STUDY OF OPEN URETEROLITHOTOMY VERSUS ENDOSCOPIC URETEROLITHOTOMY FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF URETERIC CALCULI
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND
Ureteric stones are most commonly treated nowadays by endoscopic methods. Open surgery has limited role in the treatment of ureteric calculi.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
This study was done to compare open ureterolithotomy and rigid ureteroscopy for the treatment of ureteric calculi. In this study, 30 patients were divided into two groups of 15 each. Study was done to compare open ureterolithotomy and rigid ureteroscopy for the parameters like per operative complications, post-operative complications, delayed complications, ambulation, post-surgery hospital stay and duration of surgery.

RESULTS
Rigid ureteroscopy was superior to open ureterolithotomy for parameters like ambulation, post-surgery hospital stay, post-operative pain complications and duration of surgery.

CONCLUSION
Rigid ureteroscopy is superior to open ureterolithotomy.
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BACKGROUND
Urinary stone disease is the third most common affliction of the urinary tract, exceeded only by urinary tract infections and pathologic conditions of the prostate (BPH and prostate cancer). The life time recurrence rate is approximately 50%. Men are affected 2 to 3 times more frequently than women.1-3 Incidence of urinary stone disease is relatively uncommon before the age of 20 but peaks in the fourth to sixth decades of life. 4

Aim of The Study
Aim of this study is to compare the open ureterolithotomy with endoscopic ureterolithotomy.

The comparison shall be done as per following parameters-
- Duration of surgery (in minutes),
- Pre-operative complications,
- Post-operative complications,
- Ambulation,
- Total hospital stay after operation (in days),
- Delayed complications.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
The non-randomized control trial study of Thirty adult patients of both sexes admitted in surgical department of Guru Nanak Dev Hospital/Govt. Medical College, Amritsar (Punjab) between 1/1/2013 to 30/6/2014 were included in the study (time frame).

These patients received two modalities of surgical treatment (Open Ureterolithotomy versus Endoscopic Ureterolithotomy, 15 patients in each), which were allocated into two modalities (taking into account the feasibility, every alternate case was allotted each modality of treatment) and outcome of which were compared in terms of duration of surgery (in minutes), pre-operative complications, post-operative complications, ambulation, total hospital stay after operation (in days) and delayed complications.
Inclusion Criteria
Thirty adult (More than 14 years) patients from both sexes admitted in surgical department who gave consent to participate.

Exclusion Criteria
Any patient of endoscopic converted to open ureterolithotomy due to any intraoperative complication was excluded from the study.

Instruments Used
The instruments used were rigid ureteroscopy set 8/9.8 Fr, 12-degree, double working channel, cystoscope 19.5 French, telescope 30-degree, pneumatic lithotripsy set, standard laparoscopic unit.

Patients were followed after surgery for post-operative complications and were followed for 3 months for any delayed complication. The results were expressed as percentages, frequencies and Chi-square test & Fisher’s test was used to find the significance of difference between the two groups at 95% confidence interval.

RESULTS

![Figure 1. Age Incidence Distribution](image)

![Figure 2. Distribution of Patients According to Side of Ureteral Calculi](image)
It was found that maximum patients in Group 1 i.e. 54% were ambulatory in 49-60 hours after surgery, 33% patients were ambulatory in 37-48 hours and 13% were ambulatory in 25-36 hours after surgery whereas in Group 2, 2 patients were excluded from the study as they were converted to open ureterolithotomy and the remaining 13 patients i.e. 100% were ambulatory in 13-24 hours after surgery.
In Group 1, 60% of patients had their surgery completed in 61-90 minutes and 40% had their surgery completed in 91-120 minutes whereas in Group 2, 12 patients out of remaining 13 patients i.e., 93% patients had surgery completed in 31-60 minutes and 7% patients had surgery completed in 61-90 minutes.

60% of patients of group a has hospital stay between 7 to 8 days after surgery, 27% 9-10 days after surgery and 13% between 5-6 days after surgery whereas in Group 2, 13 patients i.e. 92% patient had hospital stay between 1-2 days after surgery and 8% had hospital stay between 3-4 days after surgery.

Figure 5. Distribution of Patients According to Duration of Surgery

Figure 6. Distribution of Patients According to Duration of Hospital Stay After Surgery
As p-value is <0.001 duration of hospital stay after surgery was not comparable in both the groups and the difference was highly significant with patients in group 2 having shorter hospital stay after surgery.

**Figure 7. Distribution of Patients According to Per-Operative Complications**

Group 1 had 1 patient with per operative complication out of total 15 patients whereas Group 2 had total 2 patients with per operative complications as the patients with bleeding and mucosal injury were converted to open ureterolithotomy out of total 15 patients. As p-value>0.05 two Groups were comparable in per operative complications with no significant difference.

Gross hematuria was present as a post-operative complication in 1 out of 13 patients in the study in Group 2 and absent in Group 1. As p-value was >0.05 (0.274), gross hematuria was comparable in two groups. Wound infection was present in 1 patient in group 1. Wound infection was not comparable as it is not a complication of URS because there is no wound in URS.

**Figure 8. Distribution of Patients According to Post Operative Complications**
Figure 9. Distribution of Patients According To Delayed Complications in Two Groups

Ureteral stricture was absent in both the groups. UTI was a delayed complication in 1 patient in Group 2 out of 13 patients with 2 patients excluded from the study due to conversion to open surgery.

None of the patient out of 15 patients in Group 1 had delayed complication whereas 1 patient out of 13 total patients in Group 2 had delayed complication. As p-value was >0.05 (1) two groups were comparable in delayed complications with no significant difference.

Figure 10. Distribution of Patients According to Post-Operative Pain (no. Of Post-Operative Analgesic Injection Required)

In group 1, 54% patients required 7-8 analgesic injections post operatively, 33% patients required 9-10 analgesic injections and 13% patients required 5-6 analgesic injections post operatively whereas in Group 2, 13 patients i.e. 70% required 1-2 analgesic injections post operatively and 30% patients required 3-4 analgesic injections.

As p-value was <0.001, difference in post-operative pain was highly significant in both the groups with Group 2 patients requiring fewer analgesic injections post operatively.
Our study showed that in Group 1, 35% stones were located in upper third of ureter, 20% stones were located in middle third and 45% stones were located in lower third of ureter whereas in Group 2, 27% stones were located in upper third of ureter, 33% stones were located in middle third and 40% stones were located in lower third of ureter. As p-value is >0.05, stone location is comparable in both groups.
DISCUSSION
The first ureteroscopy was performed in 1912 by Hugh Hampton Young in a patient with posterior urethral valves when a rigid cystoscope was advanced into the dilated ureter (Young and McKay, 1929). In 1960s a rudimentary ureteroscope was placed into a ureter through a ureterolithotomy during open surgery (Marshall, 1964). Initially, all ureteroscopes were rigid in design and consisted of a rod-lens system with outer diameters ranging from 12 to 13.5 Fr. These endoscopes required routine dilation of the ureter in order to gain access as well as an indwelling stent postoperatively. By the mid-1980s, improvements in design and engineering had resulted in miniaturization of ureteroscopes and a corresponding decrease in trauma associated with the procedure. Rigid endoscopes were reduced to 8.5 Fr in diameter and contained a working channel.

Georgescu et al (2017) conducted a study in which 8150 semirigid ureteroscopic procedures for ureteral lithiasis were performed in 7456 patients. Intraoperative incidents occurred in 348 cases. The overall rate of intraoperative complications was (228 cases). These were represented by lesions of the ureteral mucosa (139 cases), perforation (58 cases), bleeding (16 cases), ureteral avulsion (3 cases) and extra-ureteral stone migration (12 cases). In our study, intraoperative complications were encountered in (2 cases). In one case bleeding was the complication seen, and other one had mucosal injury. Both of these cases were converted to open ureterolithotomy. None of the cases were complicated by perforation of ureter, avulsion of ureter, or by stone retropulsion. Analysis of literature revealed a stone free rate of 81% for URS treatment of proximal ureteric stones. In a study conducted by Dhinakar L, the success rates for stone clearance of lower ureteric stones was over 90%. The success rate of stone clearance dropped to around 70% when ureteroscopy was done for proximal stones. In our study out of 4 stones located in upper ureter only 1 stone was not removed by rigid ureteroscopy and converted to open ureterolithotomy. There was 75% success rate for stones in upper third of ureter. In the middle ureter, in 1 case the stone was not removed out of 5 cases in middle ureter. There was 80% success rate for rigid ureteroscopy for stones located in middle ureter. In the lower third of ureter there was 100% success rate for stone removal by rigid ureteroscopy. There was overall 86.67% success rate for ureteral calculi located in any location in ureter for rigid ureteroscopy whereas it was 100% for open ureterolithotomy.

A paper by Ather et al (2010) assessing the outcome, 195 patients with primary ureteric stones reported that the endoscopic method had a higher complication rate of 32% compared to the open method, which had a rate of 13%. In our study the rigid ureteroscopy had complication rate of 26.67% as compared to open method, which had a rate of 13.33%. As p-value>0.05, the difference in total complication rate was not significant between two groups.

Saeed (2011) conducted a study comparing ureteroscopy using pneumatic lithotripsy with open surgery (ureterolithotomy) in treating ureteric stones regarding the success rate, procedure time, need for post-operative analgesia, duration of hospital stay, and complications rate. Fifty patients were treated by ureteroscopy and 40 patients by ureterolithotomy. Their age and sex distribution was comparable. Results of both treatment modalities were analysed and compared. The success rate for ureteroscopy was 90% and for ureterolithotomy was 97.5%. The procedure time was significantly shorter for ureteroscopy patients (42 minute versus 74 minute). Mean post-operative analgesia was much less for ureteroscopy (1.1 versus 9.5 analgesic injections). Ninety two were discharged at same day of operation with mean hospital stay of 1.12 days compared to 3.5 days for ureterolithotomy group. Complications were reported in 16% in ureteroscopy and 8% in ureterolithotomy.

In our study there was success rate of 86.67% for ureteroscopy and for ureterolithotomy it was 100%. The procedure time was significantly shorter for ureteroscopy (53 minute versus 89 mints). Mean post-operative analgesia was much less for ureteroscopy (3 versus 7.7 analgesic injections). p-value<0.001. Mean hospital stay was much less for ureteroscopy (2.2 versus 7.7 days). Total complications reported were 26.67% in ureteroscopy and 13.33% in open ureterolithotomy. In our study the mean ambulation of the patients was 47.8 hours after open ureterolithotomy and 21.87 hours after rigid ureteroscopy for ureteric calculi as the patients in both the groups were operated under spinal anaesthesia. It showed ambulation was much earlier in patients with rigid ureteroscopy.

In our study patients were followed for 3 months after rigid ureteroscopy, 7.69% (1 case) had UTI as delayed complication.

Limitation
The sample size in each treatment modality (15 in each group) was less because of the time constraint and availability of patients.

CONCLUSION
Endoscopic treatment with rigid ureteroscope was found safe to use and effective in management of ureteral calculi at all the locations of stone in ureter with no significant difference in stone removal rate for lower and middle ureteral calculi than open ureterolithotomy. Endoscopic treatment with rigid ureteroscope differs significantly in stone removal rate for upper ureteral calculi than open ureterolithotomy with open ureterolithotomy being better for upper ureteral calculi.

Endoscopic treatment with rigid ureteroscope was found to be effective in reducing post-surgery hospital stay, post-operative pain complications, patients were ambulatory much earlier as compared to patients who underwent open ureterolithotomy for ureteral calculi.

Patients treated with rigid ureteroscope for ureteral calculi were found to have shorter operating time as compared to open ureterolithotomy. But no significant difference in total complication rate was seen as compared to open ureterolithotomy.

Endoscopic treatment with rigid ureteroscope is comparable to open ureterolithotomy with no statistical difference with respect to per operative, post-operative and delayed complication rate for the treatment of ureteric calculi. This study appears to show more favourable results with endoscopic treatment with rigid ureteroscope than for open ureterolithotomy.
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