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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND
Acute angle closure glaucoma is a potentially preventable condition and hence early identification and treatment of susceptible patients is of utmost importance. Screening, thus is essential so that timely management can prevent irreversible visual loss. Ultrasound biomicroscopy (UBM) is an imaging modality that has near light microscopic precision for examination of anterior segment.

The aim of this study is to study the angle morphology before and after laser peripheral iridotomy in patients with primary angle closure and primary angle closure glaucoma.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
This prospective observational study done among 50 patients who fulfilled the inclusion exclusion criteria and consented for the study were adequately evaluated with a complete history, assessment of anterior segment which includes slit lamp examination, gonioscopy by Goldman 3 mirror goniolens, IOP measurement by applanation tonometry, fundus examination using +90D lens and standard automated perimetry. Depending on the clinical findings, the patients were grouped under PAC or PACG. Then Ultrasound biomicroscopic assessment performed by OTI using 35/16 Hz transducer probe was done prior to and 2 weeks after laser peripheral iridotomy.

RESULTS
Among the 50 patients of study group, 24 had PAC and 26 had PACG. Of the studied population, among PAC group patients 6 were less than 50 years, 16 were between 51 - 60 years and only 2 were above 60 years. Among the PACG group patients, one patient was less than 50 years, 14 were between 51 - 60 years and 11 were more than 60 years. Among the PAC group, 50% were males and remaining 50% were females. Among the PACG group, 53.8% were males and remaining 46.2% were females. There was an increase in the values of AOD, ACD, TIA, TCPD and ILA. There was a significant decrease in ILCD.

CONCLUSION
Among the studied population, observation in the angle characteristics showed that there was statistically significant change in the UBM parameters noted in the primary angle closure group; however, in primary angle closure glaucoma no such significant change was noted.
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BACKGROUND
Primary Angle Closure Glaucoma (PACG) is more aggressive and visually debilitating in spite of medical and surgical management.
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Acute angle closure glaucoma is a potentially preventable condition and hence early identification and treatment of susceptible patients is of utmost importance. Screening thus is essential, so that timely management can be done to prevent irreversible visual loss. Traditionally, the patient’s anterior chamber angle is assessed before and after laser peripheral iridotomy using gonioscope. However, gonioscopic examination is associated with interobserver bias and does not estimate the angle accurately.

Ultrasound biomicroscopy (UBM) is an imaging modality that has near light microscopic precision for examination of anterior segment. Laser peripheral iridotomy (LPI) has replaced incisional iridectomy for most part, mainly due to its non-invasiveness and safety. It is indicated for all types of PACG having a component pupillary block and as a
prophylactic procedure for Primary Angle Closure (PAC) patients with potentially occludable angles. LPI will help in treatment of appositional angle closure by negating pupillary block and thereby reducing the intraocular pressure (IOP). The primary aim of performing a peripheral iridotomy is to relieve pupillary block by creating a hole in the peripheral iris and equalising the intraocular pressure on either side of iris, widening the angle recess and flattening the iris. Photo disruptive Q-switched Nd: YAG laser is mostly preferred by many surgeons, since it can penetrate and perforate the iris easily.

**Aim**

To study the angle morphology before and after laser peripheral iridotomy in patients with primary angle closure and primary angle closure glaucoma.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This study was conducted over a period of 8 months in the Department of Ophthalmology, Government Rajaji Hospital, Madurai. A prospective observational study as the clinical diagnosis and procedures used here are the routine procedures followed in our hospital, among patients who fulfilled the inclusion and exclusion criteria and consented for the study. Patients presenting with occludable angles (trabecular meshwork not seen in more than 180 degrees on gonioscopy) with signs of trabecular iris contact such as peripheral anterior synchiae (PAS), raised IOP, lens glaucomflecken, excessive pigmentation on trabecular meshwork, patients presenting with features of PAC or with associated evidence of PACG and patients of age 40-70 years were included in our study. Patients in whom angle closure was associated with other ocular causes (lens induced, post vitreoretinal surgery etc.) and age less than 40 years or more than 70 years were excluded from our study. The sample size 50 was calculated based on the reference of SUP TIA pre- and post-LPI mean (standard deviation) of PACG such as 4.55 (2.45) and 6.12 (3.8) with 90% power and 95% confidence interval using the formula: $N_{\text{min}} = \left\{ \frac{Z_{1-\alpha/2} + Z_{1-\beta}}{\Delta} \right\}^2$ + 1 where $\sigma = \left\{ \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \right\}/2$, $\mu_1$ is pre test mean, $\mu_2$ is post test mean, $\sigma_1$ is SD in pre test, $\sigma_2$ is SD in post test, $\Delta$ is effect size, $\alpha$ is significance level and 1- $\beta$ is power.

All the patients were adequately evaluated with a complete history, assessment of anterior segment which includes slit lamp examination, gonioscopy by Goldman 3 mirror goniolens, IOP measurement by applanation tonometry, fundus examination using +90D lens and standard automated perimetry. Depending on the clinical findings, the patients were grouped under PAC or PACG. Then Ultrasonic biomicroscopic assessment performed by OTI using 35/16 Hz transducer probe was done prior to and 2 weeks after laser peripheral iridotomy to measure central anterior chamber depth (ACD), angle opening distance (AOD), trabecular iris angle (TIA), the length of iris-lens contact (ILC) and the iris-lens angle (ILA). The statistical test Shapiro-Wilk was used to check the normality of each parameter and none of the parameters were normally distributed. Therefore, the non-parametric test called Wilcoxon signed-rank test is used for the comparison of pre- and post-LPI measurements. P value < 0.05 is statistically significant. The data was analysed with SPSS statistical software package (version 16.0 SPSS Inc. Chicago, USA).

**RESULTS**

Among the 50 patients of study group, as per the diagnosis made 24 patients grouped as PAC and 26 as PACG. Of the studied population, among PAC group patients, 6 (25%) were less than 50 years, 16 (66.6%) were between 51- 60 years and only 2 (8.3%) were above 60 years. Among the PACG group patients, 1 (3.8%) patient was less than 50 years, 14 (53.8%) were between 51 - 60 years and 11 (42.3%) were more than 60 years. Among the PAC group 12 (50%) were males and remaining 12 (50%) were females. Among the PACG group, 14 (53.8%) were males and remaining 12 (46.2%) were females.

Among the PAC group, the average ACD increased from 2.19 ± 0.037 mm to 2.32 ± 0.087 mm, AOD increased from an average of 0.105 ± 0.001 to 0.209 ± 0.004 mm, superior TIA increased from an average of 8.252 ± 0.157 to 16.081 ± 0.23 degrees, inferior TIA increased from an average of 9.125 ± 0.044 to 16.118 ± 0.24 degrees, TCPD increased from an average of 0.744 ± 0.001 to 0.819 ± 0.005 mm, IT increased from an average of 0.477 ± 0.006 to 0.487 ± 0.0014 mm, ILCD decreased from an average of 1.21 ± 0.045 to 1.162 ± 0.015 mm and ILA increased from an average of 25.72 ± 0.519 to 27.754 ± 0.56 mm; all with P value of < 0.001 and statistically significant, Table 1.

In the PACG group, the average ACD increased from 1.65 ± 0.107 to 1.79 ± 0.103 mm, AOD increased from an average of 0.063 ± 0.009 to 0.076 ± 0.027 mm. Superior TIA increased from an average of 4.108 ± 0.85 to 6.37 ± 2 degrees, inferior TIA increased from an average of 4.365 ± 0.966 to 6.33 ± 2.01 degrees, TCPD increased from an average of 0.655 ± 0.0181 to 0.68 ± 0.027 mm, IT increased from an average of 0.48 ± 0.009 to 0.462 ± 0.006 mm, ILCD decreased from an average of 1.37 ± 0.033 to 1.209 ± 0.011 mm and ILA increased from an average of 8.36 ± 0.074 to 8.96 ± 0.389 mm; all with a P value of < 0.001 which is statistically significant except for ILA (P value is 0.71, which is > 0.5), Table 2. There was an increase in the values of AOD, ACD, TIA, TCPD and ILA. There was a significant decrease in ILCD. Among the studied PACG patients, there was no significant change in any of the angle parameters following laser peripheral iridotomy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PAC</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Pre-LPI Median (Interquartile Range)</th>
<th>Post-LPI Median (Interquartile Range)</th>
<th>P value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PAC</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2.2 (2.18-2.2)</td>
<td>2.3 (2.3-2.32)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOD 500 (mm)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.106 (0.105-0.107)</td>
<td>0.213 (0.204-0.213)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUP TIA (deg)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8.3 (8.25-8.3)</td>
<td>16.2 (16.1-16.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INF TIA (deg)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9.1 (9.1-9.15)</td>
<td>16.1 (16-16.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCPD (mm)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.744 (0.744-0.745)</td>
<td>0.815 (0.815-0.825)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT (mm)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.479 (0.471-0.483)</td>
<td>0.488 (0.486-0.488)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILCD (mm)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.21 (1.21-1.21)</td>
<td>1.155 (1.15-1.164)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILA (deg)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25.3 (25.3-26.3)</td>
<td>27.9 (27.35-28.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1. Changes in Anterior Chamber Values after LPI among PAC Group**
In a study to evaluate the anterior chamber angle, widening after laser peripheral iridotomy in eyes with PAC and PACG by UBM and gonioscopy concluded that the LPI resulted in significant widening of the anterior chamber angle in the quadrant with LPI and the quadrant furthest away in patients of PACG with established glaucomatous damage.²

UBM showed these changes better than gonioscopy. In our study, there is a significant change in angle parameters following LPI in PAC patients, but no such change in PACG. This difference may be attributed to the synechial angle closure that occurs in primary angle closure glaucoma patients, which prevents any significant change in the angle configuration following a laser peripheral iridotomy. Thus, timely laser peripheral iridotomy is essential to prevent synechial closure, which may need further medical therapy or invasive surgical procedures.

### CONCLUSION

Among the studied population, observation in the angle characteristics showed that there was statistically significant change in the UBM parameters noted in the primary angle closure group; however, no such significant change was noted in the primary angle closure glaucoma group. Hence, effective strategies should be adopted in order to identify angle closure at an early stage so that they can be treated prior to development of irreversible angle closure glaucoma.
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