AN ETIOLOGICAL ANALYSIS OF CHILDHOOD PROPTOSIS
M. Loganathan¹, M. Radhakrishnan²

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE:
DOI: 10.14260/jemds/2014/2717

ABSTRACT: AIMS: To analyse the various causes for proptosis in Children. SETTINGS AND DESIGN: Prospective analytical study. METHODS AND MATERIAL: A prospective analysis of 50 cases of proptosis in children less than 15 years. Detailed Ocular and Systemic history, examination and relevant investigations were done in necessary cases. Also other related specialties opinion obtained whenever indicated for diagnosis and treatment. Statistical analysis used: Descriptive analysis. RESULTS: Out of 50 cases 32 were axial and 18 were eccentric proptosis. Incidence of proptosis in male was 60% and female 40%. Etiology of proptosis due to inflammation 19 cases, neoplasm 15 cases and others 8. CONCLUSIONS: Orbital cellulitis is the single most common cause for proptosis in children. Among malignancy secondaries are common than the primary tumors in the orbit. Orbital X-ray, B-Scan, CT Scan and MRI were helpful in diagnosis, treatment and follow up.
KEYWORDS: Early diagnosis, Timely intervention, Save Vision and Life.

INTRODUCTION: Proptosis is the common presenting symptom of wide variety of diseases affecting the structure in and around the orbit. The exact clinical diagnosis of the cause for proptosis is not easy, owing to the inaccessibility of the contents of the orbit.

A lesion in the intraconal region produces axial proptosis, whereas lesion in the extraconal region produces eccentric proptosis. Eccentric proptosis may be due to a lesion within the orbit itself or due to the lesion in the neighboring structures like cranial cavity, paranasal sinuses etc.

A clinical study of etiology of childhood proptosis¹ requires the recording of a careful ocular and systemic history, in which a thorough knowledge of all the possibilities that can lead on to proptosis in a patient belonging to a particular age group is essential.

Fig. 1: Cruzon’s Syndrome
The services of Neurosurgeon, Otorhinolaryngologist (ENT) and Physician are sought with thorough examination. The conclusion can be arrived clinically depending on the age of the patient, associated symptoms, signs, duration, direction and presentation of the proptosis. Some diseases are excluded by the absence of their typical manifestations.

A final etiological diagnosis of proptosis can be possible in certain cases only after investigations like Peripheral smear, X-ray, B-Scan, Computerized Tomography (CT) Scan, Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) and Histopathological examinations.

**MATERIALS & METHODS:** A prospective analysis of 50 cases of proptosis in children was done. All the patients were evaluated as follows. Detailed history with reference to the duration of the illness, mode of onset, laterality, progression and associated symptoms like fever, pain etc. Birth trauma, prior medical or surgical treatment and family history of proptosis were also noted.

Complete ocular examinations including visual acuity, examination of orbit, eye lids, anterior and posterior segments were done. Slit lamp biomicroscopy, ophthalmoscopy, Hertel’s exophthalmometry, fields, color vision, forced-duction test, refraction, intra ocular pressure and examination of proptosis were also done.

Examination of other relevant system and laboratory investigations like routine hemogram, culture and sensitivity etc., to find out inflammatory and hematological causes of proptosis. Orbital X-ray, B-Scan and CT scan were done to aid the etiological diagnosis.

Patients were also referred to ENT, Hematology, Neurology, Endocrinology, Oncology and Radiology department for the expert opinion regarding the diagnosis and management whenever indicated.

**RESULTS:** Incidence of proptosis in male was 60% and female 40%. Etiology of proptosis due to Inflammatory cause 38%(19 cases), Neoplasm 30%(15 cases), vascular 16%(8 cases), trauma 8%(4 cases) and others 8%(4 cases). Out of 50 cases 32 were axial and 18 were eccentric proptosis.

Fig. 2: Direction and Laterality of Proptosis
A bilateral orbital mass lesion often signifies a neoplastic process or underlying systemic disease that is likely to be a malignant.\textsuperscript{6}

The common etiology for proptosis in children is inflammatory (38\%) and neoplastic (30\%). Orbital cellulitis is the single most common cause for proptosis in children. Leukemic infiltration is the commonest cause for proptosis due to secondary orbital infiltration.

Plain X-ray, B-Scan and CT scan were very useful for diagnosis and to plan the mode of treatment. However confirmation of diagnosis especially in tumors requires FNAC\textsuperscript{7} or biopsy for tissue diagnosis.\textsuperscript{8}
DISCUSSION: Proptosis in children differ from adults, for example malignant tumors in children can present as inflammatory lesions but not in adults.\(^9\) Thorough understanding of anatomy of orbit and surrounding structures with systematic approach is necessary to arrive at a correct diagnosis and the management of proptosis in children.

Bilateral hemorrhagic proptosis often signifies a neoplastic process, which often reveals underlying systemic malignancies like leukemias.

Henderson's review of 764 tumours\(^10\) shows female: male ratio is 24:26, this study show similar comparable results of 20:30.

Inflammatory and neoplastic lesions are the leading cause for proptosis in children. It shows similar results of previous studies by Albert and Jakobeic of 257 cases of childhood proptosis\(^11\)

Incidence of proptosis due to primary intraocular malignancy like retinoblastoma is decreased, due to availability of modern equipments for early diagnosis and treatment.

Among the proptosis due to tumors, malignancies were common, of these majority were due to secondaries from leukemia and Neuroblastoma.\(^12\)

The exact early etiological diagnosis of proptosis in children and timely referral to appropriate expert orbital surgeon and others like Neurosurgeon, Oncologist is mandatory to save the vision and life of these patients.
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