Objective of the Study
We aimed to evaluate patient satisfaction in patients with custom-fit prostheses of the eye and attempted to analyse the factors that could contribute to dissatisfaction.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS
We conducted a questionnaire-based observational study to evaluate subjective satisfaction in patients with custom-fit prostheses of the eye at our Institute. Ethics Committee approval was obtained.

During 2015, 200 consecutive patients of both sexes and all ages with Custom-fit prostheses who attended the Outpatient Clinic at our Department were included in this study. The clinical examination included socket and fornix examination and evaluation of custom-fit prostheses fitting and symmetry in the ocular movements.

The patients were then asked questions from a specially designed questionnaire and the responses were recorded by the Oculoplasty surgeon. In paediatric patients, responses were elicited from accompanying parents or guardians.

Satisfaction was defined as less than one positive response in the questionnaire and dissatisfaction as one or more positive responses.
Statistical Analysis
We took data of 200 consecutive patients using consecutive sampling technique.

We calculated the percentage of satisfied and unsatisfied patients on the whole and in specific subgroups. We compared paediatric and adult age groups, male and female, indication based groups, urban and rural groups and groups based on requirement of additional eye surgeries.

We applied the chi-square test for the different groups and determined the 'p' value. We assumed 'p' value less than 0.05 as significant.

We used the StatPac statistical software and www.socscistatistics.com for statistical analysis.

### Criteria Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>No. of Patients</th>
<th>No Satisfied</th>
<th>Percentage Satisfied</th>
<th>Chi² Value</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paediatric</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Tabulation of Patients into Groups Based on Criteria Studied

### RESULTS

The data thus obtained was tabulated and analysed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>No. of Patients</th>
<th>No Satisfied</th>
<th>Percentage Satisfied</th>
<th>Chi² Value</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paediatric</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Table showing Paediatric and Adult Group Comparison
There were 180 adults and 20 paediatric patients in our study and 95% of patients in both groups were satisfied with the CFP. There was no statistically significant difference in satisfaction when chi-square test with 'p' value less than 0.05 as significant was applied between groups based on indications for placement of CFP, urban or rural residence and groups according to sex and eye wise.

**DISCUSSION**

Clinical challenges in CFP management include impression taking, prosthesis fabrication, identification of the correct orientation into the socket, communication with the patient and satisfaction of patient’s expectations.2

A properly fitted and accepted custom ocular prosthesis has following characteristics.3

- Retains the shape of the defect socket.
- Prevents collapse or loss of the shape of the lids.
- Provides proper muscular action of the lids.
- Prevents accumulation of fluid in the cavity.
- Maintains palpebral opening similar to the natural eye.
- Mimics the coloration and properties of the natural eye.
- Has gaze similar to the natural eye.

In this study among the patients attending the orbit and oculoplasty clinic, majority coming for custom-fit prosthesis were adults (85.5%) and paediatric age group accounted for (14.5%). Male patients were (62%) in study, probably linked to their outdoor activities and injury prone jobs resulting in the loss of the eyeball. Rest (38%) were females.

The indication for custom-fit prosthesis in our study was found to be trauma in (34%) cases, which included road traffic accidents and sport injuries. In (60%) of the cases, the indication for custom-fit prosthesis was due to various eye diseases like post-operative endophthalmitis, various types of glaucomas, malignancies like retinoblastomas, eye infections leading to either phthisical eye or mandating eye ball removal (6%) of the cases in which CFP was indicated is due to congenital anomalies like microphthalmos, anophthalmos and in the cases of the Buphthalmos after the eye ball was removed surgically.

Right eye was involved in (57%) of cases and left eye was involved in (43%) of cases. In this study (54%) patients were from urban areas and (46%) had rural background. In (50%) of the patients in the study group, custom-fit prosthesis were placed after surgical procedures like evisceration and enucleation. Additional surgical procedures like Fornix deepening sutures, Dermis-fat grafts4 and orbit reconstruction was required in 30 cases accounting for 15% of the study group.

Patients with CFPs are advised annual followup at the Institute. Of the 200 patients, 11 patients presented to the hospital with complaints in addition to followup visits. CFP change due to various factors were deemed necessary in eight patients.

The surgical procedures for eyeball removal are classified into evisceration, enucleation and exenteration. Until World War II, the glass eye was the most popular eye prosthesis. The glass eye was, however, difficult to manufacture and hazardous. A definitive technique for fabricating artificial eyes using acrylic resin was developed by the United States Naval Dental and Medical Schools and was published in 1944. Unlike glass eyes which were earlier used, the acrylic resin eyes were solid. The material was light weight, easy to fit and adjust, unbreakable, translucent, easily fabricated, had intrinsic and extrinsic colouring capabilities, and was inert to the socket secretions.5,6,7,8 Flexible material such as silicone is advantageous when the defect extends beyond the orbital area and encounters movable tissue beds.

Advantages of CFP over stock shells- Various size stock shells are readily available in the optical stores. Many patients especially in the small towns and villages try them due to lack of awareness in our country. These stock shells usually do not fit properly and the cosmetic result with them is very poor. Moreover, they cause lot of complications like irritation, socket contracture and granulation tissue formation. Patients tend to lose the stock shells frequently because of small fit. All these factors lead to loss of interest of the patient in cosmetic correction of the empty sockets. Custom-fit prostheses serve as the safest and most effective solution to address the cosmetic aspect of empty sockets.

CFP making process: Fabrication of CFP is done in the following manner. Socket evaluation is done in detail by the oculoplasty surgeon. In contracted sockets, additional surgical procedures like Fornix deepening sutures or simple surgeries to increase the surface or build in the volume are planned prior to prosthesis fitting to optimise the cosmesis. Later the requirements of the patient are discussed with the ocularist. Ocularist examines the cases and determines the type of prosthesis and the nature of the customisation. If socket is healthy, an impression of the socket is taken using alginate powder. Later wax model with iris button is prepared and patient’s comfort and cosmesis are assessed. If found satisfactory, subsequent steps namely stone moulding and acrylic model preparation follow. Finally, the acrylic mould is ground and polished for a glossy finish. Drawing of the Iris pattern and conjunctival vasculature is done in order to match the CFP with the contralateral native eye. Few editorials, texts and case reports available in literature have emphasised the importance of custom-fit prosthesis in the management of the anophthalmic socket.9,10,11

Complications noted in the patients using CFP include foreign body sensation, irritation, discharge, deposits on the CFP and loss of the glossy texture of the CFP. In paediatric age group due to the facial bone growth, socket size varies and therefore mandates periodical review and change of CFP as indicated.

In our study, common factors contributing to dissatisfaction were pain (9) cases, irritation (9) cases, watering (7) cases, ill fit (6) cases, discharge (5) cases. Four patients were not satisfied, because the eye with the prosthesis was not moving in unison with the normal eye. Two patients were unhappy, because the prosthesis which they were using was not giving good cosmesis.

In majority of the cases, pain was due to improper handling and maintenance of the prosthesis resulting in damage to the edges of the prosthesis. Irritation, discharge and watering were due to lack of socket hygiene, especially in the lower socio-economic groups. These patients were either ignorant or non-compliant regarding the standard

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>No. of Patients</th>
<th>No Satisfied</th>
<th>Percentage Satisfied</th>
<th>Chi² Value</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>95.4</td>
<td>0.3302</td>
<td>0.8477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye disease</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mal-development</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>90.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. Groups based on Indication Comparison
instructions of maintenance, such as periodical cleaning of the prosthesis. Ill fit was found mainly in the paediatric age group, the reason being expansion of the orbital and facial bones during the growing age.

In a few cases, socket granulomas were noted and they were removed surgically and a new custom-fit prosthesis was given. Patients were satisfied with the result. Patient’s problems were addressed as per indications and patients and guardians were educated about CFP usage and maintenance.

CONCLUSION
Patient satisfaction is the most important aspect in dispensing custom-fit prostheses. This aspect has not been studied thus far adequately. We have attempted to analyse patient’s comfort and problems. Most of the patients are satisfied with their prostheses and are enabled for better social and psychological rehabilitation. Most of the problems encountered with the usage of CFP were correctable and minimised by patient education, proper hygiene and counselling. Thus, we conclude that custom-fit prosthesis of the eye is an effective and rational approach in helping patients regain self-confidence and cope with the devastation of loss of vision and or eyeball.

Larger studies are needed to shed light on the problems further and help improve patient care, cosmesis and satisfaction.

Rehabilitation of patients with ocular trauma requires a multidisciplinary approach involving ophthalmologist, psychologist and skilled maxillofacial prosthodontist.12,13

Fabrication of a custom-fit ocular prosthesis using socket impression and customised iris button is the gold standard technique in rehabilitating an anophthalmic socket in tertiary eye care centres under the expert supervision of oculoplastic surgeon and trained ocularist. Artificial eyes should be aesthetically pleasing, scratch-resistant and adequately polished. Use of prosthesis without such characteristics can lead to psychological damage as well as infection and inflammation due to the accumulation of microorganisms and other substances on an irregularly shaped prosthesis.6

Early referral to CFP capable centres plays a vital role in improving outcomes.

CONCLUSION
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