutive weeks.
3. Patients who were not treated with steroid in the past.

Exclusion Criteria

1. Patients with signs of super-imposed nasal infection.
2. Patients on anti-allergic treatment like antihistaminics and topical or systemic steroids.
3. Patients who is a known case of asthma on treatment or with chronic illness.
4. Previous surgical operations involving the nose and paranasal sinuses.

During the course of study 64 patients of allergic rhinitis presented to the OPD but 53 patients fulfilled the inclusion and exclusion criteria. All the 53 patients were diagnosed based on the history & clinical features typical of allergic rhinitis. Patient's demographic and clinical data including the presence or absence of a family history for atopic symptoms were also recorded on standard questionnaire forms.

All the cases underwent nasal smear examination for eosinophil count, absolute eosinophil count, skin-prick test (to determine the allergic state on the volar part of the forearm with a standard battery of common aeroallergens) and biopsy in 8 cases of nasal polyp. But only 40 patients presented with all investigation reports and hence 40 cases were included in the study group.

Control group consisted of 40 individuals, with age and sex match with the study group. The control group was recruited with their voluntary consent from the outpatient clinic of ENT departments who came for ailments or surgical procedures other than nasal symptoms and symptoms related to allergy.

Nasal Smear Examination

Anterior rhinoscopy was performed, after application of a local vasoconstrictor (xylometazoline 0.1%). The smears were collected by scraping the mucous membrane of the inferior meatus with a cotton applicator and smear was made on a glass slide. The slide was air dried and fixed with absolute alcohol. The slide was stained with 1 mL Wright-Giemsa stain for 10 min., and then rinsed with distilled water and air dried. The slide was then stained with 2 mL haematoxylin and eosin for 5 min., then rinsed with distilled water and air dried. The slide was then studied under high power magnification of light microscope and eosinophil per hundred leukocytes was calculated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grading</th>
<th>Eosinophil Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No eosinophilia</td>
<td>&lt; 5% eosinophils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slight eosinophilia</td>
<td>5-10% eosinophils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate eosinophilia</td>
<td>&gt;10% but &lt; 50% eosinophils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe/Marked eosinophilia</td>
<td>&gt; 50% eosinophils</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Scale to Interpret Nasal Smear Eosinophilia

Absolute eosinophil count was also performed. A reference range of 40 to 440 cells per microlitre is considered as normal absolute count for eosinophils. Any value above this reference range is labelled as eosinophilia. Peripheral blood smears were studied using Leishman’s stain. Nasal polyps were surgically removed under general anaesthesia. For histological examination, nasal polyp samples were fixed in 10% formalin, embedded in paraffin, cut with the rotary microtome into 5-μm sections. Histological examination was performed using a light microscope after staining the sections with haematoxylin and eosin stain. Eosinophils in the surface layer in each section were counted and calculated the average number of eosinophils per HPF. In biopsy, cellular grading was done as follow: Mild = grade 1 = few cells (5-15 eosinophils) per HPF, Moderate = grade 2 = moderate number of cells (16-49 eosinophils) per HPF, Severe = grade 3 = many eosinophils (>50 eosinophils/HPF) and eosinophils in clumps.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analysed statistically in GraphPad Software 2017 Inc version. Age of the patients in both study and control group were arranged with mean age with standard deviation. The interpretation of results of nasal smear in both study and control group were evaluated by p value calculated by...
Fisher’s exact test to know the significance of the study. Association between absolute eosinophil count and nasal smear eosinophilia was established by using chi-square test and p value. Sensitivity and specificity of the nasal smear examination and skin-prick test was done manually to correctly identify the true positive rate and true negative rate respectively.

### RESULTS
This hospital-based descriptive comparative study was conducted in the Dept. of ENT, FAAMCH, Barpeta with a study population of 40 cases after fulfilling inclusion and exclusion criteria and a control group of 40 patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical Features</th>
<th>No. of Patients</th>
<th>% of Patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sneezing</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>92.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itching in the nose</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Runny nose</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal obstruction</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>52.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal polyp</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3. Clinical Features in the Study Group**

The study shows that 100% cases had runny nose followed by sneezing in 92.5% cases, nasal obstruction in 52.5% cases, itching in the nose in 45% cases and nasal polyposis in 20% cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade of Eosinophilia</th>
<th>Study Group (n = 40)</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No eosinophilia (&lt; 5% eosinophils)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slight eosinophilia (5-10% eosinophils)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate eosinophilia (&gt;10% but &lt; 50% eosinophils)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>42.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe/Marked eosinophilia (&gt; 50% eosinophils)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4. Interpretation of Eosinophilia in Study and Control Group**

Cytological evaluation of nasal smear of patients in both the study group and control group revealed that 33 cases i.e. 82.5% cases in the study group had eosinophils in their nasal smear cytological examination. 7 cases (17.5%) had less than 5% nasal eosinophilia. 42.5% cases had moderate eosinophilia followed by 30% cases with slight eosinophilia and 10% cases with severe eosinophilia. 95% cases in the control group had less than 5% nasal eosinophilia (no eosinophilia). Only 2 cases i.e. 5% cases were with slight eosinophilia. P value is less than 0.0001 (Extremely statistically significant).

**Table 5. Peripheral Blood Absolute Eosinophil Count in Study and Control Group**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade of Eosinophils</th>
<th>No. of Patients</th>
<th>% of Patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5-15 eosinophils/HPF)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>62.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(16-49 eosinophils/HPF)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 6. Histology of Biopsy Specimen of Nasal Polyp (n = 8)**

In our study, it was seen that 8 cases had nasal polyp. All 8 cases had undergone histopathological examination of biopsy specimen of nasal polyp. It was observed that all the 8 cases had eosinophils of different grades in their nasal polyp biopsy tissues. 62.5% cases had grade 2 eosinophilia followed by 25% cases had grade 1 eosinophilia and 12.5 cases had grade 3 eosinophilia.

**Table 7. Correlation between Skin Test vs. Nasal Smear Test**

Sensitivity = 88.6%
Specificity = 25%
Correlation between nasal smear test and skin prick test was analysed. It was observed that 24 patients (87.8%) had positive nasal smear as well as skin test. 4 patients had positive skin test but negative nasal smear test. 3 patients had positive nasal smear test but negative skin test while 4 patients had both negative nasal smear test and skin test.

**DISCUSSION**

This hospital-based descriptive comparative study was conducted to study the presence of eosinophils in nasal smear of patients with allergic rhinitis and to establish the diagnostic importance of eosinophils in nasal smear of patients with allergic rhinitis.

Our study had 40 patients in the study group and equal number of subjects in the control group. Study group comprised of patients above 15 years with mean age of 28.65 ± 10.24 years. Control group had mean age of 32.42 ± 9.02 years. Harshvardhan et al in their study had mean age of 31.14 years and 29.63 years in study group and control group respectively. Sood et al[10] found the mean age of the study group to be 28.02 years which is close to our study.

The study group included 17 males and 23 females. The sex ratio is 1: 0.739. Harshvardhan et al[17] in their study had sex ratio of 1: 1.5. However, Sood et al[10] had 45% males and 55% females in their study.

While analysing the clinical features of the subjects in the study group, it was seen that 100% cases had runny nose followed by sneezing in 92.5% cases, nasal obstruction in 52.5% cases, itching in the nose in 45% cases and nasal polyposis in 20% cases. Vaidya et al[19] found that 96% cases had runny nose followed by sneezing in 90% cases, pale mucosa in 80%, itching and nasal obstruction in 50% cases each.

Cytological evaluation of nasal smear of patients in both the study group and control group revealed that 33 cases i.e. 82.5% cases in the study group had eosinophils in their nasal smear cytological examination. 7 cases (17.5%) had less than 5% nasal eosinophilia. 42.5% cases had moderate eosinophilia followed by 30% cases with slight eosinophilia and 10% cases with severe eosinophilia. 95% cases in the control group had less than 5% nasal eosinophilia (no eosinophilia). Only 2 cases i.e. 5% cases had slight eosinophilia.

The correlation between Allergic Rhinitis and nasal eosinophilia was first emphasised by Eyermann in 1927 who reported a series of 92 cases with 72% showing eosinophils in the nasal secretions.[12]

Bryan et al in 1974 concluded that increased number of eosinophils are found in nasal mucosa in active allergic nasal disease. In contrast, the normal nasal cytology usually demonstrates no eosinophils.[14] Bhandari et al[20] in 1972 found nasal smear for eosinophil to be positive in 81.6% of cases. Miller et al[21] in 1982 found positive nasal smears for eosinophil in 45% of cases and in 5% of controls while Urmil et al[22] in 1984 reported eosinophilia in about 90% of cases. In the study of Takwoingi et al[23] in 2003, they found the rate of nasal eosinophilia as 76%. In a study conducted by Sood in 2005, positive nasal smear for eosinophils was found in about 80% of cases and only in 5% of controls.[18]

Correlation between nasal smear eosinophilia and peripheral blood absolute eosinophil count was studied and it was found that 36 cases (90%) in study group had absolute eosinophil count (AEC) more than 440/mm³ (significant) while 7.5% cases in control group had AEC more than 440/mm³. Vaidya et al[19] in their study also established the correlation between nasal eosinophilia and AEC by concluding that 90% cases in the study group had AEC > 440/mm³. But the study conducted by Patel and Nagpal[24] (2014) showed blood AEC does not contribute in diagnosis of allergic rhinitis with present standardisation of grading.

In our study, it was seen that 8 cases out of 40 patients (20%) in the study group had nasal polyps. All the cases had undergone histopathological examination of biopsy specimen of nasal polyp. It was observed that all the 8 cases had eosinophils of different grades in their nasal poly tissue. 62.5% cases had grade 2 eosinophilia followed by 25% cases had grade 1 eosinophilia and 12.5 cases had grade 3 eosinophilia. Vaidya et al[19] found 20 cases of nasal polyps out of 50 cases of allergic rhinitis (40%). Histopathological examinations of nasal polyps showed grade 1, grade 2 and grade 3 eosinophilia in 20%, 70% and 10% cases respectively. Similarly, studies by Perić et al[25] and Garin et al also concluded that allergic nasal polyposis patients have significant level of eosinophils in the Histopathological specimens.

While analysing the relationship between nasal smear test and skin prick test, it was found that there exists a high degree of correlation. Around 88 percent of the cases had both the tests positive, thereby being complimentary to each other and further substantiating the diagnosis of allergic rhinitis. Also, a few false positive and false negative cases, 3 and 4 respectively were also seen. The sensitivity of the test is 88.6% and specificity was 25%. This observation is similar to the findings of the study made by Sood.[18] Romero et al observed that correlation between nasal smear test and skin prick test existed in 72% cases.[27]

**CONCLUSION**

This hospital-based descriptive comparative study conducted on 80 subjects (40 patients in study group and 40 cases in control group) concluded that there exists a strong correlation between nasal smear eosinophilia and allergic rhinitis. Nasal smear cytology is a simple, non-invasive, cost effective test to determine the presence or absence of eosinophils in allergic rhinitis and allergic nasal polyps. It has a good correlation with blood AEC, skin prick test and histological findings in allergic nasal polyps. Hence, nasal smear cytological test can be applied routinely as a reliable and inexpensive OPD tool in diagnosing allergic rhinitis where other sophisticated laboratory investigations are not readily available. However, detailed history taking and complete physical examination must be carried out along with interpretation of nasal smear cytological test for diagnosis of allergic rhinitis.
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