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ABSTRACT: PURPOSE: To compare the results of intra-operative Mitomycin C application in DCR surgery compared to external DCR in terms of patency at the end of 6 months. METHODS: The study was conducted in the Department of Ophthalmology, KR Hospital, Mysore between January 2011 to July 2013. 60 patients who were diagnosed as chronic dacryocystitis with the obstruction at the level of the NLD were divided into two groups on the basis of simple random sampling. Thirty cases underwent DCR without Mitomycin C and thirty cases underwent DCR with intra-operative MMC application. RESULTS: The study results were tabulated in terms of symptoms (tearing), tear meniscus height and lacrimal syringing patency at the end of 6 months post-operatively. CONCLUSION: Distinctly higher success rates were achieved in patients undergoing DCR with intra-operative MMC as compared to those undergoing external DCR. KEYWORDS: External DCR, Mitomycin C.

INTRODUCTION: Blockage of nasolacrimal duct (NLD) commonly presents with persistent bothersome epiphora and many a times discharge from the affected eyes. It may be congenital or acquired. The acquired variety presents more commonly in fifth to seventh decade of life, affecting women three times more than men. Chronic tear stasis and secondary infection occurring in this condition can lead to acute exacerbations of dacryocystitis, mucocele, chronic conjunctivitis, corneal ulcer, orbital cellulitis in untreated cases and panophthalmitis in cases having undergone intraocular surgeries. Medical treatment consists of topical antibiotic administration and massage over lacrimal sac area which is helpful for most cases of congenital NLD obstruction only in first six months of life. But definitive treatment for chronic NLD obstruction in adults is Dacryocystorhinostomy.

Addeo Toti first described the technique of external Dacryocystorhinostomy (DCR) in 1904. This operation consisted of approaching the lacrimal sac from a skin incision and creating an anastomosis between the lacrimal sac and nasal cavity through a bony ostium. Success rate of external DCR has been reported at between 80-90% depending upon the surgeons experience. Failure rate is reported to be 11-28% with average being 9.4% which necessitates improving the existing technique.

Failure rate in external DCR is attributed to many factors like common canalicular obstruction, scarring within the anastomosis, closure of osteotomy site by membrane, adhesion of synechiae formation between ostium and middle turbinate or deviated nasal septum and malpositioned ostia. The two most frequent causes of DCR failure are common.
canalicular obstruction and closure of osteotomy site. These common causes of failure have been quoted in literature as end effects of fibrous tissue growth, scarring and granulation tissue formation which sometimes forms an occluding membrane obstructing the new drainage channel. Thus, if we inhibit fibrous tissue growth and scarring by applying anti-proliferative agents over the anastomosed flaps and osteotomy site, failure rate might be decreased.

A comparative study of external DCR and DCR with intra-operative MMC application will clearly establish the efficacy of Mitomycin C in improving the success rate in DCR. Hence, this prospective randomized study was undertaken to evaluate the role of Mitomycin C in maintaining post-operative patency of nasolacrimal passage of DCR.

AIMS & OBJECTIVES: To compare the results of intraoperative Mitomycin C application in DCR surgery compared to conventional DCR without Mitomycin C application in terms of patency at the end of 6 months.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: All patients who presented with features chronic dacryocystitis were evaluated. Below mentioned inclusion criteria were applied and a total of 60 patients were selected.

Inclusion Criteria: All cases presenting with features of chronic dacryocystitis with NLD block.

Exclusion Criteria:
1. Obstruction prior to lacrimal sac level
2. Chronic granulomatous conditions of lacrimal sac like tuberculosis, leprosy etc
3. Malignancy of lacrimal sac
4. Long standing chronic dacryocystitis with atrophic sacs
5. Entropion/entropion/lower lid laxity
6. post traumatic bone deformity in nasolacrimal region (eg Le Fort Fracture II)
7. Nasal conditions like grossly deviated nasal septum, atrophic rhinitis, rhinitis sicca or nasal polyps.

This prospective randomized comparative study was conducted at the Department of Ophthalmology, KR Hospital, Mysore between January 2011 to July 2013. This study included 60 patients who were diagnosed as chronic dacryocystitis with the obstruction at the level of the NLD. The cases were divided into two groups on the basis of simple random sampling. Group A consisted of twenty cases who underwent DCR without Mitomycin C and group B consisted of twenty cases of DCR with intraoperative MMC application.

Chi-square test was the statistical test used to compare the results of subjective symptoms and objective test findings got at the end of six months after DCR surgeries for both groups.

PATIENT EVALUATION:
1. Patients selected were subjected to a complete examination according to a predetermined protocol.
2. Detailed ocular and systemic history taken. A thorough ocular examination with particular reference to the lacrimal apparatus was done in all patients. A detailed ENT evaluation was done by ENT surgeon with special emphasis to rule out significant deviation of nasal septum of hypertrophied turbinate, nasal polyps, rhinitis sicca or atrophic rhinitis.
3. A preoperative assessment of tear meniscus height was done using slit lamp after staining the tear film with 2% Fluorescein, ROPLAS (Regurgitation on pressure over lacrimal sac area) and sac syringing was performed with normal saline and results noted.

4. Routine blood investigations like Hb, Bleeding time, Clotting time, urine for albumin and sugar were done.

5. All patients received a course of oral antibiotics for five days beginning a day prior to surgery.

**TECHNIQUES OF DACRYOCYSTORHINOSTOMY:** All patients underwent external dacryocystorhinostomy under local anaesthesia. Lacrimal and periorbital area were painted with betadine and parts draped. After anaesthetizing nasal mucosa by topical 4% xylocaine, packing of the ipsilateral nasal cavity was done with roller gauze soaked in 5 cc of 4% xylocaine with adrenaline 1:200,000. 5 ml of lignocaine 2% with adrenaline 1:200,000 was infiltrated around lacrimal sac for anaesthesia and haemostasis.

A curvilinear incision of 10-15 mm length was made along the anterior lacrimal crest starting 3 mm above the level of medial palpebral ligament and 3 mm medial to medial canthus.

The orbicular muscle fibres were separated with artery forceps and with blunt dissector. Cat’s paw retractors were used to retract the edges of incision. Angular vessels were also retracted along the edge of the incision to prevent bleeding. Bony attachment of the medial canthal ligament was divided. Lacrimal fascia was incised 1 mm lateral to the anterior lacrimal crest. With a blunt dissector the sac was separated from the lacrimal fossa.

The periosteum overlying and medial to the anterior lacrimal crest was exposed and elevated with the help of Traquair’s periosteal elevator. Lamina papyracea, the parchment like bone of the posterior half of the lacrimal fossa was fractured with the smaller end of the blunt dissector. With the help of Traquair’s periosteal elevator, the nasal mucosa was stripped from lacrimal bone to avoid damage to the nasal mucosa.

An osteotomy of approximately 12.5 x10 mm was created with successive punching of bone by Cittelli’s punch. Oozing of blood was controlled by packing with ribbon gauze moistened with topical Botroclot.

The upper punctum was dilated with Nettleship’s punctum dilator, Bowman’s probe was passed through the upper canaliculus to confirm the position of the common canaliculus and related part if the medial sac wall.

With the No.11 Bard-Parker blade and Bowman’s probe in place, lacrimal sac and nasal mucosa were opened in a ‘H’ fashion to form a large anterior and smaller posterior flap. The Bowman’s probe was removed.

In the Mitomycin C group(group B), a piece of Merocel sponge soaked in 0.2 mg/ml of Mitomycin C was applied over the osteotomy margins, undersurface of anterior flaps and common canalicular opening into the sac. The sponge was kept in the osteotomy site under the flaps for 5 mins. Two anterior flaps of nasal mucosa and lacrimal sac were sutured using 6-0 vicryl interrupted sutures. The sponge was removed and normal saline was used to irrigate the osteotomy site through the lower punctum.
The two ends of MPL and the incised orbicularis muscle were closed using 6-0 vicryl with interrupted sutures. The skin incision was closed by with running subcuticular suture using 6-0 vicryl.

Antibiotic ointment was instilled into the eye, the wound dressing with betadine soaked gauze and a pressure bandage applied. Procedures like silicon intubation were not done in this series.

**POST OPERATIVE MANAGEMENT:** All patients were given systemic antibiotics and analgesics for 5 days. Antibiotic eye drops were advised to be instilled 6 times/day for 7 days. The nasal pack was removed after 24 hours, the first dressing was done at 24 hrs. Incision area inspected for any gaping, wound infection or discharge. Lacrimal syringing was done on 1st post op day. Patients were discharged on 2nd postop day and were asked to come for follow-up regularly. Sutures were removed on the 7th postop day.

All the patients were followed-up at 1st week, 1st month, 3rd month and 6th month. At every follow up, patients were asked about watering from the eye and presence or absence of discharge. Symptoms of patients were documented at each follow-up and classified as symptom-free (no tearing), improvement and no improvement. Patency of lacrimal passage was tested by objective tests like height of tear meniscus and lacrimal syringing and the results were documented. The result of syringing was documented as patent when the patient was asymptomatic post op and was able to perceive saline in his throat after the syringing procedure without any regurgitation from the puncta (same or opposite). The result was documented as partially patent when the patient was symptomatic post op (tearing) but was able to perceive saline in his throat after the syringing procedure with some regurgitation from the puncta while the result was said to be nonpatent when the patient was symptomatic and unable to perceive the presence of fluid in his throat following lacrimal sac syringing. Incision area was inspected for healthy healing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1 SUBJECTIVE SYMPTOMS</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tearing condition</td>
<td>Symptom free (no tearing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No improvement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2 OBJECTIVE SYMPTOMS</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Height of tear meniscus</td>
<td>Normal (&lt;1mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderated (1mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High (&gt;1mm)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Irrigation</th>
<th>Patent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Partially patent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-patent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Evaluation of the results of DCR surgery

Failure of DCR surgery is defined as having symptoms of excessive tearing with or without associated discharge, non-patency on lacrimal sac syringing and high tear meniscus.

Success of DCR surgery is defined as having no tearing, patency on lacrimal sac syringing and normal tear meniscus.
Improvement after DCR surgery is defined as patients having improvement in watering, partial patency on lacrimal sac syringing and moderate tear meniscus.

In some patients who complained of watering and non-patency on sac syringing, osteotomy site was examined by anterior rhinoscopy. The cause for failure was identified in these patients and they were accordingly treated by appropriate medical or surgical management.

RESULTS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age in years</th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-30</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-60</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age</td>
<td>31.4 yrs</td>
<td>31.1 yrs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Demographic data of the study (n=40)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>26.67</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>73.33</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Gender distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptoms, Tear meniscus height &amp; Patency</th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Successful</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>86.67</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvement</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Improvement</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Post operative condition at 1 week

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptoms, Tear meniscus height &amp; Patency</th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Successful</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>83.33</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvement</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Improvement</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Post operative condition at 1 month

(p value=0.339)

(p value=0.192)
Success was achieved in 22 patients (73.33%) of group A at the end of six months against 29 patients (96.67%) in Group B. Near significant difference exists between these two groups (p value =0.036)

Comparing the results we obtained to the study by Shu L Liao & others\(^6\) their conventional group showed 70.5% success against 95.5% success in MMC group. Our study results in the conventional groups are better in the conventional group (75%) while there was a lower success rate in the MMC group (90%) as compared to their study.

The studies done by Kao CS et al\(^7\) and B.J. Goswami & others\(^7\) both showed higher rates of success in both groups as compared to our study which could be attributed to the smaller sample size of our study. Another limitation could have been the shorter follow up period of six months.
only, a longer follow up could have been desirable for the evaluation of long-term efficacy of MMC. Another factor for high success rate was repeat DCR surgery and MMC application which was done in the other studies but not in our study.

CONCLUSION: Utilizing the anti-fibroblastic activity of Mitomycin C in preventing scarring at the osteotomy site in External DCR can go a long way in preventing reblockage and in maintaining the post-operative patency of passages. Distinctly higher success rates have been achieved in patients undergoing DCR with intra-operative MMC as compared to patients undergoing Conventional DCR. Hence, the use of intra-operative Mitomycin C can be considered safe and simple but very effective modification of conventional external Dacryocystorhinostomy.
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