AN IN VIVO STUDY TO COMPARE THE MARGINAL FIT ACCURACY OF CROWNS PREPARED USING TWO DIFFERENT MATERIALS
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

Although the metal-ceramic system is still widely used to fabricate crowns and fixed partial dentures, and is considered as the standard treatment in dentistry, aesthetic concerns have stimulated the development of new dental tooth-coloured systems such as PEEK. So, the present study was planned to check the marginal fit accuracies of conventional Porcelain Fused to Metal (PFM) crowns and Polyether Ether Ketone (PEEK) crowns.

METHODS

Based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria, sample size of 80 patients was considered. Out of 80 patients, 40 patients (Group I) received PFM crowns and 40 patients received PEEK (Group II) crowns. The marginal fit accuracy of crowns was checked using stereomicroscope. CBCT of the same patient with the crown was made to validate the readings obtained using stereomicroscope.

RESULTS

Data was analysed with the SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) version 17. Comparison of the two groups for marginal gap was done with unpaired ‘t’ Test. For all the above tests, p value is considered statistically significant when it was ≤0.05. Four points were considered to measure the marginal gaps i.e., MB (Mesiobuccal), ML (Mesiolingual), DB (Distobuccal), DL (Distolingual). The highest marginal gap in the group I was at Mesiobuccal (MB) point i.e. 120.280 μm and lowest marginal gap was at Distolingual (DL) point i.e. 110.127050 μm. It can be noted from CBCT that the marginal gap present in PFM crown is much greater than the PEEK crown.

CONCLUSIONS

Comparing the marginal fit accuracy between PFM and PEEK crowns based on the stereomicroscope readings, the marginal fit accuracy in PEEK crowns were much higher than the PFM crowns.
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BACKGROUND

In a traditional Porcelain Fused to Metal (PFM) crown, the strength is provided by the metal substructure, and a porcelain veneer provides aesthetics.1 Porcelain fused to metal (PFM) crowns are used to restore badly broken-down teeth to protect remaining tooth structure. It may also be responsible for maintaining occlusion and providing aesthetics.2 Margins are unacceptable, if the gap between margins of the crown and tooth structure is greater than 50 μm allowing insertion of the tip of the explorer inside.3 Marginal gap between the crown and the tooth may lead to microleakage and secondary caries. Secondary caries beneath crown margins is considered the most frequent reason for failure of crowns and fixed prosthodontic treatment.4,5

Polyether ether ketone (PEEK) is a synthetic, tooth coloured polymeric material that has been used as a biomaterial in orthopaedics for many years. The monomer unit of either ether ketone monomer polymerizes via step-growth diallylation reaction of bis-phenolates to form polyether ether ketone. A common synthesis route for PEEK is the reaction between 4,40-difluoro benzophenone and the disodium salt of hydroquinone in a polar solvent such as diphenyl sulphone at 300 8C. It is a semicrystalline material.
having a melting point around 335°C. PEEK can be modified either by the addition of functionalized monomers (pre-polymerization) or post-polymerization modifications by chemical processes such as sulphonation, amination and nitration.⁶

According to Wolff’s Law, the bone remodels according to the load that has been applied to it. Stress shielding is the reduction in volume of the bone around an implant due to the shielding of normal loads by the implant. Finite-element analysis (FEA) of carbon-fiber reinforced PEEK (CFR-PEEK) implants suggested that they could induce lesser stress shielding than titanium.⁷ However, since PEEK dental implants have not been used widely clinically, it is unknown if there is a difference between the bone resorption around PEEK and titanium implants in human subjects. Moreover, a more recent FEA study by Sarot et al. suggests there is no difference between the stress distribution around PEEK and titanium dental implants. Indeed, more clinical trials are vital to conclude whether or not PEEK implants produce lesser stress-shielding than titanium implants.

Although the metal-ceramic system is still widely used to fabricate crowns and fixed partial dentures and is considered as the standard treatment in dentistry, aesthetic concerns have stimulated the development of new dental tooth-colored systems as PEEK. PEEK is 20% ceramic reinforced, semicrystalline, thermoplastic and radiolucent polymer for extreme durability especially for frameworks for fixed and removable dental prostheses. It has many advantages as low density, light weight, shock absorber, bio compatible and venerable with composite resin. Biocompatibility, low plaque affinity, good aesthetics and characteristics close to dental structure are essential to modern materials used in advanced dentistry.⁸ Materials used for fixed partial dentures (FPDs) have evolved over the years.⁹ Any misfit of prosthesis, may result in microleakage, followed by caries, inflammation and periodontal diseases.¹⁰⁻¹³

So, the present study was planned to check the marginal fit accuracies of conventional Porcelain fused to metal (PFM) crowns and Polyether Ether Ketone (PEEK) crowns.

**METHODS**

It was an experimental, analytical study, conducted among sample size of 80 patients based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria were enrolled in the present study. The universal convenient sampling method was used to draw the sample size. The study was conducted after obtaining ethical approval from the institutional ethical committee. All the cases were enrolled in the present study after obtaining their written consents.

Amongst 80 patients, Randomization was carried out and 40 patients were allocated to Group I receiving Porcelain fused to metal crowns (PFM) and Group II consisted of 40 patients receiving Polyether ether ketone (PEEK) crowns. Consent patients requiring the fixed dental prostheses reporting to the OPD were considered for the study. Standardization of tooth preparation procedure was obtained by uniform reduction occlusal reduction of 1.5 mm; axial reduction of 1 mm; 1.0-mm wide 360° rounded shoulder located 0.5 mm supragingivally, following the principles of tooth preparation. Following the preparation, cementation for the prostheses was done. After 7 days, marginal fit of the crown was checked. A customized tray for buccal and lingual surface of a molar was fabricated using cold cure acrylic. Poly-vinyl siloxane impression (3M IMPREGUM) was used to record the marginal gap between the finish line of tooth preparation and crown obtained. A total of four points was taken for each tooth; two buccally (MB-mesiobuccally, DB-distobucally) and two lingually (Mesiolingually-ML, distobucally-DL). These points were focused under the stereomicroscope under 45X resolution. The average of these points was taken. Thus, the vertical distance (Marginal Gap) between the prepared tooth and crown was obtained.

**Statistical Analysis**

The data was collected with the help of pre-validated, semi-structured, standardised case record proforma. Data were entered into Microsoft Excel 2010 and the data was analysed with the SPSS (Statistical package for Social Sciences) version 17 software. CBCT of the same patient with the crown were made to validate the readings obtained using stereomicroscope. The data was arranged in tables and graphs for frequency analysis. Parameters of central tendency and modes of dispersion were studied. Mean, standard deviation were calculated.

**RESULTS**

The present experimental, analytical study was conducted in a tertiary health centre to check the marginal fit accuracies of conventional Porcelain fused to metal (PFM) crowns and Polyether Ether Ketone (PEEK) crowns. Amongst 80 patients, Randomization was carried out and 40 patients were allocated to Group I receiving Porcelain fused to metal crowns (PFM) and group II consisted of 40 patients receiving Polyether ether ketone (PEEK) crowns. In the present study, it was found that the highest marginal gap in the group I (PFM) was at Mesiobuccal (MB) point i.e. 120.280000 microns and lowest marginal gap was at Distolingual (DL) point i.e. 110.127050 microns (Table 1) (Chart 1). In the group II (PEEK), highest marginal gap was at mesiolingual point i.e. 90.7416250 microns and lowest marginal gap was at distobucal point i.e. 89.5456250 microns (Table 2) (Chart 2). CBCT of the same patient with the crown were made to validate the readings obtained using stereomicroscope (Test report 1).
DISCUSSION

Fixed dental prostheses (FDPs) have been always the benchmark for replacement of missing teeth as these improve patient’s comfort, masticatory ability, and patient’s self-image. Precise seating and fitting of the prostheses are essential to fulfill the principles of restorations. Marginal fit and internal fit both depend on the clinical and laboratory steps. Marginal fit is a very important factor to be considered for the success of crowns. If the marginal fit is not proper, it results in increased plaque accumulation, gingival sulcular fluid flow, bone loss, resulting in microleakage, followed by recurrent caries, periodontal disease and eventually affecting the longevity of prosthetic restorations. According to Rodiger M et al, restorative material has an influence on the marginal fit accuracy of the crowns. Keeping this in mind, the present study was considered. Materials used for fixed dental prostheses have several properties of strength, durability and most important of all is biocompatibility. Till date there has been several materials used. It includes alloys, ceramics, and high-performance polymers such as zirconia, Ni–Cr, lithium disilicate, and so on. There has been always a search for a better material which fulfills the biomechanical principles of restorations. One such material which has made its invasion into dentistry is polyether ether ketone (PEEK). Due to its excellent stability, its optimal polishing properties and its low plaque affinity, BioHPP is very good for precise prosthetic restorations fabrication. Considering the advantages and the properties of the PEEK material, this material was considered in the present study. Marginal fit is an important factor to be considered in any fixed prostheses. In addition, PEEK being a newer material with better biomechanical properties; PEEK (BioHPP) was compared with the conventional PFM crowns. Also, the literature is limited to comparison between pressable techniques and lost wax technique.

In the inclusion criteria, patients requiring full veneer crowns were considered. The age group of 18-45 years was considered, considering the health of hard and soft tissues. As the present study required supragingival margin placement, hence it was decided to include molars for aesthetic reasons in the study.

Clinically Acceptable Level of Marginal Gap

According to Goldin EB et al., marginal discrepancy in the range of 40-120 microns is considered clinically acceptable for the success of the crowns. According to McLean and von Fraunhofer, the clinically acceptable marginal gap should be within 120 microns. Salarana AA conducted a study where the marginal gap was in the range 25 to 40 microns for cemented restorations and was considered a clinical goal.

Sectioning of Samples

In the present study a customized tray with handle for buccal and lingual surface of a molar was fabricated using cold cure acrylic (DPI). Tray (buccal and lingual) was prepared for making the marginal impression of buccal and lingual surface of PFM and PEEK crowns with polyvinyl-siloxane impression material. The sectioned poly-vinyl siloxane impression material was focused under stereomicroscope under 45X.

Considering the two groups, it was found that the marginal gap was higher in group I (PFM) compared to the group II (PEEK) (Chart 3). In group it was highest at the
mesiobuccal point and in group II it was highest at the mesiolingual point. The two groups which were considered in our study was one fabricated by lost wax technique and the other fabricated using pressable technique. Most of the comparison in the literature is between systems themselves ie. pressable technique, lost wax technique, CAD-CAM etc. Also according to Boeckler et al, from different alloys and technologies showed differences in marginal fit.\(^{21}\) According to Byrne G, the cemented or un cemented crowns have an influence on the marginal gap measurement.\(^{23}\) In the present study considering all the above factors, the marginal gap was assessed after 7 days of cementation.

CONCLUSIONS

Within the limitations of the study, following conclusions were drawn:

1. Comparing the marginal fit accuracy between PFM and PEEK crowns based on the stereomicroscope readings, the marginal fit accuracy in PEEK crowns was much higher than the PFM crowns.
2. The readings obtained were validated with CBCT, and it was found that the marginal gap in PFM crowns was much higher than the PEEK crowns.
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