LARGE FRONTOETHMOIDAL MUCOCOELE- A CASE REPORT
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PRESENTATION OF CASE

Mucocoeles of the paranasal sinuses were first described by Langenbeck (1820) under the name of hydatidides.1 Rollet (1909) suggested the name mucocoele. They have expansile nature with slow growth and may eventually compress important neighbouring structures such as orbit and intracranial cavity.2 On histopathology, they are cyst-like structures lined with respiratory epithelium and filled with mucus. Patients with mucocoeles in the frontoethmoidal region are referred to ophthalmic surgeons in the first instance due to orbital displacement. The orbital symptoms include proptosis, lateral displacement, inferior displacement, diplopia, limited ocular mobility and decreased visual acuity. The patients may also complain of facial pain and headache.

a. History

A 50-year-old male presented to ENT OPD of Govt. Medical College, Amritsar with history of outward and downward displacement of left eye for 4 months (Fig. 1). The patient also complained of headache on the left side of forehead. No history of diplopia or decreased vision was given by the patient. There was no history of trauma to the site. There was no history of nasal discharge or nasal obstruction.

b. On Examination

The left eye showed mild congestion of the bulbar conjunctiva. Proptosis was noted. Inferior and lateral displacement of the eyeball was seen. Visual acuity was 6/9 in the right eye and 6/36 in the left eye. Extraocular movements were restricted in the superior and medial directions on left side. Pupillary reactions to light and accommodation were normal in both eyes. Fundus examination was normal in both eyes. No swelling on the forehead was noted. On anterior rhinoscopy, nose was normal.

c. Investigations

Blood investigations including CBC, RBS, RFT, LFT, viral markers were normal. X-ray PNS showed downward scalloping of superior orbital margin and expansion of left frontal sinus. Left maxillary sinus was hazy. CT scan (Fig. 2) showed expansion of left frontal sinus with breach in the posterior wall and floor of left frontal sinus with evidence of retained secretions within the expanded sinus.

The lesion was extending in left orbit abutting the superior rectus and superior oblique muscle causing its indentation. There was evidence of intracranial extradural extension of lesion causing indentation of left frontal lobe. MRI showed large, well-defined cystic lesion arising from the left frontal sinus measuring about 46 x 36 x 33 mm in maximum dimensions with fluid levels within it. Inferiorly, it was displacing and compressing roof of left orbit leading to proptosis of left eye. Posteriorly, it was bulging into the anterior cranial fossa and compressing the left frontal lobe of brain.

DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS

The Possible differential Diagnosis are-

1. Encephaloceles.
2. Cholesterol granuloma.
3. Epidermoid cyst.
5. Neurofibroma.
6. Paraganglioma.

CLINICAL DIAGNOSIS

The clinical diagnosis of the case after all the relevant investigations was frontoethmoidal mucocoele, left side.

DISCUSSION OF MANAGEMENT

Under general anaesthesia, endoscopic frontal recess surgery was performed with intact bulla technique. Uncinctomy was done. The agger nasi cells were de-roofed using Kerrison’s punch and frontal recess area was explored. All anterior region cell septae were broken. Bluish point was seen at the narrow middle part of the frontal hour glass recess. This was presumed to be the cyst wall and was incised using cruciate incision. Pulsatile clear watery fluid came out and immediately per operative the eyeball regained its original position. Trephination was done to check for the intactness of dura. Frontal sinus was visualised, which was empty. Posterior table of frontal sinus was eroded. Upon insertion of the endoscope, the eyeball was seen to twitch superiorly indicative of erosion of roof of orbit. After being sure about our incision having been placed in cyst wall and dura being intact, the area of incision was widened transnasally using No. 3 diamond burr.

Post-operatively, there was no episode of CSF leak and wound healing was normal. The patient was instructed not to blow the nose, keep head raised, get treatment for constipation if required and to quit smoking. The post-operative picture of the patient is as shown in Fig. 3.
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DISCUSSION
Mucocoeles is a cystic lesion of the epithelial recover layer that affects the paranasal sinuses, contains thick mucus inside, has slow growth and expansive characteristics. Its aetiology has not been fully defined yet, but it is believed that it is caused by obstruction of drainage ostium of affected paranasal sinus owing to chronic processes of rhinosinusitis (infectious or allergic), nasosinus polyps, craniofacial trauma, previous surgery, benign tumours (osteomas, bone fibrous dysplasia) or malignant neoplasms (primary or metastatic).

Approximately, 60 - 89% occur in the frontal sinus, followed by 8 - 30% in the ethmoidal sinuses and less than 5% in the maxillary sinus. Sphenoid sinus mucocoeles are rare. Mucocoeles can form at any age, but the majority are diagnosed in patients of 40 to 60 years old. Males and females are equally affected.

Mucocoeles tends to expand, remodel and absorb bone walls of affected paranasal sinus, changing their integrity and occasionally affecting the neighbouring structures such as the orbit and intracranial cavity. Pathophysiology of the mechanism of bone reabsorption produced by mucocoeles is still obscure. It is believed that ostectomy is produced by reduction of vascularisation of the bone due to the mechanism of compress and/or by the action of inflammatory mediators abundantly present in the mucous of this affection such as cytokines (IL1, IL6), vascular adhesion molecules and prostaglandins.

The clinical presentation of mucocoeles varies with their anatomical site. The onset of symptoms is usually insidious. Patients with frontoethmoidal mucocoeles may develop frontal headache, facial asymmetry or swelling as well as ophthalmological manifestations such as impared visual acuity, reduced ocular mobility or proptosis. Clinical presentation of the mucocoeles varies from asymptomatic to incapacitating headache and visual disturbance. Proptosis (83%) and diploia (45%) are the most common complaints.

The diagnosis is based on imaging exams. Even though simple x-ray may show opacification, bone erosion or expansion of mucocoeles, CT scan is the preferred exam because it evidences bone involvement, assesses intracranial and/or orbital extension and supports surgical planning.

Treatement of mucocoeles is surgical and the access routes may be either external or endonasal. External approach is made through frontoethmoidectomy (Lynch’s procedure) or by osteoplastic flaps with or without frontal sinus obliteration and total excision of mucosa. For many years, these techniques were the only surgical alternative to treat frontoethmoidal mucocoeles. They are aggressive procedures with high morbidity and currently they are reserved for extreme cases with significant intracranial or orbital extension. The current tendency is to conduct functional, little invasive and low morbidity procedure with nasosinus endoscopic surgery with marsupialisation and abundant drainage of the lesion, preserving the epithelium.

CONCLUSION
Mucocoeles are benign lesions of expansive characteristic that may cause severe complications at orbital and intracranial levels and for this reason they should be diagnosed and treated early. Here, we present a case of frontal mucocoele causing orbital symptoms and was hence
surgically managed. Three months post-operative follow-up has not revealed any recurrence of the symptoms. Marsupilisation with drainage through nasosinusual approach proves to be a safe and efficient procedure in therapeutic approaches to frontoethmoidal mucoceles.
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