ROLE OF INCISION SITE IN REDUCING SURGICALLY INDUCED
ASTIGMATISM IN MANUAL SMALL INCISION CATARACT SURGERY
K. Sathish, D. N. Prakash, Gunendra Shilpa, Kaup Soujanya, B. K. Kanchana, Ambika A. Acharya, Amar Kulkarni, Uma Balakrishnan.

1. Associate Professor. Department of Ophthalmology, Krishnarajendra Hospital, Mysore Medical College & Research Institute, Mysore.
2. Assistant Professor. Department of Ophthalmology, Krishnarajendra Hospital, Mysore Medical College & Research Institute, Mysore.
3. Resident. Department of Ophthalmology, Krishnarajendra Hospital, Mysore Medical College & Research Institute, Mysore.
4. Resident. Department of Ophthalmology, Krishnarajendra Hospital, Mysore Medical College & Research Institute, Mysore.
5. Resident. Department of Ophthalmology, Krishnarajendra Hospital, Mysore Medical College & Research Institute, Mysore.
6. Resident. Department of Ophthalmology, Krishnarajendra Hospital, Mysore Medical College & Research Institute, Mysore.
7. Resident. Department of Ophthalmology, Krishnarajendra Hospital, Mysore Medical College & Research Institute, Mysore.
8. Resident. Department of Ophthalmology, Krishnarajendra Hospital, Mysore Medical College & Research Institute, Mysore.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:
Dr. K. Sathish,
No. 826-I,’USHE’,
9th B Cross Road, Roopa Nagar,
Mysore- 570026.
E-mail: drsathishkeshav@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION: Phacoemulsification and foldable IOLs allow modern day surgeon to aim at minimal induction of any astigmatic error as well as correct any pre-operative refractive errors thus making patients’ life, spectacle free i.e. unaided emmetropia. But its high price and maintenance with a long learning curve for the surgeon make it unsuitable for the Indian camp scenario.

Manual SICS in comparison needs a larger incision for both nucleus removal and a rigid IOL insertion, but still provides for a sutureless and convenient alternative to phaco. Manual SICS does induce some amount of astigmatism by altering corneal curvatures (i.e., by coupling effect), while phaco surgery with 3 mm incision is astigmatically neutral. Manifold of studies have been done to compare Surgically Induced Astigmatism of manual SICS to phaco surgery but not much has been done to compare various techniques in manual SICS itself.

In this study an attempt has been made to analyze the role of incision site depending on the pre operative keratometry readings in reducing surgically induced astigmatism in manual small incision cataract surgery.

AIM: To assess the amount of surgically induced astigmatism by choosing scleral incisions based on pre operative keratometry reading.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:
INCLUSION CRITERIA
Visually significant cataracts –
1. PSC,
2. NS grade II – III,
3. Mature cataract,
4. Hypermature cataract.

EXCLUSION CRITERIA
1. Nuclear cataract grade IV
2. Cataract with retinal pathology like macular lesions, diabetic and vascular retinopathy etc.
3. Cataract with Pseudoexfoliation syndrome.
4. Cataract with advanced glaucomatous cupping
5. Complicated cataract
6. Subluxated / Dislocated cataract
7. Paediatric cataract
8. Traumatic cataract
9. Cataract with Corneal opacity
10. Posterior polar cataract
11. Cataract with Rigid pupil
12. Cataract with corneal Astigmatism > 4D
13. Cataract with Keratoconus

A study was conducted at KR Hospital, Mysore between April 2009 and March 2011. A retrospective analysis of 1000 cases meeting the inclusion and exclusion criteria were selected for this study. Initial screening was done by Optometrists and later examined by surgeons at the hospital on the same day. Detailed history, examinations and investigations were carried out by resident doctors like slit lamp biomicroscopy, tonometry, lacrimal sac syringing, fundus examination, keratometry and A-scan biometry. Pre operative emphasis was laid on keratometry and A-scan and was repeated on both eyes. Patients were posted for surgery on the next day and 2 experienced surgeons performed all the surgeries.

Site of incision was chosen according to pre-operative keratometric reading. Incision site was chosen along the steeper meridian (i.e., superior incision for WTR astigmatism and temporal incision for ATR astigmatism). Caliper controlled incisions were taken – 6mm length, frown shaped, 2.5-3mm posterior to limbus. Sclerocorneal tunnel with formation of corneal valve was made. Continuous curvilinear capsulorrhexis was attempted in all cases. Viscoelastics were used generously. Minimal iris handling was ensured. In-the-bag placement of IOL was done.

Patients were examined on the first post operative day, at first week and at the end of 6wks. Post operative vision and refraction was performed at the end of 6wks. Surgically induced astigmatism was calculated using scalar analysis i.e. by subtracting the two K readings on that day from their respective ones preoperatively and adding the two differences.
RESULTS:

- **Age**: Ranged from 50 to 80 years

  ![Age Distribution](chart.png)

  - Percentage of patients in each age group:
    - 51-60: 36.90%
    - 61-70: 20.00%
    - 71-80: 43.10%

- **Sex**

  ![Sex Distribution](chart.png)

  - Percentage of male and female patients:
    - Male: 50%
    - Female: 50%

- **Type of cataract**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of cataract</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Posterior Subcapsular Cataract</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>42.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear Sclerosis Grade I to III</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>19.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mature Cataract</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>25.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypermature Cataract</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test statistics</td>
<td>Chi-square=188.544; P=.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Test statistics:

- Chi-square = 188.544
- P = .000
Preoperative Astigmatism

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount of Astigmatism</th>
<th>Number of Patients</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ATR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0 to 0.25</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25 to 0.5</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5 to 1.0</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>28.1%</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0 to 1.5</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>29.2%</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 to 2.0</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1000</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>732</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test statistics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chi-square=143.77; P=.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Uncorrected visual acuity at the end of 6 weeks

**Distant vision**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6/9 to 6/6</td>
<td>82.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/12 to 6/9p</td>
<td>7.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/18 to 6/12p</td>
<td>1.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 6/18</td>
<td>9.70%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Near vision**
Best corrected visual acuity at the end of 6 weeks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surgically Induced astigmatism</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>With the Rule</th>
<th>Against the Rule</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0 to 0.5</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5 to 1.0</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>29.8%</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0 to 1.5</td>
<td>628</td>
<td>62.8%</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 1.5 to 2</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Test statistics: Chi-square=944.22; P=.000
DISCUSSION:

- Today's cataract incisions provide better control of surgically induced astigmatism, either by using temporal approach to produce astigmatically neutral surgery or by using on axis incision to induce astigmatism at the steep axis to counteract preexisting astigmatism.
- In the present study, the astigmatism which can be corrected by placing the incision according to the preoperative keratometric values shows that postoperative astigmatism can be minimized or to some extent can be corrected by planning the correct placement of incision.
- Our study showed that superior incision induced a mean SIA of about 1.3D ATR and temporal incision induced a mean SIA of about 1D which is comparable with other studies done by Tejedol & Murube where superiorly placed incision induced SIA if about 1.5D. In another study done by Haldipurkar et al showed that superiorly placed incision induced ATR of about 1.2D. In study done by Kimura et al showed that superiorly place incision induced SIA of about 1.41 ± 0.72 and temporally placed incision induced SIA of about 1.02 ± 0.66. Our results were also comparable to a similar study done by Nikhil S. Gokhale and Saurabh Sawhey in the year 2005.
- Hence superiorly placed incision helps to neutralize a pre-existing WTR astigmatism up to 2D and to reduce significant WTR astigmatism of >2D and temporally placed incision helps to neutralize smaller degrees of pre-existing ATR astigmatism. Thus the net effect is reduction of pre-existing astigmatism rendering the patients nearly emmetropic.

CONCLUSION: It is possible to reduce the amount of post operative astigmatism significantly by choosing the incision site depending on the pre operative K readings thus rendering the patient nearly emmetropic.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: