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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND
The prevalence of diabetes is increasing in India. Patients with diabetes mellitus may have many serious sequelae. Among them, foot ulcers are most common and may lead to severe complications. The present study was undertaken to determine association between HbA1c levels in relation with diabetic foot infections, bacteriological profile and antibiotic susceptibility pattern.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
The descriptive study was carried out in the Department of Microbiology, CMC from March 2009 to Sep 2010 to look for the pattern of growth of aerobic organisms in diabetic foot ulcers.

RESULTS
Of the 100 DFI cases studied, most of the patients belonged to the 5th and 6th decades of life, (37%) and (28%) respectively. Males were more affected compared to females with a ratio of 2.3: 1. Maximum number of patients were seen in Wagner’s Grade II (40 nos.) followed by Wagner’s Grade III (38 nos.). Maximum number of diabetic foot ulcer cases (45) were recorded with HbA1c levels of > 10. The total distribution of monomicrobial and polymicrobial growth was 62 and 28 respectively with the maximum number of growth recorded in HbA1c levels of more than 10. MRSA constitutes 50% in HbA1c levels of < 8 and 8 to 10 and 55% in HbA1c levels of > 10.

CONCLUSION
The incidence of diabetic foot lesions strongly correlates with poor glycaemic control, which in itself is best manifested by the levels of glycosylated haemoglobin levels. There was significant association between DFI and higher HbA1c levels.
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BACKGROUND
Diabetes is one of the oldest metabolic disorders known to mankind. Globally, the prevalence of diabetes is expected to rise from a current estimate of 150 - 220 million in 2010 to 300 million in 2025.1 The number of people with diabetes is increasing due to population growth, ageing, urbanisation, increasing prevalence of obesity and physical inactivity. Quantifying the prevalence of diabetes now and the number of people to be affected in future is important to allow rational planning and allocation of resources.

Patients with diabetes mellitus may have many serious sequelae. Among them, foot ulcers are most common and may lead to severe complications.2 Longstanding diabetes often results in peripheral sensory and motor neuropathy, along with foot deformities.3 Peripheral vascular disease and peripheral diabetic neuropathy increase the risk of diabetic foot ulcers leading to infections and amputations.4 The longer nerves are more vulnerable, hence peripheral foot neuropathy is commonly seen in the foot.

These, combined with poorly understood perturbations in host defense mechanisms and wound healing responses set the stage for diabetic foot ulcers leading to foot infections.5 Although, most of the infections remain superficial, ~25% will spread continguously from skin to deeper subcutaneous tissues and bone.4,6

The rate of infection parallels blood glucose levels. Blood glucose binds to haemoglobin in red blood cells to form glycosylated haemoglobin (HbA1c). HbA1c levels depend on blood glucose concentrations. HbA1c can be used as a time average index of the blood glucose concentration to which haemoglobin has to be exposed reflecting the glycaemic history in the previous two to three months. Glycaemic control is the prime factor in controlling the development of diabetic complications. Poor glycaemic control in diabetes has serious complications. Each 2% increase in the level of HbA1c increases the risk of lower extremity ulcer by 1.6 times and the risk of lower extremity amputation by 1.5 times.7

Infections in diabetic patients are mostly polymicrobial in nature.8 In acute superficial infections of foot ulcer, aerobic gram-positive bacteria such as Staphylococcus aureus and Beta-Haemolytic Streptococci predominate.9,10 In deeply infected chronic ulcers a mixture of aerobic gram positive, aerobic gram negative and anaerobic organism are seen.11

Staphylococcus aureus was the most common pathogen among the gram-positive bacteria isolated among the Diabetic foot ulcers. The pathogenesis of staphyloccocal infections is multifactorial. Infection by Staphylococci usually results from a combination of bacterial virulence factors and
diminution in host defense. Wound infection can occur following an operative incision, acute traumatic laceration or chronic pressure induced ulcer, during which bacteria indigenous to the patient or exogenous to the wound overwhelm the systemic and local factors of host resistance. The gram negative comprise mainly of Enterobacteriaceae family such as Escherichia coli, Klebsiella spp., Proteus spp. etc. Non-fermenters such as Pseudomonas spp. and Acinetobacter spp. have been isolated as well.

The glycosylated Hb test is an important blood test to diagnose DM/ determine control of DM. There is almost a direct relationship of foot lesions with increasing Glycosylated Haemoglobin.

The study sought to analyse the influence of patient variables on diabetic foot ulcers. In this study, we have made an attempt to correlate HbA1c levels with the bacteriological profile of diabetic foot infections and the antimicrobial susceptibility pattern.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The descriptive study was conducted in the Department of Microbiology at Coimbatore Medical College Hospital among diabetic patients with foot ulcer attending the Surgery Outpatient Department. Data collection was done over a period of 1½ years from March 2009 to Sep 2010. Pus and wound swabs were collected from around 100 patients with age above 20 years with Type I and Type II Diabetes mellitus shaving Diabetic Foot Ulcer. Patients on antibiotic treatment and with non-diabetic ulcers were excluded from the study. The samples received in the Department of Microbiology were processed for aerobic culture and antibiotic sensitivity testing during the study period. Blood samples were collected to analyse the HbA1c levels.

Patients were evaluated, and the data was collected with the help of questionnaire, which comprised of relevant clinical history, clinical examination and laboratory investigations. Clinical examinations involved evaluating the site, nature and extent of the wound. The ulcer type was evaluated using Wagner’s classification of diabetic foot ulcers. This classification includes 6 stages of severity.

Grade 0: No obvious ulcer but thick callus, prominent metatarsal head, daw toes or any bony abnormality.

Grade 1: Superficial ulcer, not clinically infected.

Grade 2: Deeper ulcer, often infected, but no bone involvement.

Grade 3: Deep ulcer, abscess formation and bone involvement.

Grade 4: Localised gangrene.

Grade 5: Gangrene of whole foot.

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics calculated for background variables. To test the association between Diabetic foot ulcer and HbA1c levels and Chi-square test was done. P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

The present study was carried out among 100 diabetic foot ulcer patients attending Department of Microbiology, CMC to look for the pattern of growth of aerobic organisms and their antibacterial susceptibility pattern. The following Tables and Figures illustrate the results in detail.

Of the 100 cases studied, most of the patients belonged to the 5th and 6th decades of life (37%) and (28%) respectively. Males were more affected compared to females with a ratio of 2.3:1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of microorganisms per sample</th>
<th>Grade I</th>
<th>Grade II</th>
<th>Grade III</th>
<th>Grade IV</th>
<th>Grade V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average Number of microorganisms per sample

Average no. of aerobes per sample was found to be maximum in Grade 4 ulcers (2.18). The average number of microorganism/sample is decreasing as the Wagner’s grade decreases except for Grade V, which showed average of 1.66 organisms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HbA1c Levels</th>
<th>No. of Patients with Diabetic Foot Ulcers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 to 7</td>
<td>12 (12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 to 8</td>
<td>12 (12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 to 9</td>
<td>15 (13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 to 10</td>
<td>18 (18%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 10</td>
<td>45 (45%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total 100

Table 3. Correlation between HbA1c Levels and Diabetic Foot Ulcers

Maximum no. of Diabetic foot ulcer patients had HbA1c levels more than 10. The number of patients having HbA1c levels above 8 was 76%.
Table 4. Association between HbA1c Levels and Wagner's grades

Maximum no. of cases (45) were recorded with HbA1c levels of > 10. Higher grade ulcerers were less in patients having HbA1c below 8. This difference was not found to be statistically significant.

The total distribution of monomicrobial and polymicrobial growth was 62 and 28 respectively with the maximum number of growth recorded in HbA1c levels of more than 10. This difference was not found to be statistically significant.

Table 6 reveals the association between HbA1c levels and MRSA. Of the 23 Staphylococcal isolates, MRSA constitutes 50% in HbA1c levels of< 8 and 8 to 10 and 55% in HbA1c levels of > 10. This difference was not found to be statistically significant.

**DISCUSSION**

Worldwide, Diabetic foot lesions are causing major medical, social and economic problems and the leading cause of hospitalisation for patients with diabetes. Because of serious or recurrent infections and impaired healing processes, initial trivial lesion may progress to chronic non-healing wounds, gangrene or untreatable infections that can lead to limb amputation. Many Diabetic foot ulcers are neglected, because they may produce few symptoms and their importance is not appreciated by the patients. Patients who develop foot lesions have significantly less knowledge of diabetes including foot care.

Hundred pus and wound samples were collected from patients above 20 years of age with known history of Diabetes mellitus, most of the patients belonged to the 5th and 6th decades of life, (37%) and (28%) respectively. This coincides with the studies listed below by other authors. The mean age of the patients was 59.5 years in Kahn et al study, 58 years in Ramani et al study, 58 years in Dipali AC et al study. In contrast, the mean age was reported as 75.02 years in NA Pathare et al study and 43 years in study conducted by C Anandi et al from Tamilnadu, India.

In our study, the males were more affected compared to females with a ratio of 2.3: 1. This was in concordance with the following studies, D Vijay et al in 2000 observed a preponderance of male patients showing diabetic foot ulcers (72.5%) compared to female patients (27.5%). The ratio of male-to-female was 2.6: 1. In a study by Dipali AC et al in 2002 67% of male patients with diabetic foot ulcers were reported against 32.4% of female patients with a ratio of 2: 1.1. Prevalence of 58.5% of male patients and 41.2% of female patients with a ratio of 1:4.1:1 was noted in a study by Fiaz Ur Rehman et al in 2002. Anandi et al 2004 observed a difference of 65.4% and 54.6% among male and female patients with a ratio of 1.2: 1. All the above authors have observed a preponderance of males in their study.

Diabetes is a disease of aging and the ulcers belong to Grade II of Wagner’s classification followed by Grade III. The above data correlates with the results published by V Vijay et al showing 50% Grade II ulcers followed by 26.5% Grade III Ulcers. Out of the hundred samples, 90 yielded aerobic bacterial growth and 10 samples did not yield any growth in our study. In a study by Mohanty et al in 2002, out of 5,039 pus samples, 2437 (48.36%) were culture positive while 1831 (33.33%) was culture negative.

In our study, only aerobic growth of organisms were analysed. Higher grade of diabetic foot ulcers have been known to be associated with mixed flora comprising of both aerobes and anaerobes. When antimicrobial therapy is indicated for treatment of diabetic foot ulcers, the likelihood of complex aerobic and anaerobic flora should be considered, and appropriate antimicrobial agents selected. As per our study, maximum no. of patients (45) with DFI had HbA1c levels more than 10. The number of patients with having HbA1c above 8 are 76%. In a study by Fahid Rouhipour, 62.9% of patients had poor diabetes control (HbA1c of 8% or higher) and in a study by MB Giris et al the mean glycated haemoglobin was 7.80 ± 0.80. The patients who underwent amputation presented a significantly higher incidence of ischaemic diabetic foot with HbA1c > 7. As per Wheat et al study, the majority of patients with diabetic foot ulcers had bad diabetic control status (HbA1c > 8.5).

Among 183 diabetic individuals treated at the Johns Hopkins Wound Centre, mean HbA1c was 8.0. In Strohva L et al study, in 2006 significant number (65%) of infected ulceration on feet was reported in poorly controlled diabetic patients with HbA1c above 8. Infection and osteomyelitis together remain as significant risk factor for amputation. In this study, HbA1c appears to be a significant predictor for amputation.

But in Nighat Akbar et al’s study, though the mean value of glycosylated haemoglobin (Hb) was 8.2 (6 - 16.6), 75% of patients showed an HbA1c level < 8.0; in 25% cases it was >8.0. All the patients who had an HbA1c level > 10% manifested with various types of foot lesions.

In our study, maximum number of cases (45) were recorded with HbA1c levels of >10 and most number of cases were found in Grade II and Grade III. The total distribution of
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