In Search of an Ideal Obesity Assessment Tool: Is Body Mass Index Reliable Enough?
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND
Obesity, a global pandemic, has become a chronic health problem within a modern western society. Obesity mimics the iceberg phenomenon wherein there is more to it than what we perceive resulting in various physical and psychological problems. Obesity is defined as surplus body weight for given height. Obesity has been triggered by the growth of economy caused as an effect of industrialization, and urbanization, associated sedentary lifestyle, and transition of nutrition to canned foods. In the last few years, globally, countries have witnessed the spike in the rate of obesity. The endangering effects presented by obesity lead to numerous comorbidities that are being masked by the body dysmorphism. Metabolic disorders like diabetes mellitus type 2 and various cardiovascular risks hamper the regular metabolism of the body. Exploring the cascading effects in changing sedentary lifestyles draws many parallels to the surge in overweight and obesity among the people following such lifestyles. Increased adoption of sedentary lifestyles has resulted in a cascading effect on various metabolic disorders associated with obesity, globally. To address this surging concern, researchers around the globe have come up with multiple indices and parameters such as BMI, ABSI, VAI, BIA, DEXA, waist-hip ratio, and waist circumference, to quantify obesity in one final equation. However, these parameters have failed to give a conclusive summation that helps to identify the pre-symptoms of obesity. Similarly, variations in physical size and different body compositions for different weight categories usually pose tremendous challenges to quantify obesity. To make things more complicated various forms of obesity are being described and each has got its implication as far as the development of cardiovascular burden is concerned.

This challenge presents the need to derive and identify a much robust, accurate and explicit index that would apply universally to all forms of obesity and would guide preventive and therapeutic strategies thereoff. In this article, an effort is being made to compare various parameters available globally to tail off the better and more reliable indicator available.
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Tools to Evaluate Obesity / Body Mass Index

Overweight and obesity are global non-communicable pandemics. Obesity may be explained as percentage of fat storage linked with increased health risks and complications. Nonetheless, as the fat mass is laborious to quantify, the efficient definition of obesity is stationed on the Body Mass Index (BMI). Recent studies hint that mean BMI levels are expeditiously increasing presumably due to increasing motorization leading to scaled down physical activity and easy accessibility of processed foods.1 In the year 1935, a Flemish astronomer, Lambert Quetelet described BMI also known as Quetelet’s index.2 The BMI is calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meter squared. It is widely adopted to quantify obesity.4

Limitations of BMI

BMI fails to demarcate between the fat and lean body mass. As a matter of fact, any individual with a high BMI may have a low fat mass and vice versa. The term obesity is related to the surplus accumulation of the body fat and on this basis, the effectiveness of BMI as a marker of body fat mass has been questioned time and again. In population-based studies, men usually have BMI higher than in women.5,6 BMI is an indirect tool of body fat correlated with bioelectrical impedance. In addition, BMI lacks to resonate the modifications that occur with age. Further, BMI as a gauge of obesity can preface the various problems that may lead to potential bias in evaluating the effects associated with obesity.7 The rather inefficient relationship between BMI and the percent of body fat mass has been stated clearly in the database of NHANES III.8 It has been observed that many obese people have cardiovascular risk factors, and their BMI do not correlate with cardiovascular events.9-11 In the observational study of EPIC,12 the waist circumference-to-BMI ratio was calculated and was observed that the ratio was highest in the individuals who had low BMI.

Waist Circumference, Waist Hip Ratio and Waist-Height Ratio:

The Waist Circumference (WC) complements BMI for the analysis of disease risk in obesity. Abdominal fatness is an individualistic prognostic marker indicative of comorbidities and mortality. In 201713 International Chair on Cardiometabolic Risk (ICCR) and the International Atherosclerosis Society (IAS) working group on visceral obesity highlighted the significance of abdominal obesity as a marker for premature atherosclerosis and cardiovascular diseases in adults. The group developed a consensus statement which outlined that; BMI alone is not sufficient to estimate the cardio metabolic risk related to increased adiposity. Measuring waist circumference should be considered as a routine habit in clinical practice along with the BMI. Studies have proved that, adults with higher waist circumference values are at higher risk than those having lower waist circumference irrespective of any given BMI category.14,15 Margaret Ashwell and others proposed the waist-height ratio as a measure of abdominal obesity.16,17 Compared with the previous measurements, the waist-height ratio shows similar and sometimes slightly stronger associations with the risk of Cardiovascular disease (CVD) or Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM).18 An explanation for why adding height increases the prediction of disease risk might be because short stature is associated with increased risk of CVD.19 Waist circumference is an easy tool to measure abdominal adiposity, and correlates well with all-cause- and CVD mortality.20-23

Consensus Statement24

The consensus statement for obesity in India has described various cut-off (action) levels for defining and managing overweight and obesity. The gravity of waist circumference in estimating the various cardio metabolic risk factors and its outcomes has been inspected in various epidemiological studies.25-27 The association of waist circumference and its clinical outcome is persistently amplified for diabetes risk, and waist circumference is a potent indicator of diabetes mellitus than is body mass index. It has been noted that waist circumference is a better indicator to identify individuals who are at risk than the body mass index alone. Data from different studies pillars the idea that waist circumference is a principal marker of diabetes, coronary heart disease and is independent of the native clinical tests like blood pressure, random blood sugar, and lipoproteins.28,29

Waist circumference serves as an optimistic marker of health outcomes. In fact, the relationship between waist circumference and health outcome changes very little with the increasing age in comparison with its association of BMI and health outcome. According to a research that compared the sensitivity of different variables towards cardiovascular disease, the study supports that abdominal obesity is more sophisticated risk indicator of CVD than BMI. Pratuysh DD et al.30 studied the relation of different individual criteria of metabolic syndrome (MetS) with the suitable waist circumference cut-off for South Asian Indians. MetS was diagnosed by modified NCEP ATP III criteria. 90 cm was the waist circumference cut-off point for male as per the receiver operator characteristic curve analysis and was associated with MetS in males with sensitivity and specificity of 71% and 96% respectively; and for females was 85 cm with a sensitivity and specificity of 86% and 93%, respectively.

Snehalatha C;31 studied the risk of diabetes with BMI, WC, or waist hip ratio (WHR). They performed oral glucose tolerance tests in all subjects. It was observed that the cut-off values for WC and WHR were lower in women than in men. Ghosh JR32; study examined the relationship between the varied adiposity measures and figured out the optimum adiposity measure available in interpreting Type 2 DM. 187 adult males aged 25-67 years were included. Fasting and two hours post prandial glucose levels were assessed. Highest odds ratio for Type 2 DM was observed for the waist circumference. This study concluded that, waist circumference was the ideal tool for measurement of the central adiposity and assessing Type 2 DM.32

A Body Shape Index

A latest anthropometric measure (A Body Shape Index, ABSI) in concurrence with BMI can calculate the visceral abdominal and also the general adiposities.33 It is defined as the waist

circumference (WC) / (BMI (Body Mass Index) \(2/3 \times \text{height}^{1/3}\)). Linear and positive association of AHI is also indicated with visceral fat mass and the cardiovascular disease (CVD). Updated studies also confirms that AHI is a strong indicator of all-cause mortality. A European study confirmed that AHI and BMI could forecast the probability of CVD events more efficiently than any of the other indices. The findings in the studies suggest that it is of utmost priority that AHI shows a linear relationship with all-cause or cardiovascular mortality, while BMI and WC show J-shaped associations.

### Visceral Adiposity Index

The visceral adiposity index (VAI) is an accurate tool used to calculate metabolic abnormality using waist circumference, serum triglycerides, age, and gender. The visceral adiposity index is also considered a proxy marker for cardio metabolic risk.

#### Calculation

VAI is calculated in males and females separately.

**Males** = \((WC \times 3.968 + 1.88 \times \text{BMI}) \times (\text{TGs} \times 1.03) \times (1.31 \times \text{HDL} - C)\)

**Females** = \((WC \times 3.568 + 1.89 \times \text{BMI}) \times (\text{TGs} \times 0.81) \times (1.52 \times \text{HDL} - C)\)

Where:

- WC is expressed in cm, BMI in Kg/m\(^2\), TG in mmol/L, and HDL in mmol/L

Further analysis of multiple such data points derived that VAI should be equivalent to 1 for healthy non-obese subjects with normal adipose distribution and normal TG and HDL levels. VAI is also useful to determine fat distribution which can be further detrimental to the phenotype change and minimalizes the need to take expensive imaging tests, thus delivering a more idealistic approach to investigate various cardio metabolic risks associated with visceral obesity. Also, VAI shows a correlation with known adipocytokines and cardio metabolic risk serum markers.

An aspect that is worthy to be challenged is the changes in the VAI on a calorie deficient diet. However, in theory a healthy weight loss program with a minimal reduction in the calorie-balanced diet along with aerobic exercise decreases VAI. New research has found men fasting during the month of Ramadan recorded reduced bodyweight, body mass index, waist circumference to height ratio, and body adiposity index (BAI), with no apparent modification in the VAI. According to the study done by Amato MC et al., anthropometric, metabolic and clinical parameters were studied in 92 Type 2 DM patients and the study concluded that the VAI as compared to other measures of assessment of adiposity assessments showed the finest correlation with cardio metabolic risk markers.

In a study conducted by Kang YM et al. around 2204 Korean subjects with the MHO-phenotype were engaged and classified based on metabolic health state and body mass in dex under Wildman criteria at initial and advanced examinations and the study concluded that MHO phenotypes exhibiting higher VAI values were indicative of relatively poor metabolic outcomes in the future.

A study was done by Chen HY et al with 464 haemodialysis patients, it was concluded that VAI is the best suited method to measure visceral adiposity and correlates well with long-term CV outcomes and all-cause mortality in haemodialysis patients. Its predictive power of CV outcomes is better than WC and WHtR. VAI is an evidence-based tool to evaluate adipose tissue dysfunction and its associated cardio metabolic risk in various patient populations.

### Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis

Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis (BIA) is an index used to measure body impedance by using electrodes that are connected to form a circuit for the electric current passage. The measure of impedance is used to estimate the total body water and fat-free mass. Varied tissues present diverse degrees of resistance. However, due to its low water content adipose tissue is a poor conductor of electric current. Since it is non-invasive, it requires a limited number of instruments and is cost-effective. BIA is an interesting index that presents no height or weight restrictions. Tetra and Eight Polar BIA also known as Segmental Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis Index recognizes the complexities in human shapes and further combines many impedance measures to derive an accurate analysis. BIA equations specific to fatness which were formulated by Segal et al. have been verified for handling obese and newly developed prediction equations derived for the obese are much more accurate to predict body fat.

### Dual X-Ray Absorptiometry

Dual X-Ray Absorptiometry (DEXA) is a screening method that evaluates the bone mineral, fat tissue, and also fat-free soft tissue. It is beneficial in forecasting intra-abdominal fat in all obese women and men. DEXA also permits interpretation of the composition of body that can ultimately differentiate between android or gynoid obesity. Constraints of DEXA are its high cost, skilled technician’s requirement and devoted facilities.

### CONCLUSIONS

There are several methods of assessment of body composition. The various tools and techniques available have their own drawbacks. New methods seem to be more committed for an efficient assessment of obesity, but these emerging tools should be validated by more research. When an attempt is being made to choose an ideal tool for analysing the body composition, clinicians should consider the resources available to them, cost effectiveness of the tool, and most importantly the goals of their ultimate management plan.
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