PREVALENCE OF THYROID DYSFUNCTION AMONG ANTENATAL WOMEN IN A TEACHING HOSPITAL
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND
Thyroid disorders in pregnancy can affect the health of the mother and child, before and after delivery leading to adverse maternal and foetal outcome. The aim of the study is to study the prevalence of thyroid dysfunction in antenatal women attending teaching hospital, Government Victoria Hospital, Andhra Medical College, Visakhapatnam.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Thyroid function tests, i.e. serum TSH along with the other tests were done in all antenatal women attending OPD at first antenatal visit and those with abnormal TSH were subjected to serum T3 and T4 levels. Data was collected, and results were analysed.

Sample Size- 4701.
Study Design- This is a prospective observational study that includes pregnant women attending Outpatient Department of Government Victoria Hospital for a period of one year from March 2016 to February 2017.

RESULTS
A total of 4701 pregnant women were tested for thyroid function tests. Results showed 18.9% incidence of Hypothyroidism, of which 12.10% were Subclinical Hypothyroidism and 6.62% being Overt Hypothyroidism and 0.17% were Overt Hyperthyroidism.

CONCLUSION
Prevalence of Hypothyroidism in pregnant women was found to be high; majority being Subclinical Hypothyroidism in our study.
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Because of non-specific symptoms and hypermetabolic state of pregnancy, thyroid dysfunction may be overlooked and the diagnosis of thyroid disorders is exclusively biochemical.[3]

Hyperthyroidism
Is defined as Serum TSH level below the trimester specific reference range with elevated levels of Free T3, Free T4 or both and occurs in 0.1% to 0.4% of pregnancies.[4] Pregnant women with overt hyperthyroidism are at increased risk of spontaneous miscarriage, congestive heart failure, thyroid storm, preterm birth, preeclampsia, foetal growth restriction and increased perinatal mortality and morbidity.

Overt Hyperthyroidism
Is defined as an elevated TSH level with a decreased level of Free T4 or TSH > 10 mIU/L irrespective of their Free T4 levels and around 0.5% of pregnant women will have overt hyperthyroidism. The maternal effects of hyperthyroidism are spontaneous or threatened abortion, preeclampsia, placental abruption and preterm delivery. The foetal and neonatal effects are foetal growth restriction, low birth weight, neonatal hyperbilirubinaemia, neonatal hypo/hyperthyroidism, high perinatal mortality and low IQ levels in the child.

Subclinical Hypothyroidism
Is the most common thyroid disorder during pregnancy. It is defined as an elevated TSH levels between 2.5 and 10 mIU/L
with a normal level of circulating free T4. Maternal subclinical hypothyroidism during early pregnancy has been shown to be associated with impaired neuropsychological development of children, preterm birth, preeclampsia, breech delivery and increased foetal mortality.

Since thyroid dysfunction adversely affects maternal and foetal outcome, present study was conducted to know the prevalence of thyroid dysfunction during pregnancy.

**Aim of the Study**
To study the prevalence of thyroid dysfunction in antenatal women attending the teaching hospital, Government Victoria Hospital, Andhra Medical College, Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh, India.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**
This hospital-based observational study was conducted at Government Victoria Hospital for Women and Children, Andhra Medical College, Visakhapatnam for a period of one year from March 2016 to February 2017. Serum TSH was done to all pregnant women who have attended Antenatal OPD along with other routine laboratory tests at first antenatal visit between March 2016 to February 2017 after taking history and clinical examination. If serum TSH was deranged, estimation of serum T3 and T4 was done. Trimester specific TSH normal ranges were used in the study based on the guidelines of American Thyroid Association 2011 and the following cut-offs were used to detect thyroid dysfunction.[6]

- First trimester: 0.1 - 2.5 mIU/L
- Second trimester: 0.2 - 3.0 mIU/L
- Third trimester: 0.3 - 3.0 mIU/L

Based on results, patients were diagnosed as Euthyroid, Subclinical hypothyroidism, Overt Hypothyroidism and Overt Hyperthyroidism. A total of 4701 were evaluated for thyroid function tests. Thus, data was collected and results were analysed.

**Inclusion Criteria**
All primi gravidae and Multigravida irrespective of gestational age are included in the study.

**Exclusion Criteria**
A. H/O Diabetes Mellitus, Hypertension or other autoimmune disorders.
B. H/O thyroid dysfunction or thyroid surgery in the past.

**RESULTS**
In our study, a total of 4701 antenatal women were tested with Serum TSH and if abnormal TSH was found Serum T3 and T4 were done. According to the thyroid function tests out of 4701 cases were tested, 888 cases were diagnosed as having thyroid dysfunction, thus the incidence of thyroid dysfunction in pregnancy is 18.9%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group in Years</th>
<th>No. of Antenatal Women Tested</th>
<th>No. of Women with Normal Thyroid Function</th>
<th>No. of Women with Abnormal Thyroid Function</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15-20 yrs.</td>
<td>672 (14.3%)</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-25 yrs.</td>
<td>2289 (48.7%)</td>
<td>1823</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-30 yrs.</td>
<td>1288 (27.4%)</td>
<td>1059</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;30 yrs.</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4701</td>
<td>3813</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3. Age-Wise Distribution**

In our study 98 women were between 15 - 20 yrs. of age with an incidence of 11%, 471 were between 21 - 25 yrs. with an incidence of 53%, 231 were between 26 - 30 yrs. of age group with an incidence of 26% and 88 women were above 30 years of age with an incidence of 10%. Thus, women with thyroid dysfunction predominated in the age group of 21 - 25 yrs. with an incidence of 53%.

**Distribution of Thyroid Dysfunction as per Gravida**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gravida</th>
<th>No. of Antenatal Women Tested</th>
<th>No. of Women with Normal Thyroid Function</th>
<th>No. of Women with Abnormal Thyroid Function</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primi</td>
<td>2052 (43%)</td>
<td>1715 (45%)</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
<td>1058 (22.5%)</td>
<td>800 (21%)</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3</td>
<td>702 (14.5%)</td>
<td>533 (14%)</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4 or more</td>
<td>889 (19.1%)</td>
<td>765 (20%)</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4701 (100%)</td>
<td>3813 (100%)</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4. Gravida Wise Distribution**

In our study on analysing the obstetric history of women with thyroid dysfunction 337 women were primi gravidae with an incidence of 30%, 258 women were G2 with an...
incidence of 29%, 169 women were G3 with an incidence of 19%, whereas 124 women were G4 and above with an incidence of 14%. Thus, primigravidae predominated in the study with an incidence of 38%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sahu et al, 2010, Hyderabad</th>
<th>6.7%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dhanwal et al, 2013, North India</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV Bhandela et al, 2013, Rayalaseema</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaiswal et al, 2016, Lucknow</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nabh Bhashya Karla, et al, 2014, Telangana</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rajesh Rajput et al, 2015, Haryana</td>
<td>21.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indira et al, 2017, Vizianagaram</td>
<td>16.21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our Study, 2017, Vishakhapatnam</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Prevalence of Thyroid Dysfunction in Pregnancy in Different Studies

**DISCUSSION**

Thyroid disorders in pregnancy are associated with adverse foetal and maternal outcome. There is a wide variation in prevalence of thyroid dysfunction in pregnancy all over the world. The Western studies report a prevalence of hypothyroidism in pregnancy as 2.5% and a prevalence of 0.1-0.4% of hyperthyroidism. Roberto Negro et al in BMJ 2014[6] in their study reported the prevalence of undiagnosed subclinical hypothyroidism in pregnant women ranges from 3% - 15%. The prevalence reports of hypothyroidism during pregnancy in India ranges from 4.8%-11%.

Our study has shown a prevalence of 18.7% of Hypothyroidism, of which 12.10% was Subclinical hypothyroidism and 6.62% showed Overt hypothyroidism. The incidence of Overt hypothyroidism in our study is 0.17%. The cut-off values of serum TSH in 1st trimester were 2.5 mIU/L and in 2nd and 3rd trimester was 3 mIU/L in our study. This high prevalence in our study has been attributed to the presence of goitrogens in the diet and deficiency of micronutrients like selenium and iron. Many Indian studies report high prevalence of thyroid disorders in pregnancy. Dhanwal et al from Delhi in 2013[7] reported a prevalence of 14.3% of hypothyroidism with a TSH cut-off of 4.5 mIU/L in his study. Jaiswal et al 2014 reported a prevalence of 18% hypothyroidism with a TSH cut-off of 2.5 mIU/L; Nabh and Bhashya Karla 2014[8] reported a prevalence of 26% of hypothyroidism in their study with a TSH cut-off level of 3 mIU/L and with the same TSH cut-off Murthy et al reported an incidence of 19.4%. Mandal et al 2016 has reported a prevalence of 32.94% of hypothyroidism with a TSH cut-off of 2.5 mIU/L. In another study, Sahu et al[9] have done thyroid function tests in 2nd trimester in high-risk pregnant women and reported a prevalence of 6.47% hypothyroidism. Study by Rajesh Rajput et al 2015[10] reported a high prevalence of thyroid disorders during pregnancy, i.e. 21.5% of Subclinical Hypothyroidism and 1.3% of Hypothyroidism and 0.4% of Overt Hypothyroidism. Thus, our study is comparable in prevalence of hypothyroidism to that of Jaiswal et al [18] and Murthy et al [19]. The prevalence rate in our study is also comparable with the study of Pandit V Bandela et al [11] (18.7%) done in Rayalaseema region of Andhra Pradesh and Indira et al in 2017. Wei Wang et al in 2010[12] reported in his study that the prevalence of hypothyroidism was significantly higher in the high-risk group than in the non-high-risk group, 10.9 vs 7%. The prevalence of hyperthyroidism was not significantly different from the high-risk and non-high risk group, 2.7 vs. 1.6%.

In our study, thyroid dysfunction was the most common in women aged between 21 - 25 yrs. i.e. 53% as shown in Table No. 3, which is comparable to study by Indira et al 2017[13] who reported highest incidence in the age group of 21 - 25 yrs. As shown in Table 4, our study had predominancy of primigravidae. This is comparable to a study by Alpana Singh et al, who reported that most of the cases were primigravidae.

Our study concludes that there is high prevalence of thyroid dysfunction in pregnancy in India, majority being subclinical hypothyroidism and hence universal screening of thyroid dysfunction is recommended in our country.

Limitation of the study is anti-thyroid antibodies were not assayed in our study.

**CONCLUSION**

Maternal thyroid dysfunction has a great potential to adversely affect maternal and foetal health leading to abnormal maternal and foetal outcome, particularly impaired neurological development of the foetus. Thus, early detection of thyroid dysfunction in all antenatal women, prompt initiation of treatment and regular follow-up can reduce the adverse maternal and foetal outcome.
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