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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND
Bipolar disorder is a chronic psychiatric illness of an episodic and recurrent nature with marked mood and behavioural dysfunction and causes substantial psychosocial morbidity, as it frequently affects independent living, vocational, and social activities. But there is a relative dearth of Indian research about the factors associated with risk of recurrence in patients with BPAD receiving treatment according to contemporary practice guidelines. The aim of this study was to assess the association of psychosocial and treatment factors with frequency of episodes in Bipolar Affective Disorder (BPAD).

MATERIALS AND METHODS
A cross-sectional study consisted of first 120 subjects with bipolar disorder who availed psychiatry services in a general hospital setting in central Kerala from January 2014 to July 2014. Diagnosis was made by DCR-10 criteria. Data for 114 subjects with BPAD were analysed. Episode frequency was estimated as the number of episodes of depression, mania, hypomania and mixed per year of illness. Stressful life events were assessed by Presumptive Stressful Life Event scale and treatment adherence by Drug Attitude Inventory. Modified Camberwell Family Interview was used for assessing expressed emotions. Kuppuswamy’s Socio Economic Scale was used for assessing socioeconomic status (SES).

RESULTS
Episode frequency was significantly associated with young age group, female sex, low educational status (Primary), unemployment, lower socioeconomic class, marital status (Single), number of children (Zero), earlier age at onset, family history of BPAD, high stressful life events, high expressed emotions, and poor treatment adherence. The association of comorbid general medical condition and psychiatric condition with episode frequency were not significant. The influence of religion, family type and comorbid substance use on episode frequency could not be commented upon.

CONCLUSION
Episode frequency was significantly associated psychosocial and treatment factors. Hence, to reduce the recurrence in BPAD, specific interventions are required to change the modifiable risk factors.
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BACKGROUND
The illness severity of Bipolar Affective Disorder (BPAD) varies widely across affected individuals, ranging from relatively milder (fewer lifetime mood episodes with longer periods of inter-episode affective and functional recovery) to more severe (more chronic course with more frequent severe episodes and at the most, brief partial inter-episode improvement).

In BPAD, both biological (e.g., genes) and environmental (e.g., early life stress) factors may contribute to illness severity and chronicity.
Aims and Objectives
1. To study the association of episode frequency in BPAD with sociodemographic variables like age, gender, education, occupational status, socio economic status, religion, family type, marital status, number of children, and distance from home to hospital.
2. To study the association of episode frequency in BPAD with illness related variables like age at onset of BPAD, family history of BPAD, comorbid general medical condition, comorbid substance abuse, comorbid psychiatric conditions, stressful life events, expressed emotions from the care giver, and treatment adherence.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
This was a cross-sectional study conducted in the Department of Psychiatry, Government Medical College, Kottayam which is one among the premier multidisciplinary tertiary reference centres in Kerala. The study sample is constituted by first consecutive 120 patients admitted to psychiatry ward or attending OPD with Bipolar Affective Disorder for treatment and the study period was 6 months from 15th January 2014 to 14th July 2014.

Inclusion Criteria
1. Subjects diagnosed fulfilling the criteria for BPAD according to the Diagnostic Criteria for Research version of ICD-10 (DCR-10)
2. Subjects of age more than 18 years.
3. Subjects receiving treatment from Govt. Medical College Hospital, Kottayam, Psychiatry unit during the study period.

Exclusion Criteria
1. Subjects with substance induced mood disorder, mood disorder due to a general medical condition and schizoaffective disorder.
2. Subjects with recurrent depressive disorder, persistent mood disorders, other mood disorders and unspecified mood disorder.
3. Subjects or care givers not giving consent for the study.

Study Tools
Diagnostic Criteria for Research of ICD-10 (DCR-10): The Diagnostic Criteria for Research accompanying the ICD-10 (DCR-10) are designed for use in research; their content is derived from the Glossary to the chapter on Mental and Behavioural Disorders in the ICD-10 (Chapter V (F)).

Kuppuswamy's Socioeconomic Status Scale 2012
This scale takes account of education, occupation and income of the family to classify study groups in to lower, upper lower, middle, upper middle and upper socioeconomic status.

Presumptive Stressful Life Event Scale
This scale consists of 51 life events that have been found to produce individual stress reactions. Each life event has got a numerical stress value which represent the degree of disruption that event causes in the average person's life. Accordingly, the total score obtained will be grouped into low stress (0-150), moderate stress (151-300) and high stress (>300).

RESULTS
Episode frequency was distributed over the range of 0.21 to 2.14 episodes per year. The mean of episode frequency was 1.08 episodes per year with a SD of 0.51 episodes per year. In quartile distribution of episode frequency, 25.4% of the population belongs to low episode frequency group (Q1) whereas 21.1% of total population belongs to high episode frequency 1.5 episodes per year.

Treatment Adherent Scale (Drug Attitude Inventory)
Developed by AG Awad, is a 30-item inventory that focuses on the subjective effect of neuroleptic medications. It also addresses insight into illness.

Scale for Measuring Expressed Emotions of the Care Giver
It's a modified Camberwell family interview (CFI) which includes 30 items and which is again divided into positive and negative items and includes hostility, emotional over involvement and relative's critical comments with a score of 1-150. Accordingly, the expressed emotion is divided into high (66-150) and low (25-65).

Specially Designed Proforma
Specially designed proforma for collecting relevant socio demographic and psychiatric details

Study Procedure
All subjects were interviewed by the researcher and all the variables mentioned in the specially designed proforma completed using appropriate tools. Episode frequency calculated on the basis of total reported episodes of major depression, mania, mixed or hypomania per year of illness.

Statistical Analysis
During statistical analysis six subjects from the total sample with illness duration of less than 2 years were excluded, because a small denominator may inflate the episode frequency. So the final sample constitutes only 114 subjects. Analysis was done in two phases, 1. Descriptive statistical analysis 2) inferential statistical analysis

After completing descriptive analysis, episode frequency was divided into four equal parts (quartiles Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4) after arranging it an ascending order. So the subjects in the first quartile (Q1) have lowest frequency of episodes and subjects in the last quarter (Q4) have highest frequency of episodes. Then the psychosocial and treatment variables in lowest episode frequency group (Q1) and that of highest episode frequency group (Q4) were compared so as to find out the association between psycho social and treatment variables with episode frequency by inferential statistical analysis using appropriate statistical test given below.

Results on continuous measurements were presented on Mean ± SD (Min-Max) and results on categorical measurements were presented in Number (%). Significance is assessed at 5 % level of significance. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) has been used to find the significance of study parameters between three or more groups of patients, Chi-square or Fisher Exact test has been used to find the significance of study parameters on categorical scale between two or more groups.
Socio Demographic Variables and Episode Frequency in BPAD

The age of the subjects in the sample ranged from 19 years to 63 years and most of the subjects in the study group were between 18 and 34 years of age (52.6%) and least between 50 and 64 years of age. There is significant association between age and frequency of episodes in quartile distribution (P<0.001). It means that those having high episode frequency belong to young population (18-34 years of age). Among study participants 60% were males and 40% were females. In this study high episode frequency strongly was associated with female sex (P<0.001). Nearly half subjects (49.1%) of the total sample had only primary school education and 32.5% achieved secondary (high school or plus two) education, while 14.9 % finished graduation and only 2.6 % had post-graduation. There is significant association between education and frequency of episodes. Subjects with education below graduation had high frequency of episodes (Q3 and Q4).

The study finds that majority of the subjects were employed (61.4%) though below semi-professional level and unemployed were 33.3%. Only 5.3% were self-employed like farming in their own land or running their own stationary stores. Unemployed subjects had significantly high episode frequency compared to employed subjects. Majority of the subjects (71%) belongs to lower class and remaining 29% were from middle class. No single subject from upper class. Subjects belongs to upper lower class of Kuppuswamy's SES scale had significantly high episode frequency.

In terms of religion, 57.9% were Hindus, 39.5% were Christians and 2.6% were Muslims. Subjects belonged to Hindu religion had significantly high episode frequency compared to other religion. Except 6 subjects (5.3%) who were from extended joint family, almost all subjects were from nuclear family. No single subject in the study group belongs to joint family (94.7%). Subjects from nuclear family had significantly high episode frequency. Most of the subjects in the study group were single (61.4%) followed by married (26.3%) and divorced were 5.3% subjects of the total sample. Only 28.1% subjects of the study group had children because most of them were single. There is a significant association between marital status and episode frequency. Number of children negatively associated with frequency of episodes. In subjects belongs to high episode frequency had no children. The mean distance travelled by subject for receiving treatment is 26.57 km with a standard deviation of 10.08 km. More than one third of the subjects (36%) need to travel a long distance of 30 to 50 km. Subjects belongs to low frequency group (Q1) travelled more than 40 km from home to hospitals and that high frequency group were 28 km only and the difference is found to be statistically significant.

Illness related variables and episode frequency in BPAD:

This study found that 51.8% of the subjects first became ill between 11 to 19 years of age (Adolescence) and 37.7% became ill in the young adult hood (20 to 40 years of age).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Episode Frequency</th>
<th>No. of Subjects</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;0.67</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>1.08±0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.67-1.00</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0-1.50</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1.5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subjects with adolescent age of onset had higher episode frequency. The mean of total number of episodes in lowest quartile (Q1) is 5 and that of highest quartile was 21. Almost half (46%) of the study population had a family history of BPAD in first or second-degree relatives. Subjects with family history of bipolar illness are significantly high in high episode frequency group.

Among the subjects with co-morbid General Medical Condition 42% had diabetes mellitus type II followed by hypothyroidism (36%). 18% had Hypertension and 12% had seizure disorder. About 18 % of total sample had other chronic medical illness like chronic obstructive lung diseases, bronchial asthma, ischemic heart diseases and poly cystic ovarian disease. There is no significant association between episode frequency and medical co-morbidities (P=0.147). Among the subjects with substance use almost all had nicotine use. Along with nicotine majority of the subjects had alcohol use (75.8%) and 19.3% of the subjects had cannabis use. Substance use includes either harmful use of the substance or substance dependence. Subjects with substance use are significantly high in low episode frequency group.

Only 12 subjects had psychiatric co-morbidities excluding substance use disorders as per DCR 10 criteria. Out of these 6 subjects were diagnosed as mild mental retardation, 4 subjects had co-morbid borderline personality disorder and remaining 2 subjects had associated social phobia. There is no significant difference between lowest and highest quartiles in psychiatric co-morbidities. High stressful life event experienced were only 26.3 %. Remaining subjects scored moderate (30.7%) and low (4.3%) in stressful life event scale. High score in Stressful life events scale was significantly high in high episode frequency group than low episode frequency group. Nearly half (43%) of the subjects in the study population experienced high expressed emotions from the care givers. High expressed emotions are significantly more in highest frequency group compared to lowest frequency group in this study only 32% had treatment adherence. Treatment adherence is significantly low in highest episode frequency group (12.5%) compared to that of lowest episode frequency group (79%)

DISCUSSION

Association between Study Variables and Episode Frequency in BPAD as follows-

Age

This study shows a significant association of age group with frequency of episodes in BPAD (p<0.001). The age group of subjects between 18 to 34 years were 79 % in high frequency group (Q4) compared to that of low (Q1) frequency group (48%). As per STEP BD which is a multi-centric cohort study for a period of 2 years with a sample size of 2000 subjects over the age of 15 years with a diagnosis of either BPAD I or BPAD II or BPAD not otherwise specified or cyclothymia or schizoaffective disorder as per DSM IV TR criteria found that age was not associated with risk of recurrence of BPAD(p>0.05). The discrepancy with our study could be due to the difference in study population (multicentre v/s hospital based), sample size, study design and duration, as cited above and difference in inclusion criteria (included all types BPAD and schizoaffective disorder as per DSM IV TR where as our study included subjects as per ICD 10 criteria.)
for BPAD only). Moreover, our study used a formula for calculating episode frequency as number of episodes divided by duration of mental illness.\textsuperscript{16} Since age is a denominator in function in episode frequency formula; as the age decreases, the episode frequency increases.

**Gender**
In our study, the episode frequency was significantly high in female gender (79% in high frequency group) compared to males (21% in high frequency group) whereas in low frequency group consisted of 72% males and 23% females (P<0.001). The study by Kessing LV et al which was a hospital based case register review study to estimate the risk of recurrence in BPAD conducted in Denmark from 1971 to 1993 and consisted of a large sample of 20350 subjects with primary affective disorder had shown increased risk of recurrence for women in BPAD (P<0.001).\textsuperscript{18} Our study also shows similar finding though it differs in sample size, study population and study designs from the above study. But most studies do not show significant gender differences in episode frequency of BPAD including earlier ECA and NCS studies.\textsuperscript{16}

**Educational Status**
Our study found a significant association between educational level and episode frequency. Subjects with primary education (58%) were more in high frequency group compared to low frequency group where subjects having primary education (24%) and graduation (21%) were almost equal. The studies by Schoyen HK et al which is a case control study with a sample size of 257 subjects diagnosed with BPAD as per DSM IV criteria conducted at a hospital in Norway also showed low education levels in rapid cycling BPAD and high frequency RDD subjects, which is consistent with our studies.\textsuperscript{19} But STEP BD as cited above showed no association with educational levels and risk of recurrence.\textsuperscript{17}

The difference between studies could be due to the type of population in whom the study was conducted. Since our hospital was mainly catering to low income group, the sample we studied had a low educational achievement in general. The difference in study design and diagnostic criteria used for inclusion of subjects also might be contributed to the discrepancy between the studies.

**Occupational Status**
The frequency of episodes was significantly associated with employment status of the subjects. In the study 66% subjects of the total sample were unemployed in high frequency group compared to employed subjects (33%) whereas in low frequency group 75% were employed and 24% subjects were unemployed. NCS-R study by Merikangas et al, which is a nationally representative survey of mental disorders among English-speaking household residents ages 18 years and older in the continental US.\textsuperscript{20} In this study the BPAD subjects were selected by applying Composite International Diagnostic Interview (SCID). This study also found unemployed status associated with high episode frequency in BPAD. Though there is a difference in study setting, study design, sample size and diagnostic criteria used for inclusion of subjects, both studies show similar finding.

**Socio Economic Status**
Our study found a significant association between socio economic status and episode frequency. All the subjects (100%) in the high frequency group were belonging to upper division of lower class in Kuppuswamy's Socio Economic scale compared to that in the low frequency group (52%). Though there is a difference with our study, the observation that high frequency episodes in low SES is consistent with studies by Laetitia eid et al which is a cohort consists of 652 individuals with BPAD as per DSM IV from 2 centres (Hamilton and Ottawa) and was primarily done to find out the relation between Lithium response and SES.\textsuperscript{21} This could be due to the fact that higher socio economic classes have a better access to treatment and more likely to seek treatment. But the STEP BD observed that socio economic status was not associated with relapse or recurrence.\textsuperscript{11}

**Religion**
In high frequency group about 71% were Hindus and Christians were only 25% This may be due to the fact that majority of the subjects in the sample were Hindus because of which all subjects in low frequency group also belonged to Hindu religion (100%). So the association between episode frequency and religion could not be commented though the association is statistically significant. To our knowledge there are no studies showing difference in prevalence as well as frequency among various religion. In a cross-sectional observation study of follow up data from large (n=334) cohort study of patients receiving care for BPAD, Cruz M et al found significant association between higher rates of prayer or meditation and precipitation of mixed state in BPAD (P=<0.05) as well as lower rates of prayer or meditation in euthymic subjects.\textsuperscript{22} But depression and mania were not associated with religious involvement.

**Family Type**
In this study all the subjects in both high frequency and low frequency group were belonging to nuclear family. This could be due to the fact that majority of the subjects in the total sample were from nuclear family. So, the association between family type and episode frequency could not be commented though the difference between nuclear and extended joint family were statically significant in both the groups. To our knowledge, there are no studies available to compare the association between family type and episode frequency. But it is evident that nuclear family has a less social support compared to joint family. The earlier studies found that lack of social support has a predictive value in BPAD recurrences.\textsuperscript{23}

**Marital Status**
Our study shows a significant association with episode frequency. About 50% of the subjects in high frequency group were single and 20% were separated whereas in low frequency group 55% subjects were single and 41 % were married. This also consistent with previous studies which showed low episode frequency in married population (ECA study). But STEP BD found no significant association between episode frequency and marital status.\textsuperscript{11}
Number of Children
In the study, majority of the (72%) subjects had no children followed by 23 % subjects with 1 to 2 children. This could be due to the fact that majority subjects in the sample were unmarried. But there is a significant association noted with episode frequency and number of children. In high frequency group all the subjects (100%) were having no children compared to low frequency group where 55% subjects had no children and 45% subjects with 1 or 2 children. This could be also due to the same reason as cited above. To our knowledge there is no literature available to compare these facts

Distance from home to Hospital
The study also shows a significant association with distance travelled and episode frequency. The mean distance travelled by subjects in high frequency group was 28 km with an SD of 6.03 km whereas in low frequency group it is 41.90 km with an SD of 13.38 km. This indicates an inverse relationship between episode frequency and distance travelled by the subjects. To our knowledge no previous studies are available to compare these findings. But there are studies showing urban-rural difference in BPAD. The studies by J Peen et al showed 39% higher prevalence of mood disorder in subjects residing at urban areas.24 According to ECA and NCS studies there is no urban-rural difference in the prevalence of BPAD.

Age at Onset of BPAD
Our study found a strong association between age at onset and episode frequency. The subjects in high frequency group had an age of onset at 16.17 years with an SD of 2.5 years while that in low frequency were 28.97 years with an SD of 13.88 years. The studies by Fishfalen et al which is a case control study consisted of 625 subjects with diagnosis of BPAD as per DSM IV criteria found that highest episode frequencies were seen among subjects with onset between ages 15 and 18 years.16 Similarly, age at onset was significantly lower among those in the highest quartile of episode frequency. Though there is a difference in study design, study population and sample size between these studies and our study, both studies showing similar finding.

Family History of BPAD
The family history of BPAD is strongly correlated with episode frequency in our study. In high frequency group about 78% subjects had positive family history whereas in low frequency group it is only 11%. This association is consistent with previous studies by Fishfalen et al which assessed the association between familiality and episode frequency and found episode frequency was significantly correlated among probands and their affected relatives (intraclass r=0.56, F=1.53, df=96, 321, p<0.004), suggesting that more than 30% of the variance in episode frequency was accounted by family membership16. Though there is a difference in study method and sample size, both studies show a significantly higher episode frequency in subjects with family history of BPAD.

Co-Morbid General Medical Condition
In our study, the episode frequency has no significant association with co-morbid GMC (p= 0.141); but chi square test shows a positive association. This finding was partly consistent with studies by Fishfalen et al for thyroid disease in which they found no significant association between episode frequency and thyroid diseases.16 But the study done by P. V. Magalhaes et al revealed strong associations between variables related to illness chronicity and medical burden in bipolar disorder.25

Co-morbid Substance Use
In our study only 21% subjects in the high frequency group had substance use while 72% subjects in low frequency group had co-morbid substance use. This shows a significant negative correlation between substance use and episode frequency. But previous studies showed a high risk of recurrence in BPAD subjects with co-morbid substance use.26 The discrepancy with our study could be due to the fact that the majority of the subjects in the high frequency group in our study sample were females (78%) who were not using any form of substance while low frequency consist majority of males (72%) who had one or more substance use either harmful use or in dependence level (as per DCR 10 criteria).

Other Co-Morbid Psychiatric Condition
In our study there is no significant association found between co-morbid psychiatric condition and episode frequency. A study by Schaffer et al found co morbidity anxiety disorder was associated with increased morbidity and treatment resistance in BPAD and as per studies by Kora et al, presence of co-morbid psychiatric conditions is a predictor for longer episodes.27, 28 The discrepancy between our study and previous studies could be due to the low prevalence of psychiatric co-morbidities in total sample population as well as the difference in study population. Other characteristics such as low educational status and substance use that leads to under reporting of symptoms of other co morbidity psychiatric conditions and thereby contributing to the discrepancies with previous studies.

Stressful Life Events
In our study, it was found that high stressful life events were significantly associated with episode frequency. In high frequency group about 75% subjects had high stressfull life event while that in low frequency group were nil. The study by Ernst et al which was a retrospective study consisted of 54 subjects with BPAD had high episode frequency.29 So our study is also consistent with finding that indicated a strong relationship between stressful live events and increased likelihood of episode recurrence like previous studies.29,30

High Expressed Emotions
Our study shows a significant association of high expressed emotions with episode frequency. In high frequency group about 67% subjects were experienced high expressed emotions from the carers whereas in low frequency group it is only about 21% (p=0.006). The studies by Simoneau et al rated the expressed emotions levels of the relatives of bipolar patients during a family interaction with the Cumberwell Family Interview (CFI) and found that bipolar patients from high expressed emotions families had more manic symptoms (d =0.64) and a trend toward more depressive symptoms (d =0.57) than those from low expressed emotions families.31 In addition, high expressed emotions families were more likely than low expressed emotions families to show complex
negative interaction sequences. So, our study is consistent with the studies by Simoneau et al. 31

Treatment Adherence
Our study found a significant association between treatment adherence and episode frequency. Only 12.5% subjects had treatment adherence in high frequency group while that in low frequency group were 79%. The finding was consistent with previous studies by Luis Gutiérrez-Rojas et al in which 78% of the subjects with poor adherence had high episode frequency compared to 57% subjects with good treatment adherence. The STOP EM which was cited above, also consistent with findings in our study. 10

CONCLUSION
This study found a significant association between most of the socio-demographic variables and illness related variables. So further research is warranted to know the influence of each psychosocial and treatment factors on the episode frequency of BPAD.

Implication
The study was focusing on the influence of psychosocial and treatment factors in episode frequency of BPAD. There were significant similarities and differences when it was compared with other Indian and western studies. Since most of the psychosocial and treatment variable were significantly associated with episode frequency in BPAD, a case control study after matching the other confounding variables cited above in both the groups in a large sample size could be considered as active areas for studies in the future. Researches on the influence of specific variable after matching the other variables in the groups are also needed. More research is also warranted in order to know the influence of psychosocial and treatment factors on the episode frequency of BPAD as there is a dearth of Indian studies.
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