NON-RANDOMISED CONTROL TRIAL OF GLYCOLIC ACID 12% CREAM VERSUS AZELAIC ACID 10% CREAM IN MELASMA
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND
Melasma is most common acquired hypermelanosis which presents with asymmetrical hyperpigmentation usually involving the malar, mandibular or centrofacial area. It is seen in South East Asia especially in skin photo types 3 & 4. It is seen mainly in women during childbearing age and its onset may correlate with pregnancy or the use of oral contraceptive pills. The other commonly known factors in its pathogenesis include genetic influences, endocrine factors, use of cosmetics, certain drugs like anticonvulsants, steroids and exposure to sunlight (UVA, UVB and visible light). Hydroquinone is being used for treatment of melasma since long time as it is efficacious, but it has adverse effects like contact dermatitis, exogenous ochronosis. Hence, we tried non-hydroquinone based topical medications which are safe, efficacious and are known to target melanocytes and various steps of melanogenesis.

The aim of this study is to compare the efficacy of topical Glycolic acid 12% cream versus Azelaic acid 10% cream in melasma.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Settings and Design- Prospective non-randomised controlled trial of efficacy and safety of Glycolic acid 12% cream versus Azelaic acid 10% cream. 100 patients of melasma who completed all followups were included in this study for a period of 12 weeks and randomly assigned to one of the following groups:

Group A - 12% Glycolic acid cream.
Group B - 10% Azelaic acid cream.

Statistical Analysis - Every 2 weeks and at the end of 12 weeks, the two treatment groups were compared for efficacy and adverse effects and the results were analysed with Chi-square test. Yates correction was applied wherever necessary.

RESULTS
The response to Azelaic acid 10% cream was relatively higher than Glycolic acid 12% cream. Cut-offs were considered and these differences were statistically significant (0.001 and 0.012). It was observed that higher proportion of patients with Glycolic acid 12% cream had adverse effects when compared to Azelaic acid 10% cream except for dryness.

CONCLUSION
When the two topical medications were compared we found that Azelaic acid 10% cream was more tolerable and efficacious with maximum resolution than Glycolic acid 12% cream.
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shown increased vascularisation within melasma lesions as compared to the surrounding normal skin.6

**According to the Distribution of Lesions, Three Clinical Patterns of Melasma are Recognised.7**
1. The “centrofacial pattern.”
2. The “malar pattern.”
3. The “mandibular pattern.”

Though centrofacial pattern is most common in females, in Indian males, malar pattern was found more common.8 Histologically and dermoscopically melasma can be classified as (1) Brown Hypermelanosis (2) Blue Hypermelanosis (3) Mixed Hypermelanosis. Mixed hypermelanosis is due to increased epidermal and dermal pigmentation.9,10 The severity of darkening increases with age. Significant Marionette line pigmentation in 38% of women, nasal pigmentation (transverse nasal groove) in 42% of women were noted.11,12

The treatment is challenging and prolonged and requires wide approaches in Asian races. Hydroquinones were earlier efficacious also but have significant side effects like contact dermatitis, exogenous ochronosis. Non-hydroquinones based treatments are more preferred nowadays as these agents are natural, safe and proved to be efficacious in melasma. They target the melanosis and inhibit melanogenesis. Various non-hydroquinone lightening agents belong to 4 groups: tyrosinase inhibitors, inhibiting melanosome transfer, increasing turnover of epidermis, antioxidant effect.

Various treatment modalities which have been found to be efficacious in treatment of melasma patients are as follows:13

1. **Randomised – Controlled Trials**
   - 4% Hydroquinone.
   - 4% Hydroquinone + 0.05% retinoic acid + 0.01% fluocinolone acetonide.
   - 4% Hydroquinone + 10% glycolic acid.
   - 0.1% Tretinoin.
   - 0.05% Retinoic acid.

2. **Controlled Trials without Randomisation**
   - 70% Glycolic acid.
   - Jessner’s solution (14% lactic acid, 14% salicylic acid, 14% resorcinol).

3. **Case Control or Cohort Studies**
   - 4% Hydroquinone + 5% Glycolic acid.
   - 4% Kojic acid + 5% Glycolic acid.

4. **Case Reports**
   - 20-30% Salicylic acid.
   - 1-5% Retinoic acid.

Azelaic acid is a competitive inhibitor of tyrosinase, and glycolic acid is an alpha hydroxy acid which is known to accelerate epidermal turnover and causes desquamation thereby inducing lightening of lesions.

We have tried to compare efficacy and adverse effects of two relatively safe non-irritant therapeutic modalities in melasma in this comparative study.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Study design: Prospective nonrandomised control trial was conducted to compare efficacy of Glycolic acid 12% versus Azelaic acid 10% in melasma.

Sample size and sampling and allocation: Convenient sampling was used with all patients reporting to the OPD during the study period included in the study and screened for inclusion and exclusion criteria. During the study period, 140 female patients of age between 20-40 years reported, out of which 40 dropped out before the data could be compiled. Hence, a total of 100 patients were included of which 50 were allocated to Group A and 50 into Group B alternatively.

**Patient Selection Criteria**

**Inclusion Criteria**
1. Females.
2. Age between 20-40 years.
3. Patients who were not on any topical medications for a period of 2 weeks.

**Exclusion Criteria**
1. Patients who didn’t turn up for followup for a given period of time.
2. Pregnant and lactating women.

**Therapeutic Groups**
- Group A- 12% Glycolic acid.
- Group B- 10% Azelaic acid.

**Study Period**
12 weeks.

Statistical methods used: The data thus collected was entered into MS Excel and analysed. Frequency distribution tables were put up. Proportions were compared in the two groups by using Chi-square test. Yates correction was applied wherever necessary.

**Method of Treatment**

Both the topical medications were applied overnight followed by wash off in the morning after 6-8 hours. Patients were advised to use a sunscreen of 30 SPF in the daytime.

Treatment was continued for 12 weeks and improvement was assessed at the end of every 2 weeks.

**Grading of Severity by Modified MASI Score14:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of Involvement (A)</th>
<th>Darkness (D)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10-29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>30-49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>50-69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>70-89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>90-100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Area of involvement and Darkness are sufficient for measuring severity. Score ranges from 0-24.
RESULTS
2 groups of 50 patients each received Glycolic acid 12% and azelaic acid 10%. All patients completed the study. Baseline parameters of all patients were similar in terms of modified MASI score.

No. of patients showing response to Glycolic acid:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>No Response</th>
<th>1-25%</th>
<th>26-50%</th>
<th>51-75%</th>
<th>76-100%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of Patients</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Glycolic acid 12% cream Response

No. of patients showing response to Azelaic acid:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>No Response</th>
<th>1-25%</th>
<th>26-50%</th>
<th>51-75%</th>
<th>76-100%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Azelaic acid 10% cream response

Chi-square test was performed to determine the significance of differences. Yates correction was applied wherever necessary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Side Effects</th>
<th>Glycolic Acid 12%</th>
<th>Azelaic Acid 10%</th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pruritus</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>10.98</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burning</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>07</td>
<td>16.33</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythema</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrinkles</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>7.16</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dryness</td>
<td>09</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>0.137</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Response to Treatment

The response to azelaic acid was relatively higher if 50% or 75% cut-offs were considered and these differences were statistically significant (0.001 and 0.012).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Side Effects</th>
<th>Glycolic Acid 12%</th>
<th>Azelaic Acid 10%</th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pruritus</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>10.98</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burning</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>07</td>
<td>16.33</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythema</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrinkles</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>7.16</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dryness</td>
<td>09</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>0.137</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Adverse effects of Azelaic acid and Glycolic acid

It was observed that higher proportion of patients with glycolic acid had side effects when compared to azelaic acid group except for dryness. The differences in proportions of patients suffering pruritus, burning, erythema and dryness were statistically significant.

DISCUSSION
Melasma is one of the most common hyperpigmentary disorder, a frustrating condition. Relapse is invariable despite optimum preventive measures and dermatologists can only ensure “treatment and maintenance” rather than permanent cure. Despite continuous quest for the aetiologic and factors and pathogenic mechanisms contributing to melasma, its pathophysiology remains elusive and treatment is challenging.

The major aetiological factors implicated in melasma seem to act in concert. In a recent global survey, the incidence of different causative factors was 100% for sunlight exposure, 27% for pregnancy, 14% for cosmetics, 13% for familial factors, 6.3% for OCP use. Various other factors like thyroid disorders, drugs (phototoxic and photoallergic), cosmetics, infection and Chlamydia Trachomatis, stress, melanocytic and lentiginous nevi are also listed; however, the evidence supporting their definitive role is weak.

The treatment is challenging, prolonged and requires a judicious approach especially in dark skinned patients. Hydroquinone although quite efficacious, may have significant side effects including skin irritation, contact dermatitis and exogenous ochronosis. Hence, there is growing need for alternative natural, safe and efficacious skin lightening agents. Recent studies show that several non-hydroquinone agents may also play an important role in therapy for pigmentation. These agents selectively target hyperplastic melanocytes and inhibit key regulation steps in melanogenesis.

In our study, patients benefitted by both non-hydroquinone agents i.e. azelaic acid (10%) and glycolic acid (12%). With glycolic acid most people (20 people i.e., 40%) showed resolution of around 26%-50% and 76-100% was seen in around 10% of people (5 people) whereas with azelaic acid most people (20 people i.e. 40%) showed around 51-75% resolution, and maximum resolution i.e. 76-100% was seen in around 30% of patients. A study by Farshi S suggests that 20% azelaic acid cream applied twice daily may be more effective than hydroquinone 4% in reducing mild melasma. Our results are in accordance with Farshi study showing good efficacy of azelaic acid 10% cream.

While comparing side effects of both azelaic and glycolic acid most common side effect was burning sensation in 26 patients of glycolic acid and 7 patients of azelaic acid. Prritus is next common side effect in 6 patients of glycolic acid users and 3 patients of azelaic acid users. The condition is same with other side effects like erythema and dryness where glycolic acid users affected more than Azelaic acid users.

By the above observations we can infer that side effects were more common due to Glycolic acid when compared to Azelaic acid. This shows that Azelaic acid (p value 0.001) is better than glycolic acid in terms of higher efficacy as well as lesser adverse effects.

CONCLUSION
The present study on topical therapy of melasma comparing two non-hydroquinone agents namely azelaic acid 10% cream and glycolic acid 12% cream concludes that azelaic acid was more efficacious, tolerable and safe than glycolic acid.
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