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AIMS AND OBJECTIVES: To compare the conventional staining methods (Leishman stain, JSB stain, field’s stain) on peripheral blood smear with rapid immunological methods (Falcivax (HRP2Ag+ pLDH) & SD Bioline (pLDH)) for the diagnosis of malarial parasites and to achieve at a better diagnostic tool for diagnosis of malarial parasites in terms of cost, technical expertise, lab facilities, rapidity, higher sensitivity and specificity. MATERIALS AND METHODS: The present study was conducted in the Microbiology Department of a MGM Medical College & Hospital, Navi Mumbai from July 2009 to June 2010. 339 patients with clinical features s/o Malaria were included in the study. For all the patients in the study group, 1ml of blood was collected by venipuncture aseptically in EDTA bulbs. Thick and thin blood films were prepared on 3 slides one each for Leishman, Fields and JSB stain. All the samples were then subjected to antigen detection using Falcivax (Pf & Pv) and SD Bioline (Pf & Pv) Kits. Test was performed as per kit instruction manual and interpreted accordingly. RESULTS: A total of 339 cases were studied, 238 males and 101 females with age ranges from 15yrs to 72yrs. Of 339 cases, 138 cases were positive for malarial parasites by gold standard viz: Leishman stained thick smear. 68 cases were infected with P.falciparum, 51 with P. vivax and 19 had mixed infection. Falcivax kit showed higher sensitivity and specificity compared to rest of all the rapid methods for detection of P.falciparum. In diagnosing P.falciparum malaria it showed sensitivity of 100% in comparison with gold standard even at low parasitemias. In fact, Falcivax kits also detect falciparum infections even when the parasites are sequestered and not detectable by microscopy. In case of detection of P.vivax, performance of SD bioline and Falcivax kits were similar (96%) and better than conventional staining of thin blood smear examination (92%) by Leishman, JSB and Field’s method when compared with gold standard thick smear examination. CONCLUSION: The logistic, economic and technical factors limit rapid access to microscopic confirmation of malaria in many tropical countries including India. So taking into account of all the factors from our study, we recommend Falcivax HRP2 antigen detection as a tool for diagnosis of malaria in future. Although no test can completely replace the conventional method of peripheral blood smear examination, these newer diagnostic tests can be used as supplement to microscopic examination of peripheral blood smear where the diagnosis cannot be made on microscopy alone. Also, rapid immunochromatography tests can be used in times of urgency.
and in areas where facilities of microscopy are not available especially during night times when services of laboratory and experienced Microscopists are not available.

INTRODUCTION: Malaria is the most important parasitic disease of mankind and known since antiquity. The human disease is a protozoan infection of red blood cells transmitted by the bite of a blood feeding female anopheline mosquito. Prevalence of malaria is around 300 million people worldwide with a global death rate over 1.5 million/year.

Malaria is a serious disease caused by the protozoal parasite Plasmodium, and if left untreated, can be fatal. Only four of the known species of Plasmodium are able to infect humans: P. falciparum, P. vivax, P. ovale, and P. malariae. The symptoms of malaria include high fevers, chills, rigors, and flu-like illness. Because the symptoms are so familiar, they are often misdiagnosed. The increasing incidence of malaria, the need to identify and treat the additional carriers (reservoirs) and to reduce the chances of transmission has given an impetus for development of simple and rapid methods for the diagnosis of malaria. Conventional Leishman or Giemsa stained peripheral blood smear examination remain the gold standard for the diagnosis of malaria in malaria endemic countries. However it has disadvantages such as it is labor-intensive, time consuming and requires expertise.

Numerous rapid techniques like acridine orange (AO) stain, Quantitative Buffy coat (QBC), detection of soluble histidine rich protein II antigen (HRP2 Ag), pLDH and aldolase enzymes in whole blood have been evaluated to diagnose malaria. Malaria presents a diagnostic challenge to laboratories in most countries. This study attempts to review the current methodology and approach to the diagnosis of malaria in a practical and helpful way for the laboratory and for the physician caring for the patient. The urgency and importance of obtaining results quickly from the examination of blood samples from patients with suspected acute malaria render some of the more sensitive methods like PCR, DNA Probe assay for malaria diagnosis impractical for routine laboratory use, although they may be considered reference procedures. So, in the present study an attempt is made to evaluate and compare maximum number of rapid, feasible and economical tests for diagnosis of malaria with the Leishman stained thick blood smears which is considered as Gold standard. These tests include JSB stain, Field’s stain and rapid immunological techniques viz; Falcivax (HRP2Ag+ pLDH) & SD bioline (pLDH).

Keeping in mind the seriousness of condition and the current availability of diagnostic modalities, the present study was carried out in a tertiary care hospital in Navi Mumbai with the following aims and objectives 1) To study the comparative efficacy of the peripheral smear examination by Leishman stain, JSB stain, field’s stain and rapid immunologically techniques viz; Falcivax (HRP2Ag+ pLDH) & SD Bioline (pLDH) for diagnosis of Malaria. 2) To determine the most optimum diagnostic tool for diagnosis of malarial parasites in terms of cost, technical expertise, lab facilities, rapidity, higher sensitivity and specificity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: METHODOLOGY: The present study was conducted in the Microbiology Department of a tertiary Care Hospital from July 2009 to June 2010. The study was cleared by Institutional ethics committee. 339 patients were included in the study.

CRITERIA FOR ENROLLING PATIENTS

INCLUSION CRITERIA:

Patients with clinical features s/o Malaria which includes
o history of fever within the preceding 48 hrs,
o chills and rigors, or
o fever at the time of presentation or
o Fever of unknown origin, with or without splenomegaly, systemic
complications or CNS manifestations.

EXCLUSION CRITERIA:
o Those patients treated for malaria in last 2 weeks.
o Those patients with confirmed diagnosis of infections like typhoid,
pneumonia, Urinary tract infection, Septicemia, dengue, or leptoSpirosis
were ruled out from the study.

SAMPLE COLLECTION: For all the patients in the study group, 1ml of blood is collected by
venipuncture aseptically in EDTA bulbs. Ethics committee and Research committee of the
institution had approved the study

CONVENTIONAL STAINING METHODS:
SAMPLE PREPARATION (THIN FILM AND THICK FILM): For diagnosis of malaria, thick and
thin smears are prepared from blood collected in EDTA. Thick and thin blood films are prepared
on 3 slides one each for Leishman, fields and JSB stain. Thick and thin blood films are prepared
on 3 slides one each for Leishman, fields and JSB stain. Thick smear helps in rapid diagnosis,
even when the parasitaemia is low. Thin smear is preferred for determination of species and
morphological details of the parasite. Thin film also provides information regarding erythrocyte
morphology, leukocytes, and platelets.

The thick smear was stained with Leishman stain. Thin smears were stained by all the
three staining methods, Leishman stain, JSB stain and Field’s Stain as per standard staining
techniques.

METHODS OF COUNTING MALARIAL PARASITES IN THICK BLOOD FILMS
EXAMINATION OF THE THICK FILM
• At least 100 good fields are screened before a slide can be pronounced negative.
• Determination of parasites/µl of blood is done by enumerating the number of parasites
in relation to a standard number of leukocytes/µl (8000). Initially smear is examined for
the presence of parasites and species identification. Tally counter is used one for
counting leucocytes and other for parasites. After counting 200 leucocytes number of
parasites is recorded. Then a simple mathematical formula multiplying the number of
parasites by 8000 then dividing this figure by 200(no. of leucocytes counted) is used.
Parasites counted/ no. of leucocytes x 8000 = parasites/µl of blood.
In this study, 200 leucocytes are counted, thus the formula:
No. of parasites x 40

EXAMINATION OF THIN FILM: examine for at least 100 fields to determine whether the blood
film is positive or negative for malaria.

RAPID IMMUNOLOGICAL TECHNIQUES: All the samples are then subjected to antigen
detection using Falcivax (Pf & Pv) and SD Bioline (Pf & Pv) Kits. Test was performed as per kit
instruction manual and interpreted accordingly.
ORIGINAL ARTICLE

SD MALARIA ANTIGEN: TEST EXPLANATION & PRINCIPLE: The SD Malaria antigen test contains a membrane strip, which is precoated with two polyclonal antibodies as two separate lines across a test strip. One polyclonal antibodies (test line Pf) are specific to lactate dehydrogenase of P. falciparum and the other polyclonal antibodies (test line P.v/ pan) are Pan specific to the lactate dehydrogenase of plasmodium species.

FALCIVAX RAPID TEST FOR MALARIA (DEVICE): Falcivax is a rapid self performing, qualitative, two site sandwich immunoassay utilizing whole blood for the detection of P. falciparum specific histidine rich protein – 2 (HRP-2) and P. vivax specific pLDH. The test can also be used for specific detection and differentiation of P. falciparum and P. vivax malaria in areas with high rate of mixed infections.

TEST PROCEDURE – We performed as per kit literature All the results of conventional staining methods and rapid immunological techniques are compared and evaluated for the sensitivity and specificity for the diagnosis of malaria.

RESULTS AND OBSERVATIONS: Of the 339 patients, 68 (20%) were positive for falciparum malaria by Leishman stained thick blood smear, 60(17%) by Leishman stained thin blood smear, 60(17%) by JSB stained thin smear, 60 (17%) by Field’s stained thin smear, 69(20.3%) by Falcivax antigen Kit and 57(16.8%) by SD Bioline antigen Kit. Thin smear stained by Leishman, JSB and Fields gave similar results. They failed to detect 8 (11.8%) of the positives by Gold standard, while SD Bioline method failed to detect 11(16%) cases. Falcivax detected all the positive cases, but also detected one positive that was negative by Leishman stained Thick smear (gold Standard). All those positives by other methods were also positive by Gold standard.

Of the 339 patients, 51(15%) were positive for vivax malaria by Gold Standard Leishman stained thick blood smear, 47(13.8%) by Leishman stained thin blood smear, 47(13.8%) by JSB stained thin smear, 47(13.8%) by Field’s stained thin smear, 49(14.4%) by Falcivax antigen Kit and 49(14.4%) by SD Bioline antigen Kit. thin smear stained by Leishman, JSB and Fields gave similar results. They failed to detect 4 (7%) of the positives by Gold standard, while SD Bioline and Falcivax methods failed to detect 2(3.9%) cases. All those positives detected by other methods were also positive by Gold standard.

There were 19 positive cases for mixed malarial parasite infection. All the methods under study gave same results for mixed infection as compared to gold standard.

Parasitic index was calculated for all the positive malaria cases by gold standard Leishman stained thick smear. The parasitemias ranged from 80-9600 parasites/µl. Sensitivity of all the rapid conventional staining methods was 100% at parasitemias > 200 parasites/µl for P.falciparum and 240 parasites/µl for P.vivax. But sensitivity was lowered to 88% and 92% for P.falciparum and P.vivax respectively with parasitemias <200parasites/µl. SD Bioline Antigen detection method had a detection threshold of 280parasites/µl for P.vivax and 200parasites/µl. Sensitivity of Falcivax Kit for P.falciparum was 100% at 80 parasites/µl. The detection limit for P.vivax was 200 parasites/µl. similar to that of SD Bioline, as for P.vivax, method of detection of vivax specific pLDH is same in both kits.
DATA ANALYSIS: Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value were calculated for each method by comparing the proportion of positive and negative results for each method with the gold standard Leishman stained thick blood smear examination. 

\[ \text{Prevalence} = \frac{\text{No. of positives by Leishman stained thick blood smear examination}}{\text{total no. of samples examined}} \]

\[ \text{Sensitivity} = \frac{\text{No. of matching Positives by both Leishman stained thick smear examination(Gold std) and the method to be evaluated}}{\text{no. of positives by Leishman stained thick blood smear examination}} \]

\[ \text{Specificity} = \frac{\text{No. of matching negatives by both Leishman stained thick smear examination(Gold std) and the method to be evaluated}}{\text{no. of positives by Leishman stained thick blood smear examination}} \]

\[ \text{Positive Predictive value (PPV)} = \frac{\text{sensitivity} \times (1-\text{Prevalence})}{\text{sensitivity} \times \text{Prevalence}} + \frac{(1-\text{specificity}) \times (1-\text{prevalence})}{\text{TP}/\text{TP} + \text{FP}} \]

\[ \text{Negative predictive value (NPV)} = \frac{\text{specificity} \times (1-\text{Prevalence})}{\text{specificity} \times (1-\text{prevalence})} + \frac{(1-\text{sensitivity}) \times \text{prevalence}}{\text{TN}/\text{FN} + \text{TN}} \]

The concordance of tests was calculated as follows: 

\[ \text{Concordance} = \frac{\text{No. of matching Positives and negatives by both Leishman stained thick smear examination(Gold std) and the method to be evaluated}}{\text{total no. of samples examined}} \]

The values obtained are multiplied by 100 and reported as percentage. 

With reference to the data shown in Table 10: 

Prevalence of malaria = 40% 

DISCUSSION: The majority of cases of malaria worldwide are treated on the basis of clinical diagnosis and microscopy. Several studies have shown that the ability to diagnose malaria by blood film examination alone is about 75% for P.falciparum. Rapid detection and effective treatment of malaria is a prerequisite in reducing the morbidity and mortality due to the disease. Leishman stained thick smear examination, which is the cornerstone in the laboratory diagnosis of malaria, has undergone little improvement since its inception. In the present study, We included 339 patients attending hospital over a period of one year for different complaints suggestive of malaria. We evaluated and compared five different techniques of rapid detection of malarial parasites with the Gold standard Leishman stained thick smear. 

TYPE OF MALARIA: In India 40% of cases are as result of P.vivax malarial infection. 44.3% are due to P.falciparum malarial infection. 10-15% is due to mixed malarial infection. In this study, P.falciparum contributed to 49% of the total study group, P.vivax contributed to 37% of the total study group and mixed malarial infection was responsible for the remaining of 14% of the study group. 

Mendiratta et al studied 443 cases with maximum number of P.falciparum cases. Thus our study compares well with WHO report and other similar studies regarding the increased incidence of P.falciparum. 

In the present study, while comparing the available different methods of rapid detection for P.falciparum with gold standard Leishman stained thick blood smear microscopy, the sensitivity of Falcivax antigen kit was highest (100%) followed by Leishman stained thin smear (88%), JSB stain (88%), Fields stain (88%), and lastly by SD Bioline (83 %). For detection of P.
Both antigen Kits Falcivax and SD bioline (96%) detected highest no. of cases followed by thin peripheral smear microscopy by Leishman, JSB and Field's stain (92%). Our results were in agreement with other studies. In our study there were no false positive results by any of the methods suggesting 100% specificity, except Falcivax kit which showed one false positive for falciparum.

In the present study the results obtained by thin smear examination by Leishman stain, JSB stain and Fields stain were same. They had lower sensitivity of 88% for P.falciparum and 92% for P.vivax. It was found that at lower parasitemia, the sensitivity dropped considerably. Sensitivity was 100% at Parasitemia level 240 parasites/µl or more. Thick smear provides enhanced sensitivity of blood film technique and is much better than the thin film for detection of low levels of parasitemia and relapse or recrudescence. Warhurst and Williams reported that examination of thin blood films is only 1/10 as sensitive as examination of thick blood films for the quantification of malarial parasites, although morphological identification of the Plasmodium species present is much easier using thin films. It is highly recommended that both thick and thin films be prepared and examined each time blood film examination for parasites is requested.

Sensitivity of SD Bioline antigen kit, based on pLDH for P.falciparum was found to be lowest. The recommended method and current gold standard used for the routine laboratory diagnosis of malaria is the microscopic examination of stained thick blood films. In the most capable hands, this method can be expected to detect 50 parasites/µl (0.001% parasitemia) and to identify to the species level 98% of all parasites seen. In our study parasitemia level of 80 parasites/µl or more were detected by thick smear microscopy. This procedure is recognized as difficult and time-consuming, requiring considerable training to obtain the necessary skills. Immunochromatographic dipsticks offer the possibility of more rapid, nonmicroscopic methods for malaria diagnosis, thereby saving on training and time. These tests are easy to perform and require little training to interpret the results. On June 13, 2007, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved the first RDT for use in the United States. This RDT is approved for use by hospital and commercial laboratories, not by individual clinicians or by patients themselves. It is recommended that all RDTs are followed-up with microscopy to confirm the results and if positive, to quantify the proportion of red blood cells that are infected.

RDT currently on the market are easy to use; most are in cassette format with single-application areas for the blood sample and clearing buffer. We have also used Falcivax Kit which is in cassette format which is easier to perform as compared to SD bioline dipstick method. Most evaluation trials have included temperature and time stability for at least 1 year at 40°C.

The new generation of RDT offers a realistic practical chance to move the diagnosis of malaria away from the laboratory and nearer to the patient. Our results with Falcivax Kit which is HRP2 based showed 100% sensitivity even at 80 parasites/µl as compared to pLDH based SD Bioline Kit and peripheral blood smear microscopy for diagnosis of P. falciparum. The added assurance that life-threatening parasitemia with P. falciparum will not be missed is welcome, particularly for inexperienced laboratory staff during night calls. The ability to detect the majority of the non-falciparum malaria cases also makes these tests ideally suited as major backup procedures for malaria diagnosis.

There are many considerations to be taken into account when reviewing the methods for laboratory diagnosis of malaria (Table 6), not the least of which are the important factors of availability and cost. The present debate on the introduction of tests based on new technology is welcomed. However, it does not avoid the necessity of reviewing correctly stained thick and thin
blood films as the standard operating procedure when malaria is suspected or of replacing a current training program for the identification of the Plasmodium species and for detection of parasitemia below the present threshold of detection by RDT.

CONCLUSION: FalciVax kit showed higher sensitivity and specificity compared to rest of all the rapid methods for detection of P. falciparum.

The logistic, economic and technical factors limit rapid access to microscopic confirmation of malaria in many tropical countries including India. So taking into account of all the factors from our study (Table 6), we consider HRP2 antigen detection kit as a tool for diagnosis of malaria in future. Although no test can replace the conventional method of peripheral blood smear examination, these newer diagnostic tests can be used as supplement to microscopic examination of peripheral blood smear where the diagnosis cannot be made on microscopy alone. These tests can be used in times of urgency and in areas where facilities of microscopy are not available especially during night times when services of laboratory and experienced microscopists are not available.
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### TABLE 1: Sex distribution of clinically suspected patients of malaria (n=339)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MALES No. (%)</th>
<th>FEMALES No. (%)</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinically suspected patients</td>
<td>238 (70%)</td>
<td>101(30%)</td>
<td>339</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 2: Age group Leishman stained thick blood smear positive cases for malaria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group 15-25 yrs</th>
<th>Count P.falciparum</th>
<th>Count P.vivax</th>
<th>Count Mix</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% within age group</td>
<td>37.90%</td>
<td>44.80%</td>
<td>17.20%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>53</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% within age group</td>
<td>53.5%</td>
<td>31.2%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% within age group</td>
<td>36.40%</td>
<td>63.60%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% within age group</td>
<td>49.2%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 3: Comparison of conventional Leishman stained thick smear examination with other rapid staining methods and rapid immunological techniques for the diagnosis of malarial parasites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Leishman (gold std)</th>
<th>Leishman stain</th>
<th>JSB stain</th>
<th>Field's stain</th>
<th>Falcivax kit</th>
<th>SD bioline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Thick Smear 201</td>
<td>Thin Smear 213</td>
<td>Thin 213</td>
<td>Thin 213</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIX</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>339</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 4: Comparison of sensitivity and specificity of various rapid methods for *P. falciparum* with Leishman stained thick smear.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Leishman Thin smear</th>
<th>JSB Thin smear</th>
<th>Field's Thin smear</th>
<th>Falcivax Thin smear</th>
<th>SD bioline Thin smear</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>88.2 %</td>
<td>88.2%</td>
<td>88.2 %</td>
<td>100 %</td>
<td>83.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>99.6%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPV</td>
<td>100 %</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>98.5%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPV</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>96.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test efficiency (Concordance of tests)</td>
<td>97.6%</td>
<td>97.6%</td>
<td>97.6%</td>
<td>99.7</td>
<td>96.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 5: Comparison of sensitivity and specificity of various rapid methods for *P. vivax* with Leishman stained thick smear.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Leishman Thin Smear</th>
<th>JSB Thin smear</th>
<th>Field's Thin smear</th>
<th>Falcivax Thin smear</th>
<th>SD bioline Thin smear</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>92.0 %</td>
<td>92.0%</td>
<td>92.0 %</td>
<td>96.0%</td>
<td>96.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPV</td>
<td>100 %</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPV</td>
<td>98.6%</td>
<td>98.6%</td>
<td>98.6%</td>
<td>99.3%</td>
<td>99.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test efficiency (Concordance of tests)</td>
<td>98.8%</td>
<td>98.8%</td>
<td>98.8%</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td>99.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*For mixed malarial parasite infection,* results by all the methods were same as compared to the gold standard.
TABLE 6: Comparative evaluation of different methods based on following parameters for diagnosis of malaria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Leishman thick smear Stain</th>
<th>Leishman thin smear stain</th>
<th>JSB stain</th>
<th>Field's Stain</th>
<th>Falcivax Kit</th>
<th>SD Bioline kit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80 parasites/µl</td>
<td>240 parasites/µl</td>
<td>240 parasites/µl</td>
<td>240 parasites/µl</td>
<td>80 parasites/µl</td>
<td>200 parasites/µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Sensitivity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Specificity</td>
<td>All species</td>
<td>All species</td>
<td>All species</td>
<td>All species</td>
<td>P. falciparum and p.vivax</td>
<td>P. falciparum and P.vivax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Cost</td>
<td>Rs 5/test</td>
<td>Rs 5/test</td>
<td>Rs 5/test</td>
<td>Rs 100/test</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rs 60/test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Technical expertise</td>
<td>Highly skilled</td>
<td>Highly skilled</td>
<td>highly skilled</td>
<td>Highly skilled</td>
<td>no special skills</td>
<td>minimal skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Equipment</td>
<td>lab, microscope, electricity</td>
<td>lab, microscope, electricity</td>
<td>lab, microscope, electricity</td>
<td>lab, microscope, electricity</td>
<td>Kit only, no special equipment</td>
<td>Kit only, No special equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Time for result</td>
<td>45-60 mins</td>
<td>30-45 mins</td>
<td>20-30 mins</td>
<td>20-30 mins</td>
<td>5-15 mins</td>
<td>5-15 mins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Subjectivity Variation</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig.1 JSB Stained thin smear: P. falciparum rings – multiple rings in one RBC, accole forms

Fig.2 Field’s stained thin smear: multiple rings of P. falciparum.
Fig 3. Leishman stained thin smear:
P.falciparum multiple rings, accele forms

Fig 4. JSB stained thin smear:
P.falciparum gametocyte

Fig 5. Fields stained thin smear:
P.falciparum gametocyte

Fig 6. Leishman stained thin smear:
P.falciparum gametocyte

Fig 7. Field's stained thin smear:
P. vivax trophozoites (Ring) with
Schuffner's dots in RBC cytoplasm
& Schizonts of P.vivax

Fig 8. Leishman stained Thin smear:
P. vivax schizont
Fig 9. Leishman stain: Schizont of P.vivax

Fig 10. JSB stained thin smear; P.vivax

Fig 11. Lesihman's Stain: Ring form of P.vivax

Fig 12. Field's Stain: Ring form of P.vivax

Fig 13. JSB stain: Ring form of P.vivax

Fig 14. JSB Stain: Gametocyte of P.vivax
Fig 15. JSB Stain: Schizont with merozoites

Fig 16. Interpretation of the test

1. Negative 2. P. vivax 3. P.falciparum

Fig 17. Test is positive for P. falciparum and P. vivax