CLINICAL STUDY ON FACTORS INFLUENCING WOUND DEHISCENCE IN EMERGENCY EXPLORATORY LAPAROTOMY
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

Wound dehiscence is a mental, financial and physical trauma to patients and has an equivalent effect on surgeon too. Wound dehiscence etches profound morbidity in a patient’s life. There arises an expanding demand in the cost of care, both in terms of increased hospital stay and manpower in nursing and managing of burst wound and its complications. Thus understanding of factors contributing to dehiscence, correctable measures and precautionary steps are very much essential in day-to-day practice of all surgeons. This ignited our thoughts to conduct a study and understand wound healing and dehiscence in a better way.

AIM

This study was made to find the factors that significantly contribute to wound dehiscence in midline emergency exploratory laparotomy.

METHODS

The study was conducted in 213 patients who underwent midline emergency exploratory laparotomy in Silchar Medical College and Hospital in Barak Valley, Assam, India, from March 2015 to February 2016. Factors such as age, preoperative albumin, body mass index, haemoglobin, post-operative pulmonary complication, diabetes mellitus, duration of surgery, time of presentation, intra-abdominal sepsis/wound infection, raised intra-abdominal pressure, raised creatinine, ascites and malignancy were observed and analysed with odds ratio and P value.

RESULTS AND CONCLUSION

Risk factors for abdominal wound dehiscence identified in this study include anaemia, hypoalbuminemia, post-operative pulmonary complications, increased intra-abdominal pressure, intra-abdominal sepsis/wound infection, delayed presentation and malignancy.
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INTRODUCTION

The fundamental task of surgery is the management of wound. Any surgical intervention will result in a wound. The tribute for a surgeon comes from the efforts made to reduce the adverse effects of wound, remove or repair damaged tissues and gain momentum in the process of wound healing to restore function.

Wound healing is a mechanism wherein the body tries to re-establish the integrity of the injured part. Wound healing requires energy and is an anabolic process. Surgical incisions trigger the healing process, which is never a simple step. Rather it is a complex, chronic, continuous process with four different stages: Haemostasis, inflammation, proliferation and maturation. If the fortifying factors fail before the regain of functional and anatomical integrity, the wound breaks apart.
The Risk Factors were framed as follows:
1. Age more than 50 years.
2. Body mass index more than 30 (obesity).² was considered as risk factor.
3. Pus in the abdominal cavity or from wound was noted as intra-abdominal sepsis/wound infection. Pus was sent for culture and sensitivity and antibiotics changed accordingly.
4. History of diabetes mellitus and newly diagnosed cases were included in the diabetes mellitus category and glycaemic control was achieved with physician’s opinion.
5. History of COPD, cough, dyspnoea, low oxygen saturation, chest crepitations, crackles, pleural effusion were considered for post-operative pulmonary complications.
6. Patients who presented to emergency department after 48 hours of onset of symptoms were considered as delayed presentation.
7. We considered duration of surgery more than 120 minutes as prolonged surgery.
8. Malignancy was confirmed with histopathological report.
9. Intra-abdominal pressure was recorded by using bladder pressure obtained by instilling 50 mL of water through Foley’s catheter and reading noted over graduated manometer.
10. Patients with haemoglobin less than 10 g/dL were considered in anaemic group.
11. Patients with serum albumin less than 3.5 g/dL were included in hypoalbuminemia group.
12. Serum creatinine more than 2 mg/dL was considered as risk factor in our study.
13. Ascites was detected by clinical and radiological methods.

All the risk factors were made measureable on the basis of history, examination and laboratory investigation. For all the patients, closure of midline laparotomy wound was done with non-absorbable No. 1 suture (Polypropylene) in continuous single layer fashion with 1 cm interval. Patients were closely observed post-operatively for minimum of 10 days. Special attention was given to maintain asepsis.

Broad spectrum intravenous antibiotics with anaerobic coverage was started for all cases and later changed according to culture and sensitivity report. Abdominal distension and post-operative intra-abdominal pressure on day 1 and day 3 were noted. Patients who expired post-operatively before discharge from the hospital were excluded from the study. Odds ratio and p value were calculated using SPSS software version 20.

RESULT
Among 213 patients who underwent midline emergency exploratory laparotomy, 27 patients developed wound dehiscence (Figure 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Causes</th>
<th>Non Dehiscence (No. of Cases)</th>
<th>Dehiscence (No. of Cases)</th>
<th>Total No. of Cases</th>
<th>Percentage of Dehiscence Cases (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Obstruction</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>9.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peritonitis</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>18.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blunt trauma</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penetrating trauma</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Indications for Emergency Exploratory Laparotomy

In our study, the common indication for emergency exploratory laparotomy was found to be perforation peritonitis with 112 cases out of 213 cases included in the study (Table 1). Among 112 perforation patients, 21 of them developed wound dehiscence (Figure 3). Intestinal obstruction was the second cause for surgery and penetrating trauma being the least (Figure 2).
factors is of greatest importance in a particular case becomes a
difficult task indeed.8

The wound dehiscence rate reported in international
literature varies from 1% to 2.6%.4 In observation made by
Penninckx et al, dehiscence rate was found to be 6.7%.3 in
emergency laparotomy. While local studies show a higher
incidence varying from 6%.5-7 to 12%. In study by Pandey S et
al, overall incidence of wound dehiscence was 11.5% and 17%
in patients in whom mass closure was done with Vicryl.8 In
current study 12.6% of patients developed wound dehiscence
which co-relates with many of Asian studies. Moreover, the
higher incidence may be because the study included only
emergency cases.

Likewise, study conducted by Halasz et al9, this study
also shows that increase in age is not a major contributing
factor for wound dehiscence. But Hanif et al10 and Makela11 et
al found increased age more than 50 as a risk factor for wound
dehiscence.

Hypoalbuminemia is associated with poor tissue healing,
decreased collagen synthesis,11,12 in the surgical wounds or at
the anastomosis site. It hampers the immune responses, such
as macrophage activation and granuloma formation. Therefore,
in hypoalbuminemic patients, wound infection, remote infections
such as pneumonia, sepsis and anastomatic leakage are commonly found.13 Hypoproteinemias extends the inflammatory phase and impairs fibroplasia,
proliferation, proteoglycan and collagen synthesis. It
decelerates neangiogenesis and wound remodeling.14 In this
study, patients with hypoalbuminemia were found to have 16
times higher chance to develop dehiscence when compared to
patients with normal albumin level. Like many other studies in
literature, this study also obtained a result that
hypoalbuminemia has significant effect (p-value <0.05) on
wound dehiscence.

Anaemia is frequently blamed as an important factor in
poor wound healing. But on analysing the literature, there are
conflicting results among many studies. Few studies are in
favour of anaemia as a causative factor in poor healing. Guiney et al found that 50% of their patients who had wound
disruption were anemic.15 In contrast Marsh,16 Mann, Alexander and their colleagues found that anaemia, uncomplicated by other deficiencies did not contribute significantly to wound dehiscence. Heughan C et al17, did an
extensive study and concluded that healing is impaired by
conditions associated with anaemia such as malnutrition, low
 circulating blood volume and increased blood viscosity
following trauma. But mild or moderate normovolemic anaemia with otherwise normal parameter is of no consequence in wound dehiscence.

In this study, intra-abdominal sepsis and wound
infection hold the highest risk out of all variables for
developing wound dehiscence. This result goes in favour of
many studies mentioned in literature.18 Collagenase released
by bacteria hampers the collagen deposition at wound site.19
Continued presence of bacteria causes influx and activation of
neutrophils, thus lifting the level of degradative matrix
metalloproteinases (MMPs). Insufficient tissue inhibitors of
MMPs lead to wound degradation.20

In our study, patients with increased intra-abdominal
pressure were found to be at 32 times higher risk to develop
wound dehiscence. Tissues should have an adequate breaking
strength for the sutures to hold the wound together. Increased

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Dehiscence n=27</th>
<th>Non-Dehiscence n=186</th>
<th>Odds Ratio</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age &gt; 50</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-operative Hypoalbuminemia &lt;3.5 g/dL</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>16.39</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-operative anaemia &lt;10 g/dL</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>6.09</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes Mellitus</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1.786</td>
<td>0.284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Mass Index &gt;30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>0.187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary complications</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>9.103</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased Intra-abdominal pressure on post-op day 1 and 3</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>32.66</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time of presentation &gt;48 hours</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>7.07</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of surgery &gt;2 hours</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>0.534</td>
<td>0.135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra-abdominal sepsis/infection</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>43.00</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascites</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.196</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignancy</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11.50</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum Creatinine &gt;2 mg/dL</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.683</td>
<td>0.301</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Odds Ratio and P Value of Factors Included in this Study

By calculating the odds ratio, patients with raised intra-abdominal pressure and sepsis were found to be at more than 30 times higher risk to develop wound dehiscence. Hypoalbuminemia increases the risk for dehiscence by 16 times with significant p value (Table 2). Each of them who developed wound dehiscence had a combination of minimum of 4 factors mentioned above. In dehiscence group, 33.3% of patients belonged to age group more than 50 with no significant p value. More than 80% of patients had hypoalbuminemia, anaemia and pulmonary infection. Increased abdominal pressure, abdominal sepsis or wound infection were found in more than 75% of dehiscence patients with significant p value. Combination of these factors put the patients at higher risk for developing wound dehiscence.

DISCUSSION
Acute wound failure addressed by various names like wound dehiscence, disruption, burst abdomen is a multifactorial problem. The understanding of wound healing and dehiscence are complicated considerably by the fact that it is uncommon for any factor to exist in isolation and to determine which

![Figure 3: Percentage of wound dehiscence in peritonitis cases](image)

In our study, patients with increased intra-abdominal pressure were found to be at 32 times higher risk to develop wound dehiscence. Tissues should have an adequate breaking strength for the sutures to hold the wound together. Increased...
intra-abdominal pressure and inflammatory mediators lowers the tissue breaking strength and increases the propensity for dehiscence.\textsuperscript{21}

Moreover patients with pulmonary complications strain during cough contributing to dehiscence by increasing abdominal pressure. The increase in intra-abdominal pressure because of nausea, vomiting or cough results in breakage of suture, undoing of knots or pulling through the tissue. Fascial layers tend to lengthen as the wound distends, whereas suture length remains the same leading to breakage of suture, undoing of knot or pulling through tissue.\textsuperscript{22}

Physiological stressors like infection and injury increases the metabolic rate. If this state of stress prolongs along with decrease of body mass as in malignancy, catabolic process persists. This catabolic process is mediated by glucocorticoids, catecholamines, cytokines, insulin and insulin like growth factors. Tumour burden and tissue injury cause detrimental effect on wound healing.\textsuperscript{23} In our study also, the hypothesis that malignancy serves as a risk factor for wound dehiscence is proved right with significant p value.

In this study age, obesity, ascites, duration of surgery and altered renal function were not found to have significant effect in predicting wound dehiscence.

The high incidence of dehiscence with perforitis is also reported in other studies.\textsuperscript{24} In this study, the fact that patients with perforitis are at higher risk for wound dehiscence is strengthened.

Delayed presentation to hospital is found to have a significant p-value in this study. Delayed presentation has worsening influence over homeostasis. It leads to sepsicaemia, pulmonary complications, increased catabolism and altered fluid and electrolyte balance. In North Eastern part of India where this study was conducted, the probable reasons for delayed presentation are lack of awareness about diseases, difficult transportation, poverty and mismanagement by Quacks.

In the evolution of medicine, with this and similar studies a risk score for abdominal wound dehiscence can be framed into a formula to calculate the probability of developing abdominal wound dehiscence for individual patients. Thus, patients with high risk score can be included in future intervention studies that may involve preventive wound closing with reinforcements as (Biologic) mesh.

Factors like age, BMI, duration of surgery, ascites, raised serum creatinine level and diabetes mellitus were found to have insignificant p value.

\textbf{ABBREVIATIONS}

IAP- Intra-Abdominal Pressure, BMI- Body Mass Index, MMP-Matrix Metalloproteinases.

\textbf{CONCLUSION}

In conclusion, various putative risk factors for abdominal wound dehiscence were investigated. Important risk factors for abdominal wound dehiscence identified in this study include chronic pulmonary disease, hypoalbuminemia, anaemia, malignancy, increased intra-abdominal pressure, intra-abdominal sepsis, delayed presentation and wound infection. Furthermore, utmost efforts should be made to consider minimally invasive surgery. From the results of this study, we can also conclude that a number of risk factors for abdominal wound dehiscence can be mitigated during the perioperative period. This implies that the risk of developing abdominal wound dehiscence can be reduced by preventing wound infection and by applying optimal surgical technique in every patient.
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