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A SUCCESSFUL PREGNANCY OUTCOME IN A UTERUS DIDELOPHYS A RARITY BUT A REALITY – A CASE REPORT
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ABSTRACT: Uterus didelphys belongs to class iii of American society of mullerian anomalies. Incidence is about 1/3000 women which accounts to 0.1 to 0.5% of total women. Congenital uterine anomalies result from the abnormal formation, fusion or resorption of the Mullerian ducts during fetal life. A uterus didelphys results when bilateral Mullerian Ducts do not fuse but develop side by side resulting in a double uterus.

Although pregnancies can occur in patients with Mullerian duct anomalies, most of them have been linked to infertility, recurrent pregnancy loss, pre term deliveries, fetal mal-presentations and other obstetrics complications, making successful pregnancy outcome a rare situation in this condition. Uterine anomalies with successful pregnancy outcomes are rare.

We report a case of successful pregnancy outcome in a case of uterus didelphys bicornis for its rarity.

CASE DESCRIPTION: 22-year-old fourth gravida with no live issues was referred at 32 weeks+1 day with a singleton gestation in one horn of a uterus didelphys. A diagnosis of IUGR with severe oligohydramnios was made outside.

Her first pregnancy was a missed abortion at 3 months of amenorrhea for which she underwent suction evacuation. The diagnosis of uterus didelphys was made during this procedure, and was mentioned in the discharge summary. Patient doesn’t have any scan images, HSG reports. As the blood typing indicated Rh negativity, Anti-D injection was given.

Her second pregnancy was a pre term delivery at home at 7 months of amenorrhea. The fetus was born dead. Anti-D was not administered.

Her third pregnancy was a twin pregnancy, terminated at 20 weeks and 6 days as an USG diagnosis of omphalocele and meningomyelocele was made. Manual removal of placenta was done. Anti-D injection was administered.

She underwent infertility treatment for a year before conceiving for the fourth time. She was referred at 32 weeks due to complications of severe oligohydramnios (AFI 2), intra uterine growth restriction detected in the third trimester scan. Patient was planned for caesarean section after investigations and ultrasound scan as per vaginal examination was unfavourable. Non stress test indicated fetal distress & hence the patient was shifted for an emergency Caesarean section after adequate counseling of the patient & her relatives.

On table uterus didelphys & pregnancy in the left uterus was noted. The fetus was in a breech presentation. The decision to do a Classical caesarean section was made as the lower segment of the left pregnant uterus was very narrow.
A preterm female child weighing 1660gms was delivered as breech with APGAR 7/10 8/10 followed by the placenta. There were no immediate post-operative complications for the mother like PPH or adherent placenta.

The baby was in the neonatal intensive care unit for pre term care and was on paladai feeds. Anti- D was given to the mother as baby blood group is positive. Both mother and child got discharged after 10 days.

**Literature Review:** The true incidence and prevalence of Mullerian duct anomalies in the general and in the infertile population is not accurately known. The incidence and prevalence of these anomalies vary widely. However, prevalence ranging from 0.16 to 10% has been reported. Int J Med Invest. 2(1):61-64: 2013 - Successful Consecutive Singleton Pregnancies In Separate Horns Of A Uterus Didelphys: A Case Report by Hüseyin Aksoy, Ülkü Aksoy, Gökhan Açıma - Kayseri Military Hospital Department Of Obstetrics And Gynecology, Turkey

**DISCUSSION:** Congenital Mullerian duct defects are clinical problems encountered by Obstetricians & Gynecologists. Uterine structural anomalies are often asymptomatic and normal pregnancies can occur in patients with Mullerian duct anomalies. These anomalies are often discovered during pregnancies or at the time of delivery and abortion or during infertility evaluation. Sometimes such anomalies are made out during a caesarean section or during laparoscopy/laparotomy. In our case uterus didelphys was diagnosed during suction evacuation done for her first pregnancy which was a missed abortion.

Comparing women with a normally shaped uterus, the women having any type of Mullerian duct anomalies must expect to have significantly higher risk of obstetric complications such as spontaneous abortion, recurrent pregnancy loss, premature labor, malpresentation and dystocia at delivery.

A study by Heinonen (2) (1984) reported a study in 26 women with uterine didelphys, out of which all 26 women had dysmenorrhea, dyspareunia and other gynaecological symptoms. All patients had double vagina, fetal survival rate 67.5% breech 43%, preterm delivery 21%, IUGR 10%, caesarian section 82%. All 26 patients had a longitudinal vaginal septum, occasionally; one hemi vagina is obstructed by an oblique or transverse vaginal septum (3). Multifetal gestation is unusual in these women (4)

Majority of women with Mullerian duct anomalies have little problem conceiving, they have higher rates of spontaneous and recurrent abortions, as was noted in our patient. This report describes one case of a successful pregnancy outcome in a uterus didelphys. Our patient had successful pregnancy outcome in a uterus didelphys bicolli after three unsuccessful pregnancy outcomes with various complications of the uterine anomaly.

To our knowledge, the present case though a rarity, was a reality.

**CONCLUSION:** In conclusion, although frequently asymptomatic with possibility of normal pregnancies in patients with Mullerian duct anomalies, all of these congenital anomalies have been associated with infertility, recurrent pregnancy loss, preterm delivery, fetal malpresentation and other obstetric complications, all of which increase perinatal morbidity and mortality rates.
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