ABDOMINAL ULTRASONOGRAPHY FINDINGS CORRELATED WITH CD4 COUNTS IN ADULT HIV INFECTED PATIENTS
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND
The frequency of abdominal disorders in HIV/AIDS patients is second only to pulmonary diseases. The degree of immunodeficiency is related to the level of CD4+ counts, which is a good index for monitoring the disease progression. It is expected that as the immune status decreases, susceptibility to infection and consequently abnormal sonographic findings should increase.

The aim of the study was to perform abdominal ultrasonography in adult HIV-infected patients and correlate these findings with CD4 counts of these patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
100 adult HIV infected patients were scanned with abdominal ultrasonography and the findings were documented and correlated with their CD4+ counts.

Statistical Analysis Used - Data analysis was done using SPSS 21.0. Two-tailed ‘p’ values < 0.05 were considered significant.

Settings and Design - This was a prospective cross-sectional study using sample size of 100 HIV infected patients, conducted at the Department of Radiodiagnosis and Imaging, Gandhi Medical College and Hamidia Hospital, Bhopal from March 2015 to September 2016.

RESULTS
Splenomegaly, splenic granuloma, focal hypoechoic liver lesions, renomegaly, lymphadenopathy and ascites showed significant correlation with CD4 counts. Incidence of increased renal echogenicity, bowel thickening and hepatomegaly was higher in low CD4 classes, but no statistically significant correlation was found.

CONCLUSION
Abdominal ultrasonography is an excellent and sensitive modality for routine screening of HIV infected patients and focussed assessment in lower CD4 classes and help in early detection of both infective and neoplastic aetiologies. It can also help in guided FNAC/ Biopsies in suspected cases of neoplasms.
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related abdominal diseases, but are expensive and unavailable in the typically impoverished, HIV-infected Indian subcontinent.

Ultrasonography is an easy to perform, non-invasive, inexpensive and safe imaging technique that is invaluable in India, where AIDS is prevalent and where sophisticated diagnostic tools are not readily available.

The degree of immunodeficiency is related to the level of CD4+ count and as such CD4+ count is a good index for monitoring the disease’s progression. It is expected that as immune status decreases, susceptibility to infection and consequently abnormal sonographic findings should increase.

The need for this study lies in the fact that there is relative lack of literature and studies regarding USG findings in HIV infected patients in Indian subcontinent and still less studies correlating these findings with CD4 counts. So our present study is intended to document these ultrasonographic findings in HIV-infected patients and correlate these findings with CD4 counts of these patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
This was a hospital-based, prospective, cross-sectional study done at Department of Radiodiagnosis, Gandhi Medical College and Hamidia Hospital, Bhopal from March 2015 to September 2016 using purposive sampling and a sample size of 100 HIV infected patients with documented CD4+ counts referred to our department for abdominal ultrasonographic assessment.

Ethics
All subjects were enrolled with detailed oral and written consent. This study was approved by Ethical and Scientific Committee of our Institute.

Inclusion Criteria
1. Adult patients > 18 years of age with HIV infection confirmed with ELISA.
2. Patients presenting to Radiodiagnosis Department for transabdominal ultrasonography.

Exclusion Criteria
No exclusion criteria.

Instrumentation and Technique
All examinations were performed using PHILLIPS HD7XE ultrasound machine with convex 3 - 5 MHz array transducer and 11 - 12.5 MHz linear transducer.

To examine the various abdominal organs patients lay on the examination couch in different postures, which included supine, prone, lateral and oblique positions. The abdominal organs are scanned in longitudinal, transverse and oblique planes.

The following criteria was utilised to assess the abdominal organs:
- Lymphadenopathy- visualised lymph nodes with the short axis measured;
- Hepatomegaly- longitudinal dimension at midaortic plane >15 cm;
- Splenomegaly- longitudinal dimension > 12 cm;
- Thickened gallbladder wall- dimension > 3 mm at the anterior wall;
- Pancreatic enlargement- dimensions > 2.5 cm, 1.5 cm or 2.0 cm for the head, body or tail respectively;
- Renomegaly- longitudinal renal dimension > 12 cm;

The grading of renal echogenicity was according to that described by Hricak et al2 (grade 0; renal cortical echogenicity < liver parenchymal echogenicity; grade 1; cortex= liver; grade 2; renal sinus > cortex > liver; grade 3; renal sinus= cortex > liver).

- Biliary dilatation- intrahepatic biliary ducts luminal diameter > 2 mm (or if > 40% of the diameter of the adjacent portal vein) or extrahepatic biliary duct luminal diameter at the porta hepatitis > 5 mm for patients < 50 years of age (or 6 additional 1 mm per additional decade of life).

Grouping into CD4+ classes is done according to the World Health Organisation’s (WHO’s) classification of CD4+ immunological profile3 in adult HIV-infected patients with CD4+ counts > 500/ microlitre categorised into the None or Not significant class; 350 - 499 as Mild; 200 – 349 as Advanced; and a CD4+ count < 200 in the Severe category.

Complete Evaluation of all Patients was done in the following Format
- Clinical history and examination.
- Laboratory data (HIV-ELISA; CD4+ counts).
- Ultrasonographic evaluation.

Statistical Analysis
Data analysis was done using SPSS 21.0. Variables were expressed as percentages and comparison was by chi-square analysis. Two-tailed ‘p’ values < 0.05 were considered significant.

RESULTS
Ultrasonography Findings/
Spleen
Splenomegaly (Figure 1) was the most common abnormal finding present in 51% of patients with maximum proportion of patients in Severe (54.9%) followed by Advanced (25.4%). Mild (13.7%) and least in Not Significant class (5.8%) (Table 3). Splenic Granulomas (Figure 2) were seen in 23% of cases with maximum patients in Severe CD4 class followed by Advanced (21.7%). Both splenomegaly and splenic granulomas showed significant ‘p’ values (0.022 and 0.044 respectively) suggesting strong correlation between these findings and CD4 counts. Splenic abscess was seen in 1 patient in Severe CD4 class.

Liver
Hepatomegaly (Figure 3) was present in (50% cases), out of which maximum patients were in severe CD4 class followed by Advanced CD4 class (Table 3). However, ‘p’ value was not significant for hepatomegaly. Cause of hepatomegaly was either due to infection, hepatitis, fatty change, focal infective lesions or neoplastic infiltration. Fatty liver was seen in 11% of cases with maximum cases in severe CD4 class followed by Not Significant CD4 class. Focal hypoechoic lesions were noted in 20% cases (Table 3) with maximum number of...
patients in severe CD4 class (75%) followed by Advanced CD4 class with highly significant ‘p’ value (0.031) suggesting very significant correlation. Focal hypoechoic lesions were noted in 20% of patients and on follow-up were found to be liver abscess (13) (Figure 4), tubercular granuloma (2) (Figure 5) and fungal granuloma (2) (Figure 6), Kaposi sarcoma (1) (Figure 7) and Lymphomatous infiltration (2) (Figure 8). Diffuse hypoechoogenicity and hyperechogenicity of liver were attributed to Hepatitis (Figure 9) and Fatty infiltration respectively. Focal hypoechoic lesions were found to be incidental haemangiomas.

Gall Bladder
Majority of patients had normal Gall Bladder on Abdominal USG (87%) (Table 3). Cholelithiasis was seen in 9% of patients. Oedematous GB wall was seen in 7% of patients with maximum no. of patients (71%) in severe CD4 class. GB wall oedema was seen owing to various pathologies like acalculus cholecystitis (Figure 10) and Calculus Cholecystitis, Hypertension and secondary to Ascites. GB pathologies did not correlate significantly with CD4 counts.

Pancreas
Pancreas was normal in size and echogenicity in majority of patients (92%). Bulky Pancreas was seen in 8% of patients (Table 3). All 8 patients showed altered echogenicity (Hypoechoic) on USG suggesting Pancreatitis (Figure 11). Maximum no. of patients were in severe CD4 class (62%) followed by advanced CD4 class (25%) and mild CD4 class (12.5%). Non-significant CD4 class patients showed no significant sonographic abnormality. There was no significant correlation between pancreas enlargement/ hypoechoogenicity and CD4 counts of the patients.

Biliary Tree
Biliary tree was normal in most of the patients (96%). 4% of patients (Table 3) showed dilated intra/extra-hepatic biliary tree; however, no calculus was seen in biliary tree in these patients (Figure 12). Both severe and advanced CD4 class showed 2 patients each (50%) with dilated biliary tree and mild and non-significant class showed normal biliary tree on ultrasonography. ‘P’ value was 0.458 (No significant correlation between these findings and CD4 counts).

Kidneys
Kidneys were normal in 61% of patients. Renomegaly (Figure 13) was the most common abnormal finding (36%) with maximum proportion of patients in Severe (63.8%) and Advanced (22.2%) CD4 classes with significant ‘p’ value (0.031) (Table 3). Hyperechoic renal parenchyma (Figure 14) was noted in 29% of patients and hypoechoic (Figure 15) in one patient. CKD changes (Figure 14) were seen in 3% of patients. Renal calculi were seen in 16% of patients. Except renomegaly, there was no significant correlation between ultrasonography findings of kidney and CD4 counts.

Lymphadenopathy
Enlarged abdominal lymph nodes (Figure 16) were noted in 47% of patients (Table 3) with maximum proportion in Severe (63.8%) and Advanced (21.2%) CD4 class with highly significant ‘p’ value of 0.00 suggesting very significant correlation between lymphadenopathy and CD4 counts.

Ascites
Ascites was present in 29% of patients (Table 3) with Severe CD4 class having 58.6% Advanced having 27.5% and Mild having 13.7% of patients. Ascses was not seen in Not Significant CD4 class patients. ‘P’ value for Ascites was significant (0.035).

Bowel
Bowel thickening (Figure 17) was seen in 18% of patients (Table 3) with maximum no. of patients in Severe CD4 class 61.1% followed by Advanced (27.7%) and Mild (11.1%). Bowel thickening was not seen in Not Significant CD4 class patients. ‘P’ value for Bowel Thickening was 0.137 (not significant).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Groups (Years)</th>
<th>Male (%)</th>
<th>Female (%)</th>
<th>Total (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18-27</td>
<td>16 (61.5%)</td>
<td>10 (38.4%)</td>
<td>26 (26%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-37</td>
<td>32 (66.6%)</td>
<td>16 (33.4%)</td>
<td>48 (48%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38-47</td>
<td>8 (66.6%)</td>
<td>4 (33.4%)</td>
<td>12 (12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48-57</td>
<td>11 (91.6%)</td>
<td>1 (8.2%)</td>
<td>12 (12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58-67</td>
<td>2 (100%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>2 (2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>69 (69%)</td>
<td>31 (31%)</td>
<td>100 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CD4+ Class N (% Within Class)</th>
<th>Normal (%)</th>
<th>Abnormal (%)</th>
<th>Total (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not Significant</td>
<td>10 (77%)</td>
<td>13 (13%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>16 (80%)</td>
<td>20 (20%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced</td>
<td>21 (87.5%)</td>
<td>24 (24%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>39 (90.7%)</td>
<td>43 (43%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>86 (86%)</td>
<td>100 (100%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chi-square = 2.321, p value = 0.509

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sonographic Findings</th>
<th>Frequency (%)</th>
<th>Not Significant</th>
<th>Mild</th>
<th>Advanced</th>
<th>Severe</th>
<th>Chi-Square</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Splenomegaly</td>
<td>51 (51%)</td>
<td>3 (5.8%)</td>
<td>7 (13.7%)</td>
<td>13 (25.4%)</td>
<td>28 (54.9%)</td>
<td>9.630</td>
<td>0.022*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatomegaly</td>
<td>50 (50%)</td>
<td>5 (10%)</td>
<td>7 (14%)</td>
<td>13 (26%)</td>
<td>15 (30%)</td>
<td>3.799</td>
<td>0.284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enlarged Nodes</td>
<td>47 (47%)</td>
<td>2 (4.2%)</td>
<td>5 (10.6%)</td>
<td>10 (21.2%)</td>
<td>30 (63.8%)</td>
<td>18.324</td>
<td>0.000***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renomegaly</td>
<td>36 (36%)</td>
<td>2 (5.5%)</td>
<td>5 (13.8%)</td>
<td>8 (22.2%)</td>
<td>23 (63.8%)</td>
<td>8.857</td>
<td>0.031*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperechoic Kidneys</td>
<td>29 (29%)</td>
<td>2 (6.8%)</td>
<td>4 (13.7%)</td>
<td>6 (20.6%)</td>
<td>17 (58.6%)</td>
<td>4.461</td>
<td>0.216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascites</td>
<td>29 (29%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>4 (13.7%)</td>
<td>8 (27.5%)</td>
<td>17 (58.6%)</td>
<td>8.633</td>
<td>0.035*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splenic Granuloma</td>
<td>23 (23%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>3 (13.0%)</td>
<td>5 (21.7%)</td>
<td>15 (65.2%)</td>
<td>8.098</td>
<td>0.044*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperechoic Liver (Focal)</td>
<td>20 (20%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>2 (10%)</td>
<td>4 (20%)</td>
<td>14 (70%)</td>
<td>8.905</td>
<td>0.031*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal Calculus</td>
<td>16 (16%)</td>
<td>2 (12.5%)</td>
<td>4 (25%)</td>
<td>3 (18.7%)</td>
<td>7 (43.7%)</td>
<td>0.463</td>
<td>0.927</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperechoic Liver</td>
<td>11 (11%)</td>
<td>3 (27.2%)</td>
<td>2 (18.1%)</td>
<td>2 (18.1%)</td>
<td>4 (36.3%)</td>
<td>2.258</td>
<td>0.521</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Sonographic Findings vs. CD4+ Classification (N=100)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sonographic Finding</th>
<th>Class 1</th>
<th>Class 2</th>
<th>Class 3</th>
<th>Class 4</th>
<th>p Value 1</th>
<th>p Value 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cholelithiasis</td>
<td>9(9%)</td>
<td>1(11.1%)</td>
<td>2(22.2%)</td>
<td>2(22.2%)</td>
<td>4(44.4%)</td>
<td>0.069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulky Hypoechoic Pancreas</td>
<td>8(8%)</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td>1(12.5%)</td>
<td>2(25%)</td>
<td>5(62.5%)</td>
<td>2.148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oedematous GB</td>
<td>7(7%)</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td>1(14.2%)</td>
<td>1(14.2%)</td>
<td>5(71.4%)</td>
<td>2.812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focal Hyperechoic Liver</td>
<td>4(4%)</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td>1(25%)</td>
<td>2(50%)</td>
<td>5(62.5%)</td>
<td>5.253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilated Biliary Tree</td>
<td>4(4%)</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td>2(50%)</td>
<td>2(50%)</td>
<td>2.596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CKD</td>
<td>3(3%)</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td>1(33.3%)</td>
<td>2(66.6%)</td>
<td>1.536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse Hypoechoic Liver</td>
<td>2(2%)</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td>1(50%)</td>
<td>1(50%)</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td>2.636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splenic Abscess</td>
<td>1(1%)</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td>1(100%)</td>
<td>1.339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoechoic Kidney</td>
<td>1(1%)</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td>1(100%)</td>
<td>1.339</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Represents significant ‘p’ value and correlation between findings.

The ‘p’ values are calculated by comparing the sonographic findings in all four CD4 classes and to know whether these findings are occurring by chance or really correlated to low CD4 count. Significant ‘p’ values mean the increased frequency of that particular finding in that particular class truly correlates to CD4 count and not occurring merely by chance.

Figure 1. USG Image shows Splenomegaly of 16.5 cm. Left-Sided Pleural Effusion is also Seen

Figure 2. USG Image shows Splenomegaly with Multiple Hypoechoic Lesions (Splenic Granulomas)

Figure 3. Ultrasound Image of Liver showing Hepatomegaly of 17.1 cm

Figure 4. USG Image showing Multiple Hypoechoic Lesions in Liver Parenchyma (Liver Abscesses)

Figure 5. USG Image showing Multiple Round to Oval Heterogeneous Lesions in Liver (Tubercular Granuloma)

Figure 6. Multiple Bull’s Eye Lesions noted in Liver Parenchyma typical of Fungal Granulomas (Candidiasis)
Figure 7. USG Image showing Large Lobulated Hyperechoic Mass (Kaposi Sarcoma)

Figure 8. USG Image shows Large Hypoechoic Necrotic Mass Lesion in Left Lobe of Liver showing significant Internal Vascularity, which proved to be Lymphoma on Histopathology

Figure 9. USG Image shows Hepatomegaly with Periportal Cuffing and Increased Echogenicity (Acute Hepatitis)

Figure 10. USG Image shows Oedematous GB Wall with Pericholecystic Fluid and Peri-Portal Lymphadenopathy-Acalculus Cholecystitis

Figure 11. USG Image showing Bulky Hypoechoic Head and Body of Pancreas showing Few Calcific Foci in Head Region suggestive of Pancreatitis

Figure 12. USG Image showing Dilated Central IHBR with Echo Free Lumen (AIDS Cholangiopathy)
DISCUSSION

Majority of the patients in our study population (around 76%) were within the age range of 18 - 47 years (Table 1). Male patients were far more common in our study (69%) than females (31%). This age and sex range nearly corresponds to the data provided by UNAIDS 2015 report, which describes that that AIDS still threatens the cream of society, those in the prime of their working life. Only 2% of patients were more than 57 years old, possibly due to the fact that older population are relatively less sexually active. However, with the new ART regimen which provides free drugs and therapy to all HIV infected patients, more elderly HIV-infected patients will be seen in the future due to increased survival rate.

A variety of abnormal abdominal sonographic findings were seen in 86% of the 100 patients, which is consistent with the observation made by Blessing Ose-Emenim Igbinedion et al that out of 300 HIV patients which they reviewed 256 showed sonographic abnormalities in about 85.3% of the patients. The major sonographic findings included splenomegaly, hepatomegaly, enlarged kidneys, lymphadenopathy, ascites, pancreatitis and bowel thickening. These sonographic abnormalities though are non-specific to a particular pathogen or disease entity, such findings with right clinical correlation and laboratory workup can help the
treating physicians to arrive at a correct diagnosis which leads to better patient care and treatment.

Most common finding in our study was splenomegaly. The frequency of splenomegaly is comparable with that recorded by Yee et al. in which 45% of their patients had splenomegaly compared with 51% in our study. The reason for slightly more incidence of splenomegaly can be malaria, septicaemia, typhoid, schistosomiasis, portal hypertension, haemolytic anaemia and tropical splenomegaly which are quite common in Indian subcontinent. Splenic granulomas presenting as multiple small hypoechoic areas and sometimes small coarse calcifications were seen in 23% of patients. Both Splenomegaly and Splenic Granulomas showed significant correlation with CD4 counts as both conditions are more prevalent with decreasing immunity status (Decreased CD4 counts) and increased incidence of opportunistic infections (Tuberculosis, Fungal) and Neoplasms (Lymphoma).

Liver showed a variety of sonographic findings with hepatomegaly being most common (50%), which is consistent with findings of Yee JM et al. and slightly more than study conducted by Blessing Ose-Emenim Igbinedion et al. Cause of hepatomegaly was either due to infection, hepatitis, fatty change, focal infective lesions or neoplastic infiltration. Focal hypoechoic lesions were noted in 20% of patients and on follow-up were found to be liver abscess, tubercular and fungal granulomas, Kaposis sarcoma and Lymphomatous infiltration. Ultrasonography significantly helped in both diagnosis and in few cases guided FNAC/Aspiration of these hypoechoic lesions suggesting its therapeutic advantage also. Diffuse hypoechoogenicity and hyperechogenicity of liver were attributed to Hepatitis and Fatty Infiltration respectively. Focal Hyperechoic lesions were found to be incidental Haemangiomases. Focal hypoechoic lesions of liver showed significant correlation with CD4 counts as with decreasing immunity status (Decreased CD4 counts) there is increased incidence of opportunistic infections (tuberculosis, fungal) and neoplasms (Lymphoma/Kaposis sarcoma).

Kidney diseases can be due to HIV virus itself, secondary infections or the administered drugs. Renomegaly showed significant correlation with the CD4 counts and incidence of increased cortical echogenicity was also more in severe CD4 class patients. This statistically significant finding of more patients with renomegaly and associated increased cortical echogenicity may be ascribed to HIV nephropathy, which usually causes diffuse renal cortical echogenicity as well as renal enlargement as suggested by Schaffer et al. Hypoechoic kidney was attributed to renal involvement by lymphoma. Renal calculi with or without hydronephrosis were seen, but no significant correlation was found with CD4 counts. They may be incidental and may be associated with Indinavir therapy associated crystalluria. These abnormal renal sonographic findings in patients with HIV suggest that even if the patient has no gross evidence of renal disease, a baseline renal sonogram will be useful in patients with lower CD4 counts for prediction and early detection of Renal Parenchymal Disease even before the patient presents with proteinuria or anaemia.

Cholelithiasis noted in few patients was incidental. Acalculus cholecystitis was noted in few patients, which is a well-documented finding in AIDS patients (Nash J et al). Similarly, dilated biliary tree was seen in two patients which can be due to AIDS Cholangiopathy (Cello J et al). However, no significant correlation was found in these findings and CD4 counts. Nevertheless, USG is the best modality for assessment of Gall Bladder and Intra/Extra-Hepatic Biliary tree and leads to prompt detection of the above-mentioned pathologies and initiation of timely treatment.

Bulky heterogeneous hypochoic pancreas noted in 8 patients was attributed to Acute Pancreatitis and correlated with clinical and laboratory findings. Pancreatitis in HIV patients may be owing to toxoplasmosis, cytomegalovirus, M. avium intracellulare or antiretroviral treatment (Stavudine Therapy). Manfredi et al. in their study found that although the annual incidence in the general population is relatively low, estimated to be 17 to 30 cases per 100,000 population, the annual incidence of acute pancreatitis in the patients with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS) is considerably higher. No significant correlation was found in these findings and CD4 counts.

Lymph nodes form an important component of the immunity, which acts as a barrier between foreign particles/pathogens and body. In HIV/AIDS patients, there is increased incidence of Lymphadenopathy due to the increased occurrence of opportunistic infections and neoplasia. In our study, the frequency of occurrence of lymphadenopathy (47%) was similar to the study conducted by Fabio Cassana et al. in which deep nodes were detected in 48% of HIV infected patients. Lymphadenopathy correlated strongly with patient’s CD4+ counts, which can be ascribed to the fact that as patients become more immune-compromised the probability of factors (such as infection and neoplasia) causing lymph node enlargement increases. Enlarged lymph nodes were seen extending from peri-pancreatic, peri-portal, pre/para-aortic, pre/para-caval, retroperitoneal, mesenteric and omental nodes. Many of the demonstrable lymph nodes had focal hypoechoic areas of necrosis and sometimes coarse califications suggesting infective aetiology (either non-specific or tubercular). Large conglomerated nodal mass was seen in 3 patients showing internal vascularity on colour Doppler study and encasement of vessels, which required histopathology and were found to be Lymphoma on follow-up. Detection of these lymphomatous masses further strengthens the credibility of ultrasonography in HIV patients.

Bowel thickening was mainly demonstrated in the small intestine with high incidence in the severe CD4+ class of patients, which may be attributed to the increased frequency of opportunistic gastrointestinal infections with decreased immunity since immunosuppression affects gastric acid secretion and peristalsis (non-specific host defence mechanisms), which predisposes the gastrointestinal tract to increased bacterial colonisation leading to malabsorption, diarrhoea and opportunistic infections. With advent of newer high frequency high resolution probes the rate of detection of bowel pathologies is significantly increasing and it is proving to be excellent screening modality. The incidence of bowel thickening in our study was 18%, which was similar to the study conducted by Tshibwabwa et al. in which it was 15% and showed significant 'p' value (0.001).

Ascites is a non-specific response to many infective and neoplastic aetiology and its presence suggest the possibility of these pathologies. Ascites was seen in 29% of patients in
our study, which is marginally higher than documented by (22%) Tshibwabwa et al.13 The significant correlation between ascites and CD4 count is due to increased frequency of these opportunistic infections and neoplasms in patients with lower count. Presence of ascites warrants a thorough search for these pathologies and it can be an important clue to the underlying disease.

Although, majority of the above findings are well documented in literature and only few of them correlate well with the CD4 counts. Still there is high incidence and prevalence of these abnormalities in lower CD4 classes owing to the immunological status that makes a certain set of pathologies more common in these patients. And the ability of ultrasonography to detect these findings sometimes in asymptomatic patients warrants more frequent use of sonography in lower CD4 class patients and our present study adds credibility to this statement. These set of patients can be screened at regular intervals owing to low cost and easy availability of sonographic equipment to rule out or early diagnosis of these pathologies, most of which are treatable and will help in increased survival of these patients.

**CONCLUSION**
Abdominal ultrasonography is an excellent and sensitive modality for routine screening of HIV infected patients and focussed assessment in lower CD4 classes and help in early detection of both infective and neoplastic aetiologies. It can also help in guided FNAC/ Biopsies in suspected cases of neoplasms.
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