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ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE
In developing countries like India, where tertiary medical facility is not available to all people, treatment should be easily feasible. Small and cost effective procedures like Chemical Cauterization may be a good option for restoring the continuity of tympanic membrane in patients with non-healing perforation in the pars tensa.

AIM
To study the effectiveness of chemical cauterisation using trichloroacetic acid in chronic non-healing small dry perforation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
40 patients with non-healing dry tympanic membrane perforations were included in the study; 30 percentage TCA was used to cauterize the margins of the perforation. The study was conducted in ENT Department of Vinayaka Missions Medical College and Hospital for duration of 1 year from June 2014 to June 2015.

RESULTS
The success rate of the procedure is 92.5%.

CONCLUSION
It is a promising office-based technique for closure of chronic small dry tympanic membrane perforations. It is a relatively easy, simple, safe and economical procedure.
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Inclusive Criteria
1. Chronic Non-Discharging Ears.
2. Dry Central Perforation for Minimum 6 Weeks.
3. Involving any one of the Quadrants.
4. Mild Conductive Hearing Loss (<40 db).

Exclusion Criteria
1. Small Perforation with Discharge.
2. Moderate Hearing Loss (>40 db)
3. Large Central Perforation.
4. Attox central type of CSOM.

Hearing was assessed by tuning fork tests and pure tone audiometry. The technique was carried out as an OPD procedure. For those who had bilateral perforations, one ear was treated first and the other ear was treated 6 weeks to 3 months later. For the initial application, 4% Xylocaine was used to anaesthetize the tympanic membrane by adding a few drops into a small cotton ball and placing it into the external canal wall over the surface of the tympanic membrane for about 10 min. Under the microscope, the rim of the perforation was cauterized using a cotton tipped applicator dipped in 50% trichloroacetic acid until a white cauterized margin 0.5 mm in width is created. Care was taken not to damage the adjacent structures.

Once the blanching of the rim was completed, a sterile cotton piece which was moistened with antibiotic drop was placed over the perforation. Repetition of the cauter at weekly intervals is done, many of them requiring more than one application and the technique was repeated for a maximum of six times. After the first application, an antibiotic was given for 1 week and Neosporin with hydrocortisone ear drops were instilled for 3 weeks.

During followup, an otoscopic examination and an otoendoscopy will be performed to assess perforation size and improvement in hearing.

RESULTS
Total 40 patients were treated. The study included unilateral central perforation of the tympanic membrane in 36 cases, post myringoplasty residual and recurrent perforation in 4 cases due to coryza in the same patients. Successful closure of the central perforation was noted in average 4 applications. A maximum of six applications were done before healing was noticed in two patients and a minimum of one application done in one patient. Out of 40 perforations, 37 healed, 3 did not heal and underwent for myringoplasty later. This clinical study showing application of trichloroacetic acid for treatment of small sized central perforation of tympanic membrane (3 mm) and proves to have a beneficial effect in healing in selected patients. The smaller perforations requiring fewer number of applications. An overall success rate of 92.5% was achieved in this study.

DISCUSSION
The Tympanic Membrane (TM) plays a significant role in the physiology of hearing. It is very important in the pathophysiology of chronic inflammatory middle ear diseases. The tympanic membrane perforations significantly impair the quality of life in patients. A simple perforation of the tympanic membrane with no additional lesion of the middle ear transformer mechanism has two different effects on the hearing.

First, there is the diminished surface area of tympanic membrane on which sound pressure is exerted, resulting in dampened ossicular chain excursion. For a small (1 mm) perforation, Békésy found that the effect on ossicular motion is confined to sounds below 400 Hz and is 12 dB at 100 Hz, 29 dB at 50 Hz and 48 dB at 10 Hz. A tympanic membrane perforation causes conductive hearing loss due to loss of ossicular coupling, which is again due to loss of sound pressure difference across the tympanic membrane, which provides the primary drive to the motion of the drum and ossicles. In addition, perforation causes a loss that depends on frequency, perforation size and middle ear space.

Perforation induced losses are greatest at lowest frequencies. The volume of middle ear space also affects hearing. Smaller volume results in larger air-bone gap. Different patching materials have also been used. Wright (1956) used cotton patch with neomycin ear drops, while Mitchell (1958) used steriopon gelatin sponge soaked in patient’s own blood. Juers reported an 88% success with an average of 3.7 applications. He had further everted the margins of the perforation under the operating microscope, whereas Derlacki who reported 75% success in office treatment at biweekly intervals had used cautery alone. Dunlop had a 100% success with 3–33 treatment at biweekly intervals. T. Santhi reported 73.75% success rate. In this study, we noted highest success among patients with traumatic perforations. Present study gave an overall success rate of 92%, which is comparable with the previous studies documented in the literature.

CONCLUSION
It is a promising office-based technique for closure of chronic small dry tympanic membrane perforations. It is a relatively easy, simple, safe and economical procedure. Surgical complications of middle ear surgery can be avoided by this technique. It can be safely tried in those with systemic medical conditions and in whom surgical intervention is contraindicated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (In Years)</th>
<th>Number of Patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16-20</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-30</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;40</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Table 1: Age Group</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Age group distribution**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Central Perforation</th>
<th>36</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Post-Myringoplasty (Residual &amp; Recurrent)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2: Case Selection**

**Fig. 1: Age Distribution**
Fig. 2: Case Selection
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**Table 3: Site of Perforation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perforation Site</th>
<th>Number of Patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AI</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3: Site of Perforation

**Table 4: Hearing Loss**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degree</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MINIMAL</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MILD</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4: Hearing Loss (Pre-operative and Post-operative Comparison)

Fig. 5: Technique of TCA Cauterisation
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