The Effect of Intrathecal Magnesium Sulphate on Bupivacaine-Fentanyl Subarachnoid Block for Infraumbilical Surgeries
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND
Local anaesthetics with additives in a wide range, affect the versatility of spinal anaesthesia. Amongst a diverse class of drugs, phenylpiperidine derivative fentanyl, NMDA receptor blocker magnesium have been added as adjuvants to amide local anaesthetic hyperbaric bupivacaine for spinal anaesthesia in an attempt to prolong analgesia.

METHODS
70 patients of either gender were selected randomly and were divided into two groups of 35 each. Administered intrathecally in Group S (control) 12.5 mg of hyperbaric bupivacaine, 25 mcg of fentanyl, 1 mL of normal saline and in Group M (study) 12.5 mg of hyperbaric bupivacaine, 25 mcg of fentanyl, 50 mg of magnesium sulphate.

RESULTS
Insignificant haemodynamic variability was observed following the addition of magnesium to the spinal block agent. Onset (min.) of sensory block was 7.8 ± 1.2 in group M, 5.3 ± 1.0 in group S which was statistically significant with p-value of < 0.00001. Onset (min.) of motor block was 13.2 ± 1.5 in group M, 10.4 ± 2.1 in group S which was statistically significant (p- value < 0.00001). Duration of analgesia (min) was 290.3 ± 9.5 in group M and 261.3 ± 12.2 in group S which was statistically significant with p-value < 0.00001. The recovery from the motor block (min) was 242.5 ± 9.4 in group M, 236.5 ± 5.5 in group S, the difference was statistically significant (p-value of 0.002). At 8th hr mean of VAS was 3.65 ± 0.90 in Group M, 4.62 ± 0.68 in Group S, statistically significant, with p-value of <0.00001

CONCLUSIONS
Magnesium added to hyperbaric bupivacaine with fentanyl for spinal anaesthesia significantly prolongs the onset and duration of analgesia, onset and recovery from motor block, less score of VAS, without significant haemodynamic variations and adverse effects.
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Since the introduction of spinal anaesthesia into clinical practice by Karl August Bier on August 16, 1898, at the Royal Surgical Hospital of the University of Kiel, Germany, it remains one of the most popular techniques for both elective and emergency surgical procedures particularly caesarean sections, lower abdominal surgeries, orthopaedic and urological surgeries to name a few. In an attempt to lengthen analgesia and decrease the incidence of side effects various classes of drugs such as benzodiazepines, ketamine, clonidine, opioids, epinephrine, neostigmine have been added as adjuvants to local anaesthetics. A phenylpiperidine derivative, fentanyl, is a synthetic µ opioid receptor agonist. It is preferred as an adjuvant in spinal anaesthesia because of its rapid onset, longer duration and intense effects on subarachnoid block. Opioids mimic the action of endogenous ligands (endorphins, enkephalins, dynorphins) by binding to opioid receptors causing activation of pain modulation (antinociceptive system). This leads to decrease in neurotransmission by presynaptic inhibition of neurotransmission (Acetylcholine, dopamine, norepinephrine) release. Moreover, when administered intrathecally, it does not have the tendency to migrate to the fourth ventricle in sufficient concentration. However, the use of opioids may be limited by significant side effects such as pruritus, urinary retention, haemodynamic instability, respiratory depression, occasionally severe nausea and vomiting. Magnesium parenterally, which has been used on an empirical basis for analgesia in intra and postoperative period for many years, decreases the requirements of postoperative opioid. The analgesic effect of magnesium is due to its effect on NMDA receptors. Furthermore, it is coupled with ion channels such as K+ and Ca++. Magnesium causes a voltage-dependent block on NMDA receptors. This NMDA channel antagonism causes insufficient penetration in blood brain barrier to achieve effective concentrations in cerebrospinal fluid.

A few clinical trials have examined the effect of adding intrathecal magnesium sulphate (MgSO4) to [8,9] Anaesthetic agents such as bupivacaine. The first randomized human study of intrathecal (IT) magnesium in 1906, as an antinociceptive non-competitive NMDA antagonist modulator, has shown [10] prolongation of the analgesic effect of opioids in spinal anesthesia. [11] Histopathological analysis including safety profile, has also been evaluated. We hypothesize that the side-effect of larger doses of intravenous magnesium that may be required to observe modulation in antinociception in humans can be avoided by intrathecal magnesium which can potentiate opioid spinal analgesia. Therefore, the present study was designed to examine whether the analgesic efficacy of intrathecal bupivacaine and fentanyl could be enhanced by addition of intrathecal magnesium sulphate in patients undergoing infra umbilical surgeries.

We wanted to evaluate the effect of intrathecal magnesium sulphate to bupivacaine-fentanyl subarachnoid block for infraumbilical surgeries by studying the efficacy, onset, duration of analgesia, haemodynamic variability during the block, duration of motor block and adverse effects if any of the drugs.

**METHODS**

This is a prospective, randomised, controlled, observational study. The study period was from September 2016 to August 2018, carried out in the department of Anaesthesia of a tertiary care hospital. Having obtained the Institutional Ethical Committee permission and informed consent from the patients, they were randomly allocated into two groups of 35 each using computer generated data.

The sample size was calculated based on results from a similar study [10] and using the following formula:

\[ n_1 = \frac{(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 / K)(Z_{1-0.02} + Z_{1-\beta})^2}{\Delta^2} \]

\(SD\) of time to onset of motor block of control group = 1.0 (9) 
\(SD\) of time to onset of motor block of magnesium group = 0.71

\(\Delta = \text{Difference in mean value} = 5.7 - 5.1 = 0.6\) 
\(K = 1\)

\(N = \frac{1 + (1.96 + 0.84)^2}{(1 + 0.6) / 6} = 32.66\) 
\(N = 32\)

Considering a dropout rate of 10% i.e. 3.2, total sample size should be \(32 + 3.2 = 35.2 = 35\) patients needed in each study.

The patients in Group-S (control), intrathecal administration of 2.5 mL (12.5 mg) of hyperbaric bupivacaine, 0.5 mL (25 mcg) of fentanyl, 1 mL of normal saline - total volume 4 ml Group-M (study), intrathecal administration of 2.5 mL (12.5 mg) of hyperbaric bupivacaine, 0.5 mL (25 mcg) of fentanyl, 1 mL (50 mg) inj. of magnesium sulphate - total volume 4 mL.

**Inclusion Criteria**

Patients posted for infra umbilical surgeries who were
- willing to give informed consent
- belonging to ASA physical status I and II, of either gender
- age group 18 to 70 years
- weight > 40 kg
- height > 145 cms

**Exclusion Criteria**

- not willing to give consent
- past history of reaction to the study drugs
- having hepatic, renal or cardiovascular dysfunction
- with h/o allergy to local anaesthetics
with acid peptic disorder
on anti-emetic medications
with bleeding coagulopathy
obese
pregnant
any contraindication to central neuraxial blockade

Pre-Anaesthetic Assessment:
One day prior to the proposed surgery, all the patients were visited, preanaesthetic check-up was done, detailed examination including history, systemic examination of cardiovascular, respiratory, central nervous system and examination of spine for infection or deformity was carried out. The procedure of spinal anaesthesia was explained to the patients. Routine investigations like complete haemogram, blood grouping, blood urea, serum creatinine, blood sugar, routine urine examination, electrocardiogram, chest X-ray were done. The patients were educated about the use of verbal analogue scale (VAS).11

Since the perception of pain is highly subjective, this variable was standardized by using data from VAS. First advocated by Revill and Robinson in 1976, VAS consists of a 10 cm line where at one end a label as "no pain" and at the other end "unbearable pain" was anchored. The patient simply marks the line to indicate the pain intensity and the provider then measures the length of the line marked on a point scale.

NBM Period
The patients included in the study were kept nil orally for 8 hrs. Standard preoperative procedure were followed and 18G IV cannula was secured. In the operation theatre, routine monitors (pulse oximeter, electrocardiogram, noninvasive blood pressure, EtCO₂) were attached. The patients were pre-loaded with Ringer Lactate 10 ml/kg to prevent intraoperative hypotension. Subarachnoid block was administered to patients with 23G Quincke Spinal needle in lateral position the L3-L4 interspace. One group received magnesium sulphate with bupivacaine and fentanyl and other control group received normal saline with bupivacaine and fentanyl. The patients were then immediately turned to supine position. Oxygenation was given via a Hudson mask at the rate of 3 L/min.

Sensory block was assessed by a pinprick test. The time from the injection of intrathecal drugs to the absence of pain on prick at the T8 dermatome was recorded which had been defined as the onset of sensory block. The duration of analgesia was recorded as the time from intrathecal injection until the patient’s request for additional analgesic. Intramuscular diclofenac (75 mg) as rescue analgesic on demand were then administered in the post-operative period.
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NBM Period
The patients included in the study were kept nil orally for 8 hrs. Standard preoperative procedure were followed and 18G IV cannula was secured. In the operation theatre, routine monitors (pulse oximeter, electrocardiogram, noninvasive blood pressure, EtCO₂) were attached. The patients were pre-loaded with Ringer Lactate 10 ml/kg to prevent intraoperative hypotension. Subarachnoid block was administered to patients with 23G Quincke Spinal needle in lateral position the L3-L4 interspace. One group received magnesium sulphate with bupivacaine and fentanyl and other control group received normal saline with bupivacaine and fentanyl. The patients were then immediately turned to supine position. Oxygenation was given via a Hudson mask at the rate of 3 L/min.

Sensory block was assessed by a pinprick test. The time from the injection of intrathecal drugs to the absence of pain on prick at the T8 dermatome was recorded which had been defined as the onset of sensory block. The duration of analgesia was recorded as the time from intrathecal injection until the patient’s request for additional analgesic. Intramuscular diclofenac (75 mg) as rescue analgesic on demand were then administered in the post-operative period.
postoperative need for analgesia. Post-operative pain, apart from causing discomfort has other deleterious effects involving mainly the cardio-respiratory system. Intrathecal adjuvants while added to the local anaesthetics, increase the duration of analgesia, thus prolongs post-operative pain relief and reduced requirements of post-operative analgesic, facilitating early ambulation enabling the patients to return to their routine activity more quickly cutting short the hospital stay.

With the discovery of the N-methyl-d-aspartate (NMDA) receptors and its links to central sensitization and nociceptive pain transmission, NMDA receptor antagonist plays an important role in the prevention of central sensitization of pain. Glutamate and aspartate neurotransmitters are released in response to noxious stimuli and bind to the NMDA receptors and various other excitatory amino acid receptors. NMDA receptors activation leads to calcium and sodium influx into the cell, efflux of potassium, antagonisation of N-methyl D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors and initiation of central sensitization. There are no selective NMDA receptor antagonists available for pain management; so the drugs with non-competitive NMDA receptor antagonists, such as magnesium sulphate, ketamine have shown promise as analgesics.

The rationale of intrathecal (IT) Magnesium administration as an adjuvant for the present study was based on certain published supportive data. Haubold and Meltzer gave 1000–2000 mg IT in orthopaedic, general surgical, and gynaecological procedures which produced profound motor and sensory block for 3–27 h with complete recovery. Intrathecal MgSO4 as an adjuvant to spinal bupivacaine may alter bupivacaine pharmacokinetics and cause a more rapid elimination of bupivacaine. This effect was supported recently by Hung et al who found an inverse relationship between IT magnesium and amide local anaesthetics. Buvendran et al postulated that the side effects caused by larger doses of IV Magnesium which may be required to observe antinociceptive modulation in humans can be avoided by intrathecal magnesium administration which can potentiate opioid spinal analgesia. In a systematic review and meta-analysis, A. P. Morrison et al in 2013 has demonstrated no effect on duration of spinal anaesthesia with the addition of magnesium to LA alone. However, in the presence of intrathecal opioids, they found a beneficial effect, which suggested that magnesium potentiates the effect of opioids. The use of intrathecal opioids is associated with the risk of respiratory depression. Varassi et al reported that the subarachnoid administration of 25 μg of fentanyl during spinal anaesthesia did not cause early respiratory depression in elderly non pre-medicated patients. Table 1, shows the demographic profile statistically non-significant (NS). As per Graph no. 1, 2, 3 both the groups were comparable throughout the study period with regard to mean of heart rate, systolic and diastolic blood pressure. On addition of magnesium to the spinal block, our study found insignificant haemodynamic variability. This may be due to the absence of systemic vasodilator effects of subarachnoid magnesium, though IV magnesium when used to treat eclampsia is known to cause fall in blood pressure. Our results supported the studies conducted by Nath MP et al, Jaiswal R et al and Kaur Grewal et al where stable haemodynamics with addition of magnesium sulphate were observed.

**DISCUSSION**

An unpleasant sensory and emotional experience associated with actual or potential tissue damage can be described as pain. Alleviation of pain is a boon of anaesthesia. Various methods of post-operative pain relief are through Systemic approaches with opioids or non-opioids like NSAIDS etc. Regional approaches with peripheral nerve blocks or neuraxial blockade with either epidural, or subarachnoid block, etc. Spinal anaesthesia or subarachnoid block, is a temporary interruption of nerve transmission within the subarachnoid space, used for almost 100 years widely, safely, successfully which follows an injection of a local anaesthetic solution given into cerebrospinal fluid and has many potential advantages over general anaesthesia, especially for operations involving the lower abdomen, the perineum and the lower extremities. The commonly used drugs for spinal subarachnoid block are local anaesthetics lignocaine and bupivacaine. One disadvantage with spinal anaesthetics using local anaesthetics alone is that analgesia ends with the regression of the block, which means that there is an early
As per Table no.2, onset of sensory block (min) in group S was 5.3 ± 1.0 and in group M was 7.8 ± 1.2 which was found to be statistically significant with t-value 9.4697 and p-value of < 0.00001, the delay in onset of sensory block in group M could be due to different pH and baricity of magnesium sulphate solution. This might explain our findings[8]. Buvanendran et al[9] measured the baricity of magnesium sulphate mixed with fentanyl using refractometry and found it to be slightly hypobaric with respect to CSF. Activation of cytochrome P450 (CYP) by magnesium, increases the metabolism of bupivacaine which may be the reason for the delayed onset.[21,29] Our results are in accordance with Nath MP et al,[13] Jaiswal R et al[26] and Kaur Grewal et al.[27] They also found similar results in the onset of sensory block. In our study, as per Table no.3 the motor block onset in the group of magnesium sulphate is (13.2 ± 1.5) min when compared to control group (10.4 ± 2.1) min which is statistically significant (p-value < 0.00001) so, there is a delay in the onset of motor block when intrathecal magnesium was used as an adjuvant. This result was found similar to the study performed by Malleswaran et al[9] in 2010 and also Nath et al.[13] The delay in onset of motor blockade could be due to different pH and baricity of magnesium sulphate solution.

As per Table no. 4 the mean duration of analgesia was 261.3 ± 12.2 mins in group S but in group M it was 290.3 ± 9.5 mins. The probability value as detected by two sample students t test is 11.0958. This implies that addition of intrathecal magnesium sulphate to bupivacaine and fentanyl prolonged the period of analgesia. The increase in duration of analgesia between the two group was statistically significant in our study with the p-value < 0.00001. This correlates with the studies done by Rajesh Vasan et al[28] in 2016 and Nath MP et al.[13] M. Ozalevi et al[29] and also Buvendran et al[9] in 2002. They all concluded that addition of intrathecal magnesium sulphate to bupivacaine and fentanyl prolonged the period of analgesia.

The recovery from the motor block [table no. 4] in our study was assessed as per the modified Bromage score which was considered when the score reached to a value 0. During that period of time, in our study group, it was 242.5 ± 9.4 min and in control group it was 236.5 ± 5.5 min and the difference was found to be statistically significant (p-value of 0.002). This finding was in accordance to the study done by Bala Subramani Murugesan et al[30] in 2016, Malleswarum et al[31] in 2010 and Limbu et al[31] But in the study by Limbu et al[31] duration of motor block in patients of magnesium group was not statistically significant. Unlugenc et al[32] in 2009 observed in their study that median duration of motor blockade in magnesium sulphate group when used with bupivacaine(group m) but without fentanyl, was significantly shorter in comparison to control group (group C). This contradicts our study result on recovery from motor blockade where we have found that magnesium delays motor recovery.

The intensity of pain was assessed by Visual Analog Scale (VAS).[11] As per Graph no. 4 in our study, only at 8th hr in Group S mean of VAS was 4.62 ± 0.68 and in Group M it was 3.65 ± 0.90 this result was found to be statistically significant, with p-value of < 0.00001 which signifies that magnesium as an adjuvant in spinal sub arachnoid block had decreased the VAS from the group where magnesium was not added. The episode of hypotension in the present study, in table no. 5 shows that 6 (17.14%) patients in control group and 8 (22.86%) patients in study group had to be treated with IV fluid (250 ml boluses repeated twice) initially and later IV 6 mg of mephenetermine. The episodes of hypotension was statistically insignificant in both the groups. Bradycardia was found in 6 patients (17.14%) in control group and 5(14.29%) in study group, the comparison was statistically insignificant and was treated with injection atropine 0.6 mg IV. Shivering was complained by 5 (14.29%) patients in control group and 4 (11.43%) patients in study group which was treated using warm IV fluid and covering the patients with warm clothes. The result was also found to be statistically insignificant. None of the patients in any of the two groups had any episode of respiratory depression, pruritis, nausea or vomiting. From the above findings we can claim that in the present study, the incidence of side effects were similar in both the groups. This result correlates with the[13,9] studies done by Nath et al in 2012, Malleswaran et al in 2012.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Addition of 50 mg intrathecal magnesium sulphate to 12.5 mg bupivacaine and 25 mcg fentanyl, in subarachnoid block for infraumbilical surgeries, significantly prolongs the onset, duration of analgesia, as well as the onset and recovery from motor block, decreases the mean of VAS in the group where magnesium was not added. There are no significant haemodynamic variations and adverse effects.
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