TREATMENT OF “HOFFA” FRACTURES OF THE DISTAL FEMORAL CONDYLE
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND
Coronal plane “Hofa” fractures of the distal femoral condyles are a rare entity. Lateral fractures are three times more common than medial fracture. They are commonly associated with supracondylar fractures of the distal femur; however, as an isolated injury they are rare.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
At our Institute during a three-year period, 05 cases of Hofa fracture were treated. All the fractures were involving lateral condyle. The fracture were approached using a parapatellar incision. The parapatellar arthrotomy was carried out accordingly on the lateral side.

RESULTS
All fractures united within 4 months. There were no instances of infection or non-union. The mean range of motion was - Extension to 130 degrees of flexion. The average Tegner Lysholm knee score was 88. All patients achieved satisfactory joint function and regained their walking ability with good clinical results.

CONCLUSION
Direct reduction and stable fixation of Hofa fractures leads to good clinical and radiological outcomes of these rare injuries. The parapatellar allows excellent visualisation and enough space for fixation of these fractures.
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at four weeks, initially passive and gradually active. Partial weight bearing was started at eight weeks and full weight bearing after radiological union. Return to pre-injury activities were advised at six months.

RESULTS
At 12 weeks, all fractures were healed clinically and radiographically. There were no superficial or deep infections. All patients had a documented range of motion of 0° to at least 100° at three months followup. At six months, all patients had 0 to 130 degrees flexion. Their average Knee Society score was 173 (average 160 - 180).

DISCUSSION
Hoffa fractures are rare injuries and lateral fractures are more common than medial fractures. They usually occur as an isolated injury to the involved femur, but bilateral Hoffa fractures and unilateral bicondylar Hoffa fractures have been reported. In addition, Hoffa fractures associated with supracondylar intercondylar (AO/OTA 33C) femur fractures have been observed and the reporting authors highlight the need for preoperative detection of the Hoffa fracture to select the appropriate fixation method for the supracondylar fracture.

Examination invariably identifies effusion, but varus and valgus instability may be subtle. The neurovascular status should be assessed as in all high-energy knee injuries. Initial antero-posterior and lateral radiographs may be unimpressive, because Hoffa fractures especially when non-displaced are sometimes difficult to detect. On the antero-posterior view, the foreshortened fractured condyle may lead to the appearance of varus or valgus malalignment.

Intra-articular coronal plane “Hoffa” fractures of the distal femur are rare injuries and difficult to treat. Conservative management often leads to unsatisfactory results and non-union. The long-term consequences of malunion, non-union and degenerative changes of a major joint require serious consideration. Open reduction and internal fixation is mandatory for good outcomes. Ostermann et al emphasized fracture compression and rigid internal fixation to allow immediate postoperative knee motion in their report on the long-term (average 68 months) results of 29 unicondylar femur fractures, of which there were six Hoffa fractures. They did not describe their surgical approach or the outcomes of the patients with Hoffa fractures, but they noted that 23 of 27 patients available for followup had an excellent result. In published literature, there has been no standardised surgical approach in treating these fractures except that by Holmes et al, where they described an anterior midline approach with parapatellar arthrotomies according to the fracture location.

The Hoffa fractures are frequently associated with comminution at the articular surface. With the direct lateral or medial approaches, it is very difficult to address the comminution which is located in the central part of the distal femoral condyle with proximal migration of the distal fragment. There is an element of rotation of the femoral condyle, which is difficult to correct using the direct approaches. Liebergall et al described a lateral approach to the knee using an osteotomy of Gerdy’s tubercle in the treatment of a lateral coronal condylar fracture, but did not offer any outcome data on this single case. The authors argued that the osteotomy permits improved visualisation for open reduction and internal fixation. The direct parapatellar provides excellent visualisation of the fractures condyle, which makes it easier to address the problems of articular comminution and rotation. The standard method of fixing these fractures is using cannulated screws in compression mode.

However, as these are shear fractures the parallel screw configuration sometimes leads to fixation failure. The parallel screw configuration perpendicular to the fracture line provides compression; however, in any case when the fixation is found to be not rigid and is not able to resist shear in comminuted fractures we had augmented our fixation using extra screws in multiple directions along with the compression screws to prevent displacement. This allows us to mobilise the knee in the early postoperative period without fear of loss of reduction. Two reports of arthroscopically assisted reduction and internal fixation of femoral condyle fractures have appeared. Wallenbock and Ledinski reported a series of 24 intraarticular knee fractures that they treated with arthroscopically assisted reduction and internal fixation, which included two Hoffa fractures.

Although noting that the technique of arthroscopically assisted reduction is demanding, they reported good early results. They did not describe specifically the technique or outcome of the patients with coronal fractures. McCarthy and Parker described a patient with a sagittal plane lateral femoral condyle fracture. Although their fixation did not appear to achieve rigid compression, they cited reduced soft
tissue dissection, blood loss and operative time and a faster recovery time after arthroscopic management as advantages over formal open reduction.

The drawbacks of our study were the limited number of patients. Also, there was no direct comparison with other modalities of treatment. As these fractures are a rarity, a multicentre trial comparing the outcomes using different approaches and different fixation techniques can ease the knowledge regarding this fracture.
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