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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND
There are very few studies regarding diagnostic variations and treatment response in catatonia in India. We wanted to assess the treatment response according to the background psychiatric diagnoses of in-patient catatonic patients.

METHODS
This is a cross-sectional descriptive study done in the Psychiatric indoor of North Bengal Medical College between December 2018 to December 2019. Catatonia was diagnosed using DSM-5 criteria in the patients admitted. A total 30 patients were included in the study. The patients were assessed by Busch-Francis Catatonia Rating Scale, were given oral or parenteral lorazepam as needed and observed for 2-3 weeks. Non-responders were given modified ECT as per convention. Background diagnoses were checked by thorough history taking and investigations.

RESULTS
Majority of the patients were Hindu males in their twenties from rural lower-middle socio-economic families, around 20% of them having family history of affective and psychotic illnesses. They were diagnosed mostly with schizophrenia and other psychotic illnesses (53%) and mood disorders (30%). The mean BFCRS score at the time admission was 20.93 ± 6.16. The commonly found symptoms were mutism, staring, negativism, rigidity, posturing/catalepsy, mannerism, and withdrawal. It was seen that 83.33% of cases responded well to lorazepam only, whereas the rest 13.33% required ECT after non-response or partial response to lorazepam. Only one case after diagnosis with catatonia following organic condition was referred to the Medicine Department. The duration of hospital stay was found to be significantly different \( F = 3.58 \) (\( >3.35 \)) among different diagnoses groups (mood disorders, psychotic disorders and others) when the catatonic severity, lorazepam dosage and the duration of treatment response were assessed among those groups using multiple one-way ANOVA.

CONCLUSIONS
This study has yielded important findings regarding the age and socio-demographic profiles of the patients, family history of psychiatric disorders, clinical features, and significance of diagnostic variations in relation to the treatment with lorazepam and ECT in catatonic patients in the psychiatric indoor in a tertiary care rural hospital of Eastern India.
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Catatonia, a term first coined by K L Kahlbaum is a symptom complex comprising of motor abnormality along with disorders of mood, behaviour or thought. He told about 17 motor abnormalities seen in patients of disorders of mood and thought, tuberculosis, and epilepsy. Later Emile Kraepelin mentioned catatonic state in chronic mentally ill patients including that of dementia praecox.5 It happens to occur as a presenting complaint in 7-15% of acute psychiatric inpatients.6 Around 40 signs have been described relating to catatonia over the years having overlapping definitions.6 Those might be of hypokinetic, stuporous or hyperkinetic, along with posturing, stereotypies and mannerisms. Sometimes there may be mutism, echoing words and phrases or verbigeration, negativism, automatic obedience, flexibility, mitgehen, gegenhalten. These signs can present in retarded-stuporous type or excited-delirious type.3 As per DSM-5, the presence of three prominent signs for at least 24 h is sufficient for catatonia diagnosis.3

Catatonia is present in both acute and chronic psychiatric patients. It is difficult to study, understand and recognize catatonia though it is pretty common. It actually challenges the clinician’s diagnostic and management skills. The presentation remains unclear owing to many overlapping domains rendering the theoretical approach to the scenario debatable.5,7 It has been observed that catatonic signs were present in nearly 20 - 40% of in-patients diagnosed with mood and psychotic disorders studies of Indian settings.7-10 regarding the background diagnoses, most Indian studies, unlike that of western ones, show a higher contribution of psychotic illnesses rather than mood disorders producing catatonic symptoms.7,11,12

Prior Indian studies mostly yielded mutism, immobility/stupor, staring, negativism, rigidity, posturing/catalepsy and withdrawal as the catatonic phenomenology.7,11,12 Characteristically the treatment response and background diagnoses of catatonia were very sparsely dealt with in prior Indian studies.7,11 The wide variety of catatonic signs and symptoms resulted in the inception of a few scales for identification and severity assessment like Bush Francis Catatonia Rating Scale (BFCRS)13 and the modified Roger’s Rating Scale.14,15 The quick resolution of symptoms by lorazepam challenge test often indirectly help in the assessment of catatonia though this may happen often temporarily.16 Catatonia of various forms can be managed with benzodiazepines, specially lorazepam. Although lorazepam succeeds in treating catatonia with fair results, different patients may respond in a varying manner.17-20 For partial responses and prolonged catatonia, ECT can be applied.10 Drugs acting on NMDA receptors, e.g. memantine have also showed to have promising future in the treatment of catatonia.21

There are only a few studies that have been conducted in India with the aim to explore the background diagnoses and treatment response in patients presenting with catatonia in inpatient treatment settings.10,11 As there is scarcity of related literature specially in the eastern part of India, this study was conducted to assess the response to lorazepam and the duration among various diagnostic categories having catatonic syndrome in this part of the country.
Prevalence was more in males (63.3%), mostly (43.3%) with high school education, Hindu religion (76.7%), mostly from rural background (70%), majority belonging to semiskilled worker (30%) and housewife (23.3%) by occupation, mostly from lower middle socio-economic status (56.7%) as per the Modified Kuppuswamy scale as shown in Table 1.

Among the bipolar disorder cases 4 of them had past history of manic and/or depressive episodes. Prior history of psychotic episodes were present in 2 patients with schizophrenia as well. 4 patients with brief psychotic disorders and 2 with bipolar disorders (single manic episode) presented with initial catatonic presentation along with the respective clinical features before admission. Figure 2 shows the BFCRS score for the assessment of severity of catatonia which was administered to all the cases on the 1st day of admission and at the day of discharge. The mean BFCRS score at admission was 20.93 ± 6.16 (range: 11-36). The commonly seen catatonic symptoms which were observed in the study population were mutism, staring, negativism, rigidity, posturing/catalepsy, mannerism, and withdrawal. The other features of catatonia were observed less frequently.

There was a statistically significant difference between the groups in terms of the duration of hospital stay determined by one-way ANOVA as shown in Table 3a [F (2,27) = 3.6, p = 0.04]. Regarding the Lorazepam dosage and the BFCRS score, there was no significant difference among the groups. On further analysis in Table 3b, a post hoc test revealed that the duration of hospital stay was significantly less in mood disorder cases than the psychotic disorders (p=0.22). There was no statistically significant difference between those two groups and the other group. Family history of psychiatric illness was present in 20% of patients with the equal prevalence of affective and psychotic disorders among the family members. The mean duration of catatonic symptoms before clinical examination and consultation at psychiatric hospital was 17.2 days (range: 7–30 days). At the time of discharge, final diagnoses were made as per DSM-5, and 9 (30%) patients were diagnosed with mood disorders (8 with bipolar disorder and 1 with major depressive disorder) and 16 (53.33%) with psychotic disorders (8 with brief psychotic disorders, 2 with schizoaffective disorders, 4 with schizophrenia and 2 with unspecified psychotic disorders), and rest with others as shown in the table 2 given.

---

**Table 1. Socio-Demographic Profile of the Patients**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>&lt;20 Years</th>
<th>21-30 Yrs.</th>
<th>&gt;30 Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religion</td>
<td>Hindu</td>
<td>Muslim</td>
<td>Others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>Others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td>Students</td>
<td>Housewife</td>
<td>Unemployed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Unskilled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Skilled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clinical/Shop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Semi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Professional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Professional</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Socio-economic status</th>
<th>Upper</th>
<th>Middle</th>
<th>Lower</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Final Diagnosis at the Time of Discharge (n=30)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration of hospital stay</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mood disorder</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mood disorder</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12.2 ± 4.2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.04*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13.0 ± 4.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.04*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mood disorder</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8.4 ± 2.4</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.0 ± 4.0</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mood disorder</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>19.3 ± 4.8</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20.0 ± 3.7</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3a. Relationship of Various Psychiatric Diagnoses with Catatonic Symptoms to Duration of Hospital Stay, Lorazepam Dosage and BFCRS Scores (One-Way ANOVA)**

**Multiple Comparisons**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration of hospital stay</th>
<th>Mood disorder</th>
<th>Psychotic disorder</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mood disorder</td>
<td>.042</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>.079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychotic disorder</td>
<td>.022</td>
<td>.003</td>
<td>.079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>.003</td>
<td>.003</td>
<td>.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3b. Relationship of Various Psychiatric Diagnoses with Catatonic Symptoms and Duration of Hospital Stay (Post Hoc).**

---
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It was seen that 83.33% (25, out of 30) of cases responded well to lorazepam only, whereas the rest 13.33% (4 out of 30) of patients required ECT after non-response or partial response to lorazepam and the remaining 1 case after diagnosis with catatonia following organic condition were referred to Medicine Department. The days of hospital stay differed with treatment as the mean was found to be 17.23 ± 9.85 (range: 5-44 days). Based on the final diagnosis as per DSM-5, the patients were grouped into broad diagnostic categories as Mood disorders (bipolar disorder and major depressive disorder), Psychotic disorders (brief psychotic disorders, Schizophreniform disorders, schizophrenia & unspecified psychotic disorders) and Other disorders (organic, substance use and conversion disorders).

The severity of catatonic symptoms as per the BFCRS scores, the maximum dosage of lorazepam received to obtain response and the duration of hospital stay were brief among these groups using one-way ANOVA. It yielded significant difference only in terms of the days of hospital stay. The results are summarized in Table 3a & 3b.

**DISCUSSION**

The study showed catatonia to be occurring commonly in young, having its onset in twenties (24.63 years) which is in accordance with previous studies. It was found to be common in males, patients with high school education, Hindu religion, housewives and semiskilled workers, patients from mostly rural background, which again matches with other studies from India and represented profile of the majority of the patients. Few patients had a family history of psychiatric illness (20%) suggestive of possible genetic etiology that is comparable with other studies. Substance use disorder was found to be less common in our patients, compared to the sample from other studies which might be due to small sample size. This issue requires further in-depth examination with wider population. In the process of diagnosis of catatonia all kind of psychiatric illnesses such as schizophrenia and other psychotic disorders, mood disorders, and organic brain disorders were the final diagnoses which supports the fact that catatonia being an illness of multifactorial aetiology. In our study, psychotic disorders especially brief psychotic disorders were more commonly presented as catatonia as compared to mood disorders. The finding supports few Indian studies done in the similar topic.

The previous studies have indicated the usefulness of lorazepam in the treatment of catatonia for improving the symptoms, more specifically catatonia following another mental disorder. This present study supports the lorazepam challenge notion in the improvement of symptoms of catatonia. Although lorazepam was given to all the patients in the tapering doses, our study findings showed 83% of the patients were lorazepam responders and other 15% of the patients after becoming non-responders or partial responder to lorazepam, were treated effectively with ECT. The apparent higher response to lorazepam in this study might be due to different possibilities. Many studies describing relatively less effectiveness of lorazepam to manage catatonia have applied MECT earlier to attain the response, whereas we delayed the administration of MECT as long as the BFCRS scores were improving along with the patient's functionality. This approach was previously seen with similar results in few earlier studies as well. Secondly, there is no definite protocol to be used for the dose standardization of lorazepam in the treatment of catatonia till now, which might lead to different response to lorazepam in different studies.

Also, the genetic variation of the population across the countries might have contributed in the difference in treatment response as well. Further research is needed to validate the claim though. We have assessed whether the severity of catatonia and the dosage and duration of lorazepam to get response has anything to predict from the background psychiatric diagnoses. Regarding background diagnoses, we had mood disorders, psychotic disorders and other categories. In our study there was no significant differences between them in terms of symptom severity or lorazepam dosage. Although it was seen the duration of hospital stay was significantly different in those groups (table 3a & 3b). This might be due the cause that the psychotic patients, as responding to slow incremental doses of lorazepam as shown in previous studies as well, took longer time of hospital stay. The findings shed light to the importance of further research in this area in India regarding the difference in severity and treatment response in bipolar and psychosis induced catatonic syndrome.

**CONCLUSIONS**

This study has come out with very important insights in the clinical profile, diagnostic break ups and treatment response with lorazepam and ECT in catatonic patients following mental disorder. Catatonia is more commonly associated with psychotic disorders than mood disorders in this part of the world. Smaller sample size, shorter duration of study and lack of prospective approach remain as the limitations of the present study. Also, we made the background diagnoses at the time of discharge, the most of which were brief psychotic disorders. Whether their presentation change over the course of time is another area open for further research. Different background diagnoses may dictate the difference in the duration of hospital stay as found in this study.
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