CORRELATION OF PORTAL VEIN DIAMETER AND SPLENIC SIZE WITH OESOPHAEGAL VARICES IN CIRRHOSIS OF LIVER
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND
Portal hypertension is one of the serious complications of cirrhosis of liver leading to unwanted life-threatening variceal bleeding. Loss of liver architecture and fibrosis lead to increased resistance to blood flow through portal vein causing portal hypertension and ultimately leading to ascites, oesophageal varices and splenomegaly.

The aim of the study is to find out correlation of portal vein diameter and splenic size with oesophageal varices in cirrhosis of liver.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
A descriptive study was conducted in Department of Medicine of SCB Medical College, Cuttack and consecutively 50 adult patients of cirrhosis of liver were included in the study. Ultrasonography was done in all patients to measure splenic size and portal vein diameter. Upper GI endoscopy was done in all to record oesophageal varices.

RESULTS
Out of 50 patients, 34 (68%) had oesophageal varices of various grades and 16 (32%) had no varices. Average portal vein diameter of patients with oesophageal varices was 13.46 ± 0.98 mm and that of patients without varices was 10.91 ± 0.65 mm (p=0.03).

Average splenic size in patients with oesophageal varices was 14.7± 0.82 cm and that of patients without varices was 12.2 ± 1.01 cm (p=0.007). Portal vein diameter and splenic size were increased in patients with oesophageal varices than patients without varices.

CONCLUSION
In our study, we found definite correlation between increase in splenic size and portal vein diameter with severity of oesophageal varices.

KEYWORDS
Cirrhosis of Liver, Oesophageal Varices, Portal Hypertension.


BACKGROUND
Portal hypertension is one of the serious complications of cirrhosis of liver leading to unwanted life-threatening variceal bleeding. It is defined as elevation of hepatic venous pressure gradient more than 5 mmHg and is caused by increased intrahepatic resistance to blood flow due to loss of liver architecture in cirrhosis which ultimately leads to splenomegaly, ascites and oesophageal varices. Dib et al¹ showed oesophageal varices were developed when portal vein diameter exceeds 13 mm. Mandal et al² found a positive correlation between increase in portal vein diameter and splenic size with severity of gastro-oesophageal varices which need upper gastrointestinal (GI) endoscopy for detection. But upper GI endoscopy is not available in all centres and is also a painful invasive procedure whereas ultrasonography is easily available noninvasive method. It can measure portal vein diameter and splenic size and also can predict which patients benefit most from endoscopic screening of oesophageal varices in cirrhosis of liver. We, therefore, designed a study to find out correlation between portal vein diameter and splenic size with development of gastro-oesophageal varices.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
This study was a descriptive study. After clearance from institutional ethics committee, we included consecutively 50 adult patients of cirrhosis of liver. Written consent was obtained from each individual participating in the study.

Patient Selection Criteria
Newly or previously diagnosed patients of cirrhosis were included based on their clinical, biochemical and ultrasonographic findings.
Exclusion Criteria
Patients with gastrointestinal bleed, encephalopathy or on treatment for portal hypertension (pharmacological, variceal ligation, sclerotherapy or shunt surgery) and other causes of portal hypertension i.e. non-cirrhotic portal fibrosis, Budd-Chiari syndrome, extrahepatic portal vein obstruction were excluded.

Methods
The selected patients were admitted to Department of Medicine of SCB Medical College, Cuttack. Detailed history was taken including occupation, alcohol intake, appetite, jaundice and unconsciousness. These patients were thoroughly examined for size of spleen, liver span, presence of ascites, palmar erythema, loss of axillary hair, venous prominence, gynaecomastia and testicular atrophy (in males). Investigations like complete blood counts (CBC), liver function tests (LFTs), prothrombin time (PT), INR, HBsAg, Anti-HBC antibody, urine analysis, ultrasonography of abdomen and upper GI endoscopy were done in every patient. Patients were divided into two groups according to presence or absence of oesophageal varices as variceal group and non-variceal group accordingly.

Spleenic Size Measurement
Size of the spleen was measured by using 6-12 MHz curvilinear transducer in the coronal plane posteriorly in supine position. The average adult spleen measures 10-11 cm in length and the maximum cephalocaudal measurement exceeding 13 cm indicates splenic enlargement.³ Portal vein diameter measurement: Portal vein diameter is measured where the portal vein crosses inferior vena cava anteriorly.⁴ In normal persons, the portal vein diameter does not exceed 13 mm in quiet respiration.

Upper GI endoscopy: It was done using video endoscope in Department of Gastroenterology, SCB Medical College, Cuttack and grading of oesophageal varices was done.⁵

Grade I- Small and straight varices.
Grade II- Tortuous and occupying less than one third of oesophageal lumen.
Grade III - Large and occupying more than one third of oesophageal lumen.

Statistical Analysis
The observed data was statistically analysed by using IBM-compatible Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20.0. The qualitative data were expressed as numbers (%), while the continuous quantitative data as mean ± standard deviation (SD) and the comparisons of continuous variables between the two groups were performed with Student’s t-test and categorical variables were compared using the Chi-square test. A p-value of <0.05 was considered significant and p-value of <0.001 was considered highly significant, while p-value of >0.05 was considered not significant.

RESULTS
Total 50 adult patients of cirrhosis of liver were included in the study, out of which 42 (84%) were male and 8 (16%) were female of ages between 20-70 years, mean 47.66 ± 11.12 years. [Table-1 & Table-2].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical Features</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anaemia</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splenomegaly</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaundice</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatomegaly</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Clinical Features of Patients

Out of 50 patients, 34 (68%) had oesophageal varices and 16 (32%) had no varices at the time of presentation. No sex difference in presence of the oesophageal varix was detected. In our study, alcohol was commonest aetiology (72%) followed by Hepatitis B virus infection (28%) for cirrhosis of liver. Table-3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aetiology</th>
<th>No. of Cases</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis B</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis C</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic cause</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Aetiology of Cirrhosis

Clinical features of patients with cirrhosis were anaemia (100%), splenomegaly (74%), jaundice (32%) and hepatomegaly (24%). Table-4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Variceal Group (n=34)</th>
<th>Non-Variceal Group (n=16)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Haemoglobin (g/dL)</td>
<td>10.2 ± 1.4</td>
<td>10.6 ± 1.2</td>
<td>P=0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. Bilirubin (mg/dL)</td>
<td>5.3 ± 2.1</td>
<td>5.7 ± 2.4</td>
<td>P=0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelet count/mL</td>
<td>1,17,000 ± 21,000</td>
<td>1,70,000 ± 30,000</td>
<td>P=0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Albumin (g/dL)</td>
<td>2.64 ± 0.31</td>
<td>2.80 ± 0.32</td>
<td>P=0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INR</td>
<td>1.80 ± 0.42</td>
<td>1.62 ± 0.20</td>
<td>P=0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spleen size (cm)</td>
<td>14.7 ± 0.82</td>
<td>12.2 ± 1.01</td>
<td>P=0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV diameter (mm)</td>
<td>13.46 ± 0.98</td>
<td>10.91 ± 0.65</td>
<td>P=0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Parameters between Variceal and Non-variceal Groups

Investigation shows average platelet count was markedly lower in patients with varices (1,17,000 ± 21,000)/mL than in patients without varices (1,70,000 ± 30,000)/mL, p=0.001. Average spleen size in variceal group was (14.7 ± 0.82) cm and that of non-variceal group was (12.2 ± 1.01) cm, p=0.007. Average portal vein diameter in variceal group was 13.46 ± 0.98 mm and that of non-variceal group was 10.91 ± 0.65 mm, p=0.03. Table-5.
DISCUSSION
In the present study, 50 patients of cirrhosis of liver were included with 34% patients in the age group of 41-50 years. The age ranged from 20 to 70 years with a mean age of 47.66 ± 11.12 years (Table-2). The male to female ratio was 4.2:1 (Table-1). This wide gender variation may be due to lesser prevalence of consumption of alcohol among females than males. In our study, the most common aetiology of cirrhosis was alcohol, 36 (72%) cases, and HBV infection in 14 (28%) cases (Table-3). General symptoms found in our cirrhotic patients were swelling of feet (90%), loss of appetite (76.6%), swelling of abdomen (74%) and various bowel disorders. Common physical findings observed in our study were anaemia (100%), ascites (76%), splenomegaly (74%) and hepatomegaly (24%). The various factors contributed to anaemia are dilution (Peneiva et al, 1946), haemolysis (Jones et al 1955), occult blood loss from GI tract (Sheehy et al, 1960) and hypersplenism.

In our study, serum albumin level in patients with varices was 2.64 ± 0.31 and among non-variceal group was 2.80 ± 0.10 (Table-5). No significant difference was found among both groups (p=0.64).

In our study, INR of patients with varices was 1.23-2.72 and INR of patients without varices was 0.8-1.56, p=0.053 (Table-5). INR of patients with varices remain higher than that of patients without varices (Gill et al).6

The mean platelet count in the group with varices was 117,000 ± 21,000/ul, while that of the group without oesophageal varices was 170,000 ± 30,000/ul, with p=0.001 (Table-5). A similar finding was reported by Fook-Hong et al7 in 1999 with a mean value of platelet count 110,000 ± 52,000/mL among those with oesophageal varices and 160,000 ± 90,000/mL in the group without oesophageal varices, p=0.011. Thrombocytopenia in liver cirrhosis is due to changes in the microcirculation and hypersplenism related to portal hypertension as well as inadequate thrombopoietin synthesis in liver. In our study, 34 (68%) patients had normal bilirubin (68%) and 16 (32%) had jaundice. AST level was more than ALT level in cirrhosis in our study. Progression of liver fibrosis may reduce the clearance of AST, leading to increased serum AST levels. In addition, advanced liver disease may be associated with mitochondrial injury, causing more release of AST, which is present in mitochondria and cytoplasm than ALT.

In our study, on ultrasound examination, cephalo-caudal splenic measurement in the group with oesophageal varices was 14.7 ± 0.82 cm, while in the group without oesophageal varices the cephalo-caudal splenic measurement was 12.2 ± 1.01 cm, p=0.007 (Table-5). Fook-Hong et al7 in their study in Hong Kong found cephalo-caudal splenic measurement of 11.7 ± 3.2 cm in the group with oesophageal varices, and 10.2 ± 2.8 cm in the group without oesophageal varices.

Gianinni et al8, in their study in Italy in 2003, found a cut-off point of platelet count for presence of varices was 112,000, p= 0.0001 and splenic diameter > 12.1 cm, p=0.0007. Previous data from the Department of Hepatology of Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital, Jakarta by Pridady9 found an anteroposterior splenic measurement of 7.6 ± 1.2 cm in normal subjects and 12.7 ± 2.1 cm among patients with liver cirrhosis. Schepis et al10 in Italy found a mean anteroposterior splenic measurement of 16.3 ± 2.7 cm in the group with oesophageal varices, and 13.9 ± 2.5 cm in the group without oesophageal varices. This difference in splenic size may be due to racial, genetic, and anatomical differences.

In our study, the mean portal vein diameter in the group with oesophageal varices was 13.46 ± 0.98 mm, while that in the group without oesophageal varices was 10.91 ± 0.65 mm, p=0.03 (Table-5).

Pridady9 found a mean portal vein diameter of 7 ± 1 mm among normal subjects and 12 ± 2 mm among cirrhotic patients. Fook-Hong et al7, in their study, found a portal vein diameter of 11.5 ± 2.40 mm among patients with oesophageal varices, and 10.5 ± 2.60 mm among patients without oesophageal varices. Schepis et al10 found a portal vein diameter of 13.82 ± 2.1 mm, among patients with oesophageal varices and 12.33 ± 2.04 mm among patients without oesophageal varices. Prihatini et al11 concluded in their study that portal vein size 1.2 cm ultrasound gives the evidence of presence of oesophageal varices. Plestina et al12 concluded in their study that portal vein size on ultrasound independently associated with varices.

In our study, oesophageal varices were found in 34 patients (68%) out of 50 study population. Sixteen patients (32%) had no oesophageal varices detected. Chalasani et al13 in their study found oesophageal varices in 70%, while Zaman et al14 found 68%. The prevalence of oesophageal varices in liver cirrhosis ranged around 50% and 80%, similar to the findings in our study. The high prevalence of oesophageal varices on endoscopy is probably due to the delay in seeking treatment after signs and symptoms of portal hypertension have developed. Up to now, endoscopy is the gold standard modality to identify oesophageal varices.15

CONCLUSION
The non-invasive parameters that can be used to detect presence of oesophageal varices in liver cirrhosis are platelet count equal to or less than 117,000/mL, portal vein diameter of 10.9 mm or more, and anteroposterior splenic diameter 12.2 cm or more. Hence, measurement of portal vein diameter and splenic size by USG is a non-invasive predictive indicator of development of oesophageal varices in cirrhosis of liver. Further studies are needed to determine the degree of oesophageal varices and obtain a cut-off point in the degree of oesophageal varices that requires prevention of oesophageal variceal bleeding.
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